		OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA THE COUNTY OF MENDOCINO
	IN AND FOR	THE COUNTY OF MENDOCINO
THE PEO	PLE OF THE STAT	TE OF CALIFORNIA,
	Plainti	ff,
VS	3.	
PETER R	RICHARD KEEGAN,	
	Defenda	ant.
	Report Grand Jury Held on Wedr	er's Transcript of Indictment Proceedings nesday, August 2, 2017. Anne Ramirez, C.S.R. 6186.
APPEARA	ANCES OF COUNSEL	_
For	the Plaintiff:	TIMOTHY O. STOEN Deputy District Attorney Mendocino County Courthouse Ukiah, California 95482

		180
1	WITNESS INDEX (Chronological)	
2	(onlonging)	
3	VOLUME II PAGE	
4		
5	JASON K.T. TRENT, M.D.	
6	Examination by Mr. Stoen 183	
7		
8	MICHAEL FERENC, M.D.	
9	Examination by Mr. Stoen 233	
10		
11	ONI LaGIOIA	
12	Examination by Mr. Stoen 258	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

GRAND JURY EXHIBITS VOLUME II IDENTIFICATION RECEIVED Dissolution of Marriage Petition by Peter Keegan filed 10/21/10 Jason K.T. Trent, M.D., Necropsy Report dated 2/9/11 Michael Ferenc, M.D. review of Jason K.T. Trent, M.D. 2/9/11 Necropsy Report A. Jay Chapmen, M.D., letter to DA Eyster dated 4/20/11 Jason K.T. Trent, M.D. Supplemental Report on Necropsy dated 4/27/11 People v. Elliott documents regarding Jason K.T. Trent, M.D. Peter Keegan's hand-delivered note to Oni LaGioia on 2/28/11 Peter Keegan's 911 calls, with four transcripts, on 11/11/10 2.1

(Proceedings held on Wednesday, August 2, 2017.) 1 2 JURY FOREPERSON: So we're going to call the 3 session to order. And what do I need to say this morning? Is 5 there anything else? Just do roll call? 6 7 MR. STOEN: Yes, take the roll call, please. JURY FOREPERSON: Okay. (Roll call taken; all jurors present.) 9 JURY FOREPERSON: We're all here. 10 11 MR. STOEN: Thank you. 12 And we're going to call my first witness, who is Dr. Jason K.T. Trent. 13 Madam Foreperson, do you have the admonition 14 for the witness to be secrete? It's in your transcript. 15 16 JURY FOREPERSON: I do, but Anne has been 17 swearing them in. 18 MR. STOEN: There's also a secrecy one. 19 JURY FOREPERSON: Grand Jury proceedings and 20 investigations are secret. You are therefore admonished 2.1 on behalf of the Mendocino County Superior Court and the 22 criminal grand jury not to disclose your grand jury 23 subpoena or your grand jury appearance to anyone and not 24 to reveal to any person any questions asked or any 25 responses given to the grand jury or any other matters

```
1
     concerning the nature or subject of the grand jury's
     investigation which you learned about by your grand jury
 2
     subpoena or during your grand jury appearance, except to
 3
     your own legal counsel. This admonition continues until
 5
     such time as the transcript of the grand jury proceeding
 6
     is made public or until disclosure is otherwise
 7
     authorized by the Court or by operations of law.
     Violation of this admonition is punishable as contempt
 8
 9
     of court.
10
                MR. STOEN: Thank you, Madam Foreperson.
11
                THE REPORTER: Will you raise your right hand,
12
     please.
13
14
                       JASON K.T. TRENT, M.D.
15
     Called as a witness, having been sworn, testified as
16
     follows:
17
18
                THE REPORTER: Will you state and spell your
19
     name, please.
20
                THE WITNESS: My name is Jason Trent;
2.1
     J-a-s-o-n, T-r-e-n-t.
22
                THE REPORTER: Thank you.
23
24
                            EXAMINATION
25
           Q
                (BY MR. STOEN) Good morning, Doctor.
```

- 1 A Good morning.
- Q What is your occupation, sir?
- 3 A I'm a forensic pathologist.
- 4 Q What is a forensic pathologist?
 - A Forensic pathologist is a medical doctor who is trained in pathology and subspecialty of forensic medicine and forensic pathology.
 - Q And what is pathology?
- 9 MR. STOEN: Can you hear okay, sir?
- 10 A JUROR: Can you speak a little louder?
- MR. STOEN: Could you speak louder. We can
- maybe move the chair a little closer, too. Try to speak
- up because everybody is having a hard time with the lack
- of a microphone.
- THE WITNESS: Would you ask your question
- 16 again, sir?

6

7

- MR. STOEN: I'll ask it again.
- 18 Q (BY MR. STOEN) Could you just tell us what
- 19 pathology is.
- 20 A Pathology is -- a pathologist is a medical
- 21 doctor who is trained in anatomic and clinical
- 22 pathology.
- 23 Anatomic pathology is the examination of
- 24 surgical pathology, which is when people have biopsies
- or are required to have surgery for removal of organs

- 1 that are diseased, and we, pathologists, then render an
- 2 opinion about the diagnosis of it. Like polyps in
- 3 | colonoscopies are taken out and we say whether they're
- 4 benign or malignant and what type of malignancy or what
- 5 | type it could be. Another thing -- and those are
- 6 | whether -- and the law in California is that anything
- 7 that is taken out of a person in a hospital, in a
- 8 | surgical setting, must go to a pathologist.
 - Q Thank you, Doctor.
- 10 A Now, that's anatomic.
- 11 Clinical pathology is all the clinical work,
- which is hematology, which is the examination of blood,
- which is for blood -- white blood cell count, the
- 14 hemoglobin, hematocrit, all of those branches of
- components of blood. Then urine analysis is the -- both
- 16 the microscopic and chemical analysis of urine to see
- 17 | what's in that. Then there's cytology, which is the
- 18 examination of cells. Then there's microbiology, which
- 19 | is bacteria, viruses, and that sort of material.
- Q Thank you, Doctor. That will be all I need on
- 21 that answer.

- How many years have you been a forensic
- 23 pathologist?
- A Let's see, probably close to 30.
- 25 Q And what is your educational background from

- 1 | college through medical training?
- 2 A Well, I went straight out of high school into
- 3 | medical school because it was possible then. I only
- 4 have one degree, which is an M.D. I decided to be a
- 5 | doctor when I was 12 years old, and I never changed my
- 6 | mind, so my prerequisites were all acquired. And when I
- 7 applied to medical school, I was accepted to three
- 8 different medical schools, went to medical school, and
- 9 became -- and I was going to be an obstetrician when I
- 10 did my internship.
- 11 Q Where did you get your degree, your medical
- 12 degree?
- 13 A My degree was from the University of --
- 14 University of Toronto Medical School, which is the
- 15 oldest medical school in Canada.
- 16 Q And what has been your professional experience
- 17 | as a forensic pathologist? How many years as a forensic
- 18 | pathologist?
- 19 A Well, exclusively in doing-- I did forensics,
- 20 | actually, when I was still a resident in Hawaii, but
- 21 exclusively forensics and nothing but forensics since
- 22 | 1990 until today.
- Q And how many autopsies have you conducted over
- 24 the years, in your best estimate?
- 25 A Well, I can tell you exactly. I've done about

- 1 10,000 autopsies in my entire career.
- 2 Q And I want to call your attention, Doctor, to
- 3 November 12th, 2010. Did you have occasion to conduct
- 4 an autopsy in Ukiah of a Susan Keegan?
- 5 A I did, sir, yes.
- Q And what was your official position at that
- 7 time?
- A I was a forensic pathologist employed by the
- 9 | sheriff's office -- sheriff's department of Mendocino
- 10 County.
- 11 Q And are you still with that position?
- 12 A No, sir. I retired.
- 13 Q How long did you have that position?
- 14 A I retired a year and-a-half ago --
- 15 Q Okay.
- 16 A -- from that position. I still work, but not
- for the coroner's office, the Mendocino County Sheriff's
- Department, Coroner's Division.
- 19 Q I'm going to show you, Doctor, what is marked
- 20 as Exhibit Number 10. It's a necropsy report.
- 21 Did I pronounce that correctly?
- 22 A Yes, sir, you did. Necropsy and autopsy is
- one in the same thing.
- Q Okay. Why don't you take a look at this
- 25 | report, page through it, and tell me if you recognize it

- 1 and, if so, please identify it for us.
- 2 A I'm flipping through this very quickly because
- 3 I recognize it as -- I have a copy of this exact
- 4 material.

10

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

- 5 Q Okay. And does that --
- A This is an autopsy report which I produced
 after doing the autopsy on Susan Keegan on the 12th of
 November of the year 2010.
 - Q Okay. You might want to just hold onto that when I ask you some questions.
- 11 (Exhibit 10 was identified.)
 - Q (BY MR. STOEN) Could you just give us an overview of the general protocol you follow when you conduct an autopsy?
 - A Prior to beginning the prosection, which is the actual cutting of the body, I receive some written material from the investigating officers, which are usually deputy sheriffs in this case, about how and where this person was found dead. And I received such information about Susan Keegan, but I haven't seen that information for the past six and-a-half years. So I don't know what it said to me then. But based on that and where the body was found and what the suspicion of the deputies is, what the mode -- and the mode is either that the person died as a result of an assault or a

- 1 trauma or it's actually a homicide. There are four
- 2 primary modes: Accident, natural, homicide, and
- 3 accident.
- What did I say, accident? I'm sorry.
- 5 Q Okay.
- 6 A I'm a little nervous.
- 7 Q That's okay. Everybody gets nervous, sir.
- 8 A Anyway, there are four manners of death. This
- 9 was told -- this was -- the autopsy was performed
- 10 because the body was found in the jurisdiction of Ukiah.
- 11 Then the autopsy is performed at the mortuary in Ukiah.
- 12 At that time the mortuary was Eversole's Mortuary.
- 13 Q Let me ask you this: Do you recall the --
- 14 whether or not there were law enforcement personnel with
- 15 you when you conducted the autopsy?
- A Absolutely there were.
- 17 Q Do you recall who those persons were?
- 18 A I do only because I remember that I placed
- 19 their names in the autopsy report and they are
- 20 identified.
- 21 Q Would you read them into the record for us,
- 22 please.
- 23 A Certainly.
- 24 At the conclusion of the autopsy report it
- 25 says deputy sheriffs present is Deputy Jacquelyn

- 1 Rainwater, Badge Number 2377; Detective Eric Riboli,
- 2 Badge Number 2412; and Detective Andy Porter, Badge
- 3 Number 2403. They were present while I performed the
- 4 autopsy.
- 5 Q Thank you.
- 6 Do you recall which of those three law
- 7 enforcement personnel had the most to say to you about
- 8 how the body was found?
- 9 A No, sir, I don't.
- 10 Q Okay. Now, do you recall any of the three
- 11 personnel telling you what their suspicions were
- 12 regarding the body that you were doing the autopsy on?
- 13 A I'm afraid I don't, sir.
- 14 Q Okay.
- 15 A That was six and-a-half years ago, and since
- 16 | then I've done over 900 autopsies.
- Q Did you look at the photos --
- 18 A I did, yes.
- 19 Q -- that the law enforcement people took of the
- 20 body as it was found?
- 21 A Yes, sir.
- 22 Q I'm going to show you what's marked as
- People's Number 7, and it has 7-A through 7-S. I'd like
- you to look through that and tell me if you recognize
- 25 that set of photos and, if so, please identify them and

- 1 tell us if those were reviewed by you.
- 2 Do you recognize those?
- A I -- I see them. I'm not sure that I've ever seen them before, sir. I quite frankly don't remember.
- Q Okay. Would it be -- would ordinarily -would your report indicate whether or not you saw them?
 To refresh your recollection, would that report tell you
 that?
- 9 A You mean my report, my autopsy report?
- 10 Q Yeah.

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

- 11 A No, sir, it does not.
- Q Okay. Is it -- do you know whether it was possible that they were shown to you or do you recall that they were definitely not shown to you?
 - A I don't recall either one, sir.
 - Q Okay. Now, do you recall any of the law enforcement personnel telling you suspicions with respect to the swelling or bruising of the arms and hands?
 - A I don't remember their telling me, but I can see from the photographs that there are on both hands and on my autopsy report it's mentioned.
 - Q Okay. And do you recall any law enforcement personnel talking to you about suspicions as to the position of the hands on the body when they were found?

- 1 A I don't remember, sir.
- 2 Q Okay. And did any law enforcement personnel
- 3 | call to your attention a suspicion as to concerns about
- 4 | the lack of blood on the floor given the lacerations to
- 5 the head?
- A No, sir, I don't.
- 7 Q Okay. Now, were you aware at the time of the
- 8 autopsy where the body was in fact found?
- 9 A I don't remember that, sir.
- 10 Q Okay. Does your report refresh your
- 11 recollection as to where the body was found?
- 12 A No, sir.
- Q Okay. Were you aware at the time of the
- 14 autopsy as to whether -- who was present in the place of
- 15 residence where the body was found, whether or not a
- 16 person who lived in that house was present?
- 17 A I don't remember, sir.
- Q Okay. Did any of the law enforcement
- 19 personnel discuss with you the husband of the decedent,
- 20 Susan Keegan?
- 21 A I don't remember, sir. I'm sorry.
- 22 Q Do you know who the husband of Susan Keegan
- 23 | was?
- 24 A Yes, sir.
- Q Who was that?

- A He's a surgeon -- he's a physician/surgeon,
 and he and his wife lived in a home in the City of
- 3 Ukiah.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

- Q Okay. Now, did you have -- ever have any prior contacts with Dr. Peter Keegan?
- 6 A I don't think so.
 - Q Were you part of any sort of fellowship or social group that included Dr. Peter Keegan?
 - Thursday morning, for example, I go to the hospital -I'm not a member of the hospital staff, but on a lot of
 Thursday mornings when I didn't have to be somewhere
 performing my examinations as a forensic pathologist,
 I'd go to the medical -- the clinical conference which
 is held by the radiology department. There are
 physicians present there, and Dr. Keegan may have been
 there, but I never knew him specifically. I've never
 been introduced to him at these meetings, so I really
 don't know if he was present or not.
 - Q Would the fact that the person that found the body was Dr. Peter Keegan, would that have any effect whatsoever in your conclusion in this case?
- 23 A Why, because he's a physician?
- Q Because he's a fellow physician.
- 25 A No.

- 1 Q Okay. Now, were pictures taken at the
- 2 autopsy?

7

- 3 A I believe so, yes.
- Q And was that by you or was that by a law enforcement person?
 - A I don't remember. I usually -- usually it's done by the law enforcement people --
- 8 Q Okay.
- 9 A -- at my direction.
- Q Okay. So I'm going to show you what's marked
 People's Exhibit Number 9, and I'm going to ask you, if
 you would be so kind enough, just to flip through those
 and tell me if you recognize those photos and, if so,
 please identify them for us.
- Do you recognize those, sir?
- 16 A Yes, sir, I do. They were the autopsy -- they
 17 were the photographs taken by one of the deputies while
 18 I was performing the prosection on Susan Keegan.
 - Q Thank you.
- Now, before I proceed, I'm going to ask you to take a look at 7-A through 7-S again and ask you if there's anything about those photos that seem suspicious to you?
- 24 A Which letters again, sir, please?
- 25 Q Pardon me?

- 1 A Seven what?
- 2 Q Just page through them all and just tell me --
- 3 let's just assume that those are photos of Susan Keegan
- 4 when she was found in the bathroom. And with respect to
- 5 her hands, in respect to the laceration of her head, for
- 6 example, is there anything that you see that's
- 7 suspicious?
- 8 A Well, the hands are edematous, they're swollen
- 9 with fluid, which is probably secondary to blunt trauma
- 10 or -- that's the most likely.
- 11 O What is blunt trauma? What does that mean?
- A When it's hit and there's extra vascular fluid
- 13 that enlarges and creates edema.
- 14 Q How would that happen in a situation like that
- 15 where that body was found?
- 16 A I have no idea.
- Q Okay.
- 18 A Unless she hit her hand against something or
- 19 somebody hit her hand for some reason.
- 20 Q Okay. Just keep paging through and see if
- 21 there's anything that strikes you, please.
- 22 A Well, what strikes me, quite frankly, is
- 23 having seen these pictures and seen those pictures --
- 24 Q You're referring to the autopsy pictures?
- 25 A The autopsy pictures, correct.

- 1 Yes. Q
- -- there's an absence of blood at the scene 2 3 where there's a heck of a lot of blood present,
- especially in the head or under the scalp, which isn't 5 present here at the scene.
- 6 So what does that indicate to you?
- That the body may not have died there, it may have been brought there and the scene is staged. 8
 - 0 Okay.

9

20

2.1

- 10 But the reason that I'm saying all that is because I have the -- you know, I've seen the autopsy 11 12 and performed the autopsy, and I had reason to discuss it with two other pathologists. 13
- 14 Q Okay.
- 15 The other thing is this: You look at those 16 autopsy pictures and you look at the amount of 17 laceration, the discontinuance of the scalp and the 18 amount of blood that's under the scalp, and there's 19 absence of blood at the scene. The two are incongruous.
 - 0 Okay. Anything else?
 - Well, the scalp is very vascular, and so --Α
- What does vascular mean? 22 0
- 23 Very bloody. Α
- 24 Q Okay.
- 25 Α There's a lot of blood vessels in it.

- 1 | when it's cut, when the scalp is lacerated or cut, it
- 2 bleeds a lot. Even though it may be a small cut, it
- 3 bleeds a lot because there are many blood vessels there.
- 4 And seeing those autopsy pictures and seeing the
- 5 | photographs from the scene, it doesn't make sense to me;
- 6 that there should be more blood at the scene because of
- 7 the vascularity of the scalp and the degree -- the
- 8 | amount of blood that's there at the autopsy time.
- 9 You know, I don't think I was the one --
- 10 | because I didn't see the body at the scene, I never went
- 11 to the scene with the body present there, there's a
- 12 | gloved hand here and there's a laceration in the scalp,
- but that's not me that's holding the hair separate to
- 14 take the photograph.
- 15 Q Is there anything inconsistent with the photos
- 16 | in Exhibit 7 with your autopsy photos?
- 17 A I'm sorry, I don't understand.
- 18 Q Is there anything inconsistent with your
- 19 | autopsy photos? Assuming there may have been
- 20 manipulation of the body and those kind of things, but
- 21 does it look like the same person to you that you did
- 22 the autopsy on, those photos?
- 23 A I believe it is, sir. I --
- Q Okay. I'll try to move on.
- Do you recall any conversation with Sergeant

- 1 Poma regarding the hands while you were conducting the
- 2 autopsy?

9

10

11

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

- 3 A Quite frankly, no.
 - Q Pardon me?
- 5 A I do not, sir.
- Q Okay. Now, did -- at any time after you wrote a report -- well, let's go over your report.
 - Your report -- what is the conclusion of your report, of the necropsy report, as to the cause of death?
 - A May I put these down, sir?
- 12 Q Yes, I'm happy to. Just call on me anytime.
 - A My conclusion, is that what you're asking for?
- 14 Q Yes, what's your conclusion.
 - A Okay. After the autopsy was performed and the toxicology tissue -- fluids were sent to a toxicology laboratory and were received back, my -- the diagnoses at the end of the autopsy showed that there was a large amount of blood under the scalp, which is identified here, there are two large lacerations of the scalp, there is subarachnoid, the arachnoid is a membrane that covers the brain, and this is blood under the membrane, aspiration of gastric contents, she had aspirated the contents of her stomach into her lungs and went out into the periphery of the lungs, there's only moderate

- 1 | congestion of the liver, spleen, and kidneys, there's
- 2 very mild pulmonary edema, which is fluid -- third
- 3 | spacing of fluid in the lungs, and something that's
- 4 incidental and not in any way contributory to any
- 5 pathology is diverticulosis of the distal colon.
- 6 When the toxicology came back, cause of death
- 7 | was determined -- initial cause of death was determined
- 8 | by me which said acute craniocerebral trauma, which says
- 9 that there's acute head injury, due to a fall. And
- 10 other significant findings were that there were ethanol
- 11 and hydrocodone toxicity present. And other significant
- 12 findings is that she had marijuana on board.
- Delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol is the active form of
- 14 marijuana or pot.
- 15 Q That's all I have to probably ask you on that.
- 16 A Yes, sir.
- 17 Q Did you -- did anybody ask you if you knew
- anybody that could do a review of your analysis?
- 19 A Quite frankly, I don't remember that, sir.
- Q Okay. Do you know whether or not your report,
- 21 | your necropsy report, was sent off to another
- 22 pathologist to review?
- 23 A I don't remember that, but I do know that that
- 24 | was done. And it may have been done by me; I really
- 25 don't know.

- Q Okay. Who was this other pathologist that was involved?
- 3 A There were actually two different people: One
- 4 was Michael Ferenc, who is a forensic pathologist who
- 5 | was at that time working in Maine, today he's in
- 6 Oakland, and Dr. Jay Chapman, who is a forensic
- 7 pathologist in Santa Rosa. And I know both of them.
- 8 Q And do you recall talking with Dr. Ferenc
- 9 about his review of your report?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q Do you recall how many conversations you had
- 12 | with him?
- 13 A I know I had one. I don't remember if I had
- more than one.
- 15 Q Have you ever seen his report on your report?
- 16 A Yes. As a matter of fact, I have.
- Q Okay. And I'd like to -- and how many -- had
- 18 | you worked together with doctor -- is it pronounced
- 19 Ferenc? Is that how it's pronounced?
- 20 A Yes, sir.
- 21 Q Sort of French, Ferenc.
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q F-e-r-e-n-c, Ferenc.
- 24 A Yes, that's correct.
- 25 Q And had you worked together a lot, you and

- 1 Dr. Ferenc?
- 2 A Yes. Dr. Ferenc and I were -- I pronounce it
- 3 Ferenc. I don't know if --
- Q Okay. You probably know better than I.
- 5 A Whatever, it doesn't matter. I call him Mike.
- 6 Q Okay.
- 7 A Mike and I worked together in San Francisco.
- 8 I was a medical examiner there for seven and-a-half
- 9 years, and Mike and I had offices next to one another
- 10 and we had autopsy tables next to one another. So I've
- 11 known Michael for a number of years, and I still do.
- 12 Q Do you socialize with him?
- 13 A Not recently, no, sir.
- 14 Q Would you consider him a friend?
- 15 A Yes.
- Okay. And you've seen the report that he
- 17 submitted; right?
- 18 A Yes, sir.
- 19 Q Okay. Now, you included a lab -- a toxicology
- 20 report from NMS Labs in your necropsy report; right?
- 21 A Yes, sir.
- 22 Q And is that standard to wait for blood to be
- 23 taken from a victim and then sent to a lab and then
- 24 before you write your report you get the results back;
- 25 is that true?

- A Well, I may write the report, but I will not
 come to any final conclusions as far as the diagnosis of
- 3 cause and manner until I get the toxicology back.
- 4 Q Have you found NMS Lab toxicology reports to 5 be accurate in your experience?
- A Yes, sir.
- Q And in this particular case you noted as part
 of your findings that there was hydrocodone and alcohol
 in Susan Keegan's system; right?
- 10 A Yes, sir.
- 11 Q And her blood alcohol was .16; is that
 12 correct, --
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q -- as best you recall?
- Now, what is hydrocodone?
- 16 A It's one of the narcotic painkillers.
- Q Okay. And is there sort of a brand name that's commonly used for that?
- 19 A Quite frankly, I don't know.
- Q Okay. Now, when you do an analysis of a body
 by autopsy and other means as to the cause of death, do
 you look for such things as symptoms of suicide or signs
 of suicide?
- 24 A I don't know how to answer that question, sir.
- 25 Q Okay.

- 1 A Do I look for signs of suicide?
- Q Well, was there anything in your autopsy of Susan Keegan that indicated to you any suspicion of suicide?
 - A Quite frankly, no.
 - Q So -- and if you had noted such, you would have put that in your report. Is that fair to say?
 - A It depends on how the suicide would have been performed. If it's a strangulation by hanging, then they would have brought the body to the mortuary with a rope around the neck.
- 12 Q Okay.

6

7

9

10

11

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

- A If they had stabbed themselves or shot themselves, that would have been determined at the scene and it would have been told to me that this person committed -- they think that this person committed suicide by stabbing or killing themselves.
- Q Having reviewed Exhibit 7 with the pictures of Susan Keegan at the scene, is there anything to you that would indicate to you suicide?
- 21 A No, sir.
 - Q Would the alcohol and the hydrocodone and any other drugs in Susan's system have been enough in and of itself to cause death?
- 25 A Putting that -- what you're saying now about

- 1 | the ethanol and the hydrocodone and the marijuana, in
- 2 | the absence of pulmonary edema, which wasn't -- as I
- 3 | said, the absence of it, that's inconsistent. There's
- 4 | not enough there to cause her to die just because she's
- 5 got an intoxicating amount of ethanol and hydrocodone
- 6 and marijuana.
- 7 Q Did you look for any injection marks on
- 8 Susan's body?
- 9 A I always do and then I note that, yes. And
- 10 one of the commonest places where I look for it, not
- 11 only in the arms, but in the thighs, in the abdomen, and
- 12 between the toes.
- Q Did you notice any injection marks on Susan's
- 14 body?
- 15 A No, sir.
- 16 Q But you did take a look for them; is that
- 17 | correct?
- 18 A Oh yes.
- 19 Q Okay. Now, did you change your conclusion as
- 20 to the cause of death?
- 21 A After several months, yes.
- 22 Q Yes. And did that come about because of
- 23 reflection or new information or how did that come
- 24 about?
- 25 A Primarily through all of those, including

- 1 discussion with Dr. Chapman, of all people. And there
- 2 | was -- we actually went to the scene. And I never
- 3 created a report of that, but we went to the scene of
- 4 the -- of the decedent's death.
- 5 Q So would the fact that you went to the
- 6 scene --
- 7 A After the autopsy.
- 8 Q -- after the autopsy and you had interaction
- 9 with Dr. Chapman have been the two main reasons why you
- 10 changed your opinion as to the cause of death?
- 11 A The wording of it, yes, sir.
- 12 Q Now, did you ever see -- I'm going to show you
- 13 People's Exhibit 13, and I'll represent to you that it's
- on the letterhead of A. Jay Chapman, M.D., dated 20
- 15 April 2011, and addressed to Mr. David Eyster. I'm just
- 16 | wondering if you ever saw that.
- 17 A Yes, sir, I did. I have a copy of it, which
- 18 Deputy Alvarado gave me a few days ago.
- 19 (Exhibit 13 was identified.)
- Q (BY MR. STOEN) And did you see that prior to
- 21 | changing your conclusion as to the cause of death?
- 22 A I'm sorry, say that again.
- 23 Q Did you see that before you changed your
- 24 | conclusion as to the cause of death?
- 25 A This letter?

- 1 Q Yeah, had you seen that letter.
- 2 A I don't think so.
- 3 Q Okay. Did you around that time, April 20th,
- 4 2011, meet with Dr. Chapman?
- 5 A I don't remember. I may have socially. We
- 6 had lunch together or something.
- 7 Q Okay. Do you recall having a meeting with
- 8 | some of the deputies in the DA's office or some of the
- 9 investigators in the DA's office present with
- 10 Dr. Chapman and yourself around the 20th of April,
- 11 2011?
- 12 A I'm sorry, I don't remember that, sir.
- Q Okay. Now, did you -- after talking with
- 14 Dr. Chapman and after visiting the scene of Susan's body
- 15 being found, did you write a new report?
- 16 A I wrote -- yes, sir, I did.
- Q Okay. And I believe that would be -- do you
- 18 need to take a break, sir?
- 19 A No, sir. I need to get my briefcase.
- 20 Q And do you have a copy of that?
- 21 A It's a supplemental report.
- 22 Q Okay. I'm going to ask that that be marked as
- 23 People's --
- A But I'd like to have it to use it, please.
- 25 Q Oh, sure. I'm going to ask you about it.

- 1 A Sure.
- 2 Q What is the date of your new report and what
- 3 is the title of it?
- A It's -- the date is 4/27 two thousand -- I'm
- 5 sorry. It's a Supplemental Report of Necropsy, Case
- 6 Number 278-2010. The name of Susan Keegan appears on it
- 7 as the -- as the individual. The date -- I presume the
- 8 | date -- yeah, it's April 27th, 2011.
- 9 Q Okay. Is that when you recall writing it,
- 10 approximately?
- 11 A Correct.
- And then it says Susan Keegan's name and then
- 13 underneath that her birth date.
- 14 Q And what does it say after that?
- 15 A It says:
- "Based on further examination of recent
- and old historical fact, photographs,
- 18 documents, district attorney's investigators
- and consultation with other forensic
- 20 pathologists, new conclusions are made. The
- 21 pattern of injuries in this case are not
- 22 consistent with originating by accidental
- 23 means. They are most consistent with those of
- 24 an assault."
- And then below that it says: (1) "Blunt force

1 trauma to the head." And underneath that they are itemized: 2. "Multiple contusions of the head and face 3 resulting in massive multifocal hemorrhages; (2) Multifocal acute subgaleal hemorrhages, 5 6 most compatible with originating from multiple blows; (3) Acute lacerations of scalp; (4) 7 Acute left epistaxis," which is bleeding from 9 the nose, "Contusions and abrasions of 10 forearms, hands, and fingers consistent with defense wounds." 11 12 The new cause of death is: "Aspiration of vomitus due to blunt force trauma to head." 13 14 Other significant findings: "Ethanol and 15 hydrocodone toxicity." 16 And it's signed by Jason K.T. Trent, M.D., which is me. 17 18 So your conclusion was that the injuries of 19 Susan Keegan were most consistent with those of an 20 assault as distinguished from accidental means; is that 21 correct? 2.2 Yes, sir. Α 23 Is that your conclusion today? Q

I'm going to call that Exhibit Number 14 next

24

25

Α

Q.

Yes, sir.

- 1 in order. I'll have you look at it to make sure it's
- 2 the same one you have, sir.
- 3 A Yes, sir, it is.
- Q Okay. Thank you.
- 5 (Exhibit 14 was identified.)
- 6 Q (BY MR. STOEN) Now, I am going to shift focus
- 7 | a little bit to ask you: Do you recall a criminal case
- 8 of People versus Elliott that went to jury trial in
- 9 August 2010?
- 10 A No, sir.
- 11 Q Okay. I'm going to show you a necropsy report
- 12 | that is marked as Exhibit 15-C and ask you if you
- 13 recognize it and, if so, please identify it.
- 14 A Yes, sir. It's an autopsy report from 2008 on
- 15 a 27-year-old Native-American man.
- 16 Q Did you write that report?
- 17 A Yes, sir, I did.
- 18 Q Okay. Does that refresh your recollection a
- 19 little more about the case?
- 20 A No, sir. I'd have to read the whole case --
- 21 Q Okay.
- 22 A -- in detail.
- Q I'm going to show you what are Exhibits 15-A
- 24 and 15-B, which are entitled "Declaration of Jason
- 25 | Trent, M.D." I'd ask you to look at those, please, and

- 1 tell me if you recognize those.
- 2 A Yes, sir.
- 3 Q And what are they?
- A They are reports -- they were declarations
- 5 made by me on two separate dates: One is April 7th,
- 6 2012, and the other is September 8th, 2012.
- 7 Q Does that concern -- what's the name of the
- 8 | case that concerns? Does it indicate?
- 9 A Yes, sir, it does. It says People versus
- 10 Timothy W. Elliott, Mendocino County Superior Court, and
- 11 it gives your case number.
- 12 Q Okay.
- 13 A Court number.
- 14 Q Okay.
- 15 A Both of them.
- 16 Q Thank you, sir.
- 17 I'm going to show you what are marked People's
- 18 | 15-G and 15-D, and these are transcripts of the jury
- 19 | trial, and they're basically transcripts which appear to
- 20 be testimony that you made, and I want you to just take
- 21 | a look at them and tell me whether or not you
- 22 recall this is testimony that you made.
- That's -- that's on page 45 of -- let me just
- 24 | see what the exhibit number is, I'm sorry.
- 25 That's Exhibit 15-G, starting at page 45.

- 1 | Could you just look over that and tell me whether or not
- 2 you recognize that testimony?
- 3 A How far do you want me to read?
- 4 Q Just skim through it and tell me whether it
- 5 looks like you talking.
- A Yes.
- 7 Q And could you tell us what the date on that --
- 8 on the cover sheet says?
- 9 A It says received November 18th, 2010. It's a
- 10 stamp.
- 11 Q Does it say what the date of the partial --
- 12 | it's basically from August 18th --
- 13 A August 18th, 2010.
- 14 Q -- through August 26th, 2010?
- 15 A Correct.
- 16 Q So do you recall -- does that refresh your
- 17 | recollection that you testified in the trial?
- 18 A No, sir.
- 19 O It does not.
- Now, I'm going to show you 15-D, which is
- 21 | entitled "People versus Timothy Elliott," and it appears
- 22 to be the same testimony, but with different page --
- 23 pagination. Could you just take a look at that and tell
- 24 me whether that's your trial testimony.
- 25 A Yes, sir, I recognize this as being me.

- 1 Q That's the same case of People versus Elliott?
- 2 A I believe so, yes, sir.
 - Q Do you recall what the nature of the issue was? Did it involve the length of a knife and the cause of death, whether it was a knife or not?
 - A Yes.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

20

2.1

22

- Q Okay. And then I show you one more testimony, and this is dated Friday, June 21, 2013, reported in Ukiah, People versus Timothy Elliott, and it has testimony that is different from before. And it starts out on page 23 where it says "Jason Trent." And I'd like you to just take a look at that and tell me if that refreshes your recollection as to whether you provided that testimony on that occasion.
 - This is Exhibit 15-F, as in Frank.
- 16 A May I ask a question?
- 17 Q Yes.
- A Why is this case being presented in a grand jury hearing on Mrs. Keegan?
 - Q That's being presented -- I can't -- I can't answer that question, I'm sorry. It's just part of my responsibility to provide inculpatory and exculpatory evidence. That's all.
- 24 A All right, sir.
- 25 And your question is do I recognize this?

- 1 Q Yeah. Is that your testimony?
- 2 A Yes, it is.

2.1

3 Q Okay, thank you.

And I'm going to read into the record a statement by the Honorable Ann Moorman in the case of People versus Timothy Elliott, Case Number 13-24451, with a title "Felony Plea," held at the Mendocino County Courthouse, Ukiah, California, on August 15, 2013, before the Honorable Ann Moorman, Judge. Reported by Elaine E. Shore. This is certified by Elaine E. Shore as part of the Reporter's Certificate. And the portion that I wish to read to ask you whether or not you dispute it or not is -- this is from page 3, line 17 through page 6, line 21:

"I think" -- this is the Judge speaking, or the Court speaking -- "I think after hearing the testimony from Dr. Trent at the evidentiary hearing on June 21 as well as reviewing his trial testimony in the underlying proceeding, the original trial, a number of things have become clear.

"One is at the trial he testified as the coroner for the county, and gave expert opinion as did Dr. Haddox. The expert opinion he gave with respect to the murder weapon, the

knife was in part based upon an erroneous factual basis and that erroneous factual basis was that the knife had a blade of 3.5 or 3.6 inches long.

2.

1.5

2.1

2.2

2.3

"At different times upon cross-examination he reiterated that was a part of the factual basis that supported his opinion that the knife prosecution contends then and now, I believe, was the murder weapon, was capable of causing a wound that was much longer; approximately 6.7 inches long. And that was a bone of contention in the case.

"Dr. Haddox testified as an expert that a factual basis in her opinion was the knife having a blade of only 1.5 or 1.6 inches long. She testified that it was without question it could not have caused the injury in this case. And she demonstrated with demonstrative evidence available to her at the moment.

"Subsequent to trial and the conviction and the case going up to the Court of Appeals, Dr. Trent filed two separate declarations where not only did he change his ultimate opinion with respect to could the murder

weapon, that being a knife, have caused the wound, he changed his opinion whether it could be the murder weapon but then he also changed the underlying factual basis upon which his new opinion was premised.

2.

1.5

2.1

"And that was, according to the declarations of April and September 2012, he corrected a factual basis with respect to the murder weapon and said that based on the blade of the knife only being 1.5 or 1.6 inches long, I agree with Dr. Haddox the knife in question could not have caused the wound that led to the death of the victim.

"Then -- and those declarations were not only filed -- signed by Dr. Trent but his testimony was that he prepared the declarations. And they were not verbatim identical, but substantively identical, and there were two of them signed under penalty of perjury.

"Subsequent to the filing of those declarations, the Court of Appeal remanded the case to this Court and we had the hearing in question in June. At the hearing in June Dr. Trent again changed his opinion. This

time in contradiction to the declarations he
previously filed he stated under oath here in

3 court personally that he was wrong in what he

had stated -- set forth in his two

1.5

2.1

2.2

5 declarations filed with the Court of Appeal.

"He was mistaken, and that in fact in the moment as of June of this year he opined that in fact the blade that had a length of about 1.6 inches long could have caused the wound that led to the death of the victim.

"His reasoning for this new opinion
was -- he said it a couple of different
times -- quote, 'I was just wrong, I was
mistaken in referencing the declarations filed
with the Court of Appeals,' unquote.

"But no other fact reasoning was supplied to now give a different opinion based on a corrected factual basis and importantly a different factual basis than the one upon which he based his opinion at trial. For example, he didn't provide any testimony that the physical dimensions of the victim would support the conclusion he had now was stating in June of 2013.

"So I concluded that because Dr. Trent's

original opinion at the trial was based on a factual basis that was wrong, that being the blade of the knife was 3.5 inches long, the opinion can't stand and the conviction can't be supported.

"And because I believe that Dr. Trent's subsequent declarations filed with the Court of Appeals stating that that knife could not have been the murder weapon contradicted the testimony he gave on June 21 in this court, I believe Dr. Trent's credibility is in doubt and that I find his testimony at trial to be implausible and unbelievable, and I find that that opinion lacking a factual basis, coupled with two separate recantations of subsequent opinions, has rendered the underlying trial for Mr. Elliott to be fundamentally unfair within the meaning of the due process of the 5th, 6th and 14th amendments."

Do you dispute what doctor -- what Judge Moorman said in that transcript?

A No, sir.

1

2.

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

- Q Thank you.
- 24 (Exhibit 15 was identified.)
- MR. STOEN: I have no further questions. But

- 1 we could take questions from the grand jurors, please.
- 2 A JUROR: Could we take a short break?
- 3 MR. STOEN: It's up to the foreperson. She's
- 4 the boss here, not me.
- JURY FOREPERSON: Yeah, let's take a break.
- And, remember, I've been asked to remind everybody to
- 7 | not discuss the case once we leave the jury room.
- 8 MR. STOEN: And do not form any opinions.
- JURY FOREPERSON: And do not form any
- opinions. We'll recess until 10:50. It's now 10:40.
- 11 (Recess taken.)
- 12 MR. STOEN: Take the roll call, please.
- 13 JURY FOREPERSON: We'll take the roll call
- 14 again.
- 15 (Roll call taken; all jurors present.)
- MR. STOEN: Before I take the next question, I
- 17 have to make an instruction from yesterday's testimony,
- and that is the grand jury is instructed that the answer
- 19 of witness Linda Puls to the question if she had a
- quote, "theory" as to why Susan Keegan would have
- 21 | alcohol and/or drugs in her system at her death if she
- 22 | were not a drug abuser, etcetera, is being admitted
- 23 | solely for the purpose of aiding in determination of the
- 24 | witness' state of mind and may not be considered for any
- 25 other purpose.

- 1 Thank you.
- JURY FOREPERSON: And I also wanted to mention
- 3 that you are reminded that you are still under oath in
- 4 this matter.
- 5 MR. STOEN: Now we're going to have questions,
- 6 please.
- JURY SECRETARY: Question number 34 from juror
- 8 616861.
- 9 MR. STOEN: Somebody was working here.
- 10 Q (BY MR. STOEN) Doctor, is it standard
- 11 | practice to have law enforcement present at an
- 12 autopsy?
- 13 A Depends on the autopsy.
- 14 Q Would you say -- what percentage would you
- 15 say?
- 16 A The reason I say -- I can't give you a number.
- 17 The reason for that is that if it's a suspicious death
- or the investigation is being extended or hasn't been
- 19 | completed, then deputies or detectives are present;
- 20 otherwise, no.
- 21 So I can't tell you the percentage because it
- depends on how many homicides and those suspicious
- deaths you have in your jurisdiction. And if it's more
- 24 | than 50 percent, than it's more than 50 percent. But if
- 25 you're not -- if you are a very peaceful community, it

- 1 | could be 10 percent. So there's no specific number.
- 2 Q Next question you can answer if you know: Did
- 3 you have a difficult time ascertaining how Mrs. Keegan
- 4 hit the front of her head, as well as the back?
- 5 A I don't understand that question because --
- 6 Q If you don't understand it, then we can go on.
- 7 A Okay.
 - Q Was any sexual assault trauma observed?
- 9 A None was observed.
- 10 Q If you noticed a body on the floor, what would
- 11 | your first instinct be? Would you verify ABC? Would
- 12 you initiate CPR?
- A Find out whether they're dead or not.
- 14 Q Yeah. And how would you do that as a medical
- 15 person?
- 16 A Well, you can palpate for a pulse in the neck
- or in the -- in the wrists or -- I don't run around with
- 18 a chest -- with a stethoscope, so ...
- 19 Q Do you know what ABC means in medical
- 20 | terminology? Would you verify by ABC?
- Do you know what that means?
- 22 A Yeah, it's airway, blood pressure, and
- 23 circulation.
- Q If you saw a body on the floor, would your
- 25 | first instinct be to use that? I think that's the

- 1 essence of the question.
- 2 A Well, if they were dead, I wouldn't. But if
- 3 you -- if I was satisfied that they were not dead, yes,
- 4 I would try and resuscitate them.
- One thing that isn't being done anymore in CPR
- 6 is mouth-to-mouth resuscitation.
- 7 Q Next question is inadmissible legally.
- 8 Does anything particularly stand out in your
- 9 memory about Mrs. Keegan's autopsy?
- 10 A Not really.
- 11 Q Would binding of the hands cause the edema?
- 12 A Not to the degree that it was present in her
- 13 hands. Because if it was bound, there would be
- 14 | compression around the wrists, and the edema seems to
- 15 go -- I presume that that's what the questioner is
- 16 asking about binding of the hands.
- 17 Q Okay.
- 18 A And so I don't think that -- you would see the
- 19 | imprints of something like a cord or a rope or something
- 20 like that, and there wasn't.
- 21 Q The next question has to do with the alcohol
- 22 that was found to the extent of .16 in her blood. Could
- 23 that be a causative factor of more blood flow than
- 24 otherwise?
- 25 A No. And it has absolutely -- that's a

- chemical test. It's got nothing to do with the flow of blood.
- MR. STOEN: Next question, please.
- Part of these have been answered.
- 5 JURY SECRETARY: Number 35, juror 616861.
- Q (BY MR. STOEN) What condition was -- were the organs of Susan Keegan in? For example, did she -- did her liver show cirrhosis or show signs of damage?
- A I don't think so because that's all
 represented in the -- in the autopsy report. The liver
 is examined -- looked at, examined, taken out, weighed,
 sectioned, and cirrhosis is very easily determined just
 by even looking at it.
 - I presume you're talking about alcoholic cirrhosis. Cirrhosis is fibrosis of the liver.
- 16 Q So you didn't detect any of that?
- 17 A No, sir.

- Q Are you voice recording as you are conducting your autopsy?
- A Yes and no. At the beginning of the autopsy I actually dictate the external examination, and that's with a dictating instrument in my hand. If I have to use both hands, then I put that dictating instrument down, perform what I'm supposed to perform, then I dictate and continue.

1 Q And --

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

20

- 2 A Excuse me, let me finish.
 - After the prosection is complete, then I dictate all my findings. What I do at the time of the autopsy, while I am performing the autopsy, I have a piece of paper -- a pad of paper where I put just brief notes to myself about the findings as I am continuing through the autopsy. And then at the end of the autopsy I dictate using -- part of it is my memory because I've been doing this for so often, I know the sequence the organs go or are dictated, and then I have these notes that I've written.
 - Q Okay. Try to speak up, if you could, Doctor.
- 14 A I'm sorry. I apologize.
- 15 Q Thank you.
 - A I'm answering the question to the questioner, so I should be answering to you. I apologize.
- 18 Q If Susan Keegan was injected after being down,
 19 would the drugs circulate or get dispersed?
 - A What does the questioner mean by "down"? Is she alive or dead?
- If she's dead, no, it doesn't get circulated.

 If it's -- where is it injected, is it intravenously?

 If it's intravenous, then I would presume to have seen

 some areas of injection. And usually the common places

- are in the arms or in the neck. And so there's no 1 2. evidence that she was injected.
- What is -- the next question is legally 3 0 inadmissible.
- MR. STOEN: Next question. 5
- 6 JURY SECRETARY: Number 36 from juror 611538.
 - 0 (BY MR. STOEN) Is it plausible that Linda -that Susan Keegan, running for the toilet, had a heart attack, fell into the vanity, and aspirated her vomit?
- There's no evidence that there was a heart attack. Presumably you're talking about a myocardial infraction. The coronary arteries are not occluded. There's no evidence in the heart itself, which is taken -- which is looked at before it's taken out, then 1.5 it's removed, then it's cleaned by running water, then the coronary arteries are actually sectioned, and then 17 the muscle is sectioned. And I've been doing so many autopsies, I can tell you whether there's a fresh 19 infarct present.
 - 0 Thank you, sir.
- 2.1 MR. STOEN: Next question.
- 22 JURY SECRETARY: Number 37, juror
- 23 number 626897.

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

18

20

24 (BY MR. STOEN) I'm going to ask: Could you Q 25 show Dr. Trent the picture of Susan's top of her hand

- 1 and ask Dr. Trent if that puncture, I guess, could be
- 2 | indicative of a wound that could be an injection site.
- I presume it has to do with the -- I don't
- 4 want to presume.
- 5 A Yeah, I remember the picture.
- Oh, good. You have a better memory than I do,
- 7 sir.
- 8 A I mean I just saw it this morning. It's not
- 9 that I remember it from six years ago. You know, I've
- done hundreds of autopsies since then.
- 11 Q Okay. This is 7-N. Is that the one --
- 12 | that's, I believe, the picture the juror is referring
- 13 to.
- 14 A Yeah. There is -- there's another one which
- 15 has --
- 16 Q Yeah, I'll let --
- 17 A There is another picture. It might be -- it
- 18 might be in that pile.
- 19 Okay. This one is not because you can
- 20 actually see --
- Q Would you refer to the number?
- 22 A I'm sorry, on 7-N --
- 23 Q Yes.
- 24 A -- there's a picture of the proximal portion
- of the right thumb, and there is a small laceration

- 1 which is approximately -- looks like an 8th of an inch
- 2 in length. That's definitely not an injection site.
- 3 That's the only picture of a hand.
- JURY FOREPERSON: It might have been in that,
- 5 but I could have sworn it was in that. Can I look at
- 6 that, please?
- 7 MR. STOEN: Would that help you?
- JURY FOREPERSON: Maybe he can be looking
- 9 through those and I can look at that pile.
- 10 THE WITNESS: All right. There is one here of
- 11 | the left hand. It has no blood on it, but these are not
- 12 injection sites.
- Q (BY MR. STOEN) I'll put it on the screen here
- 14 and everybody can see it better.
- 15 A That's one.
- Q Okay. Here.
- 17 A Oh, thank you.
- 18 Yeah, this -- this is definitely --
- 19 | well, you can show it.
- 20 Do you want to look behind you and see? Is
- 21 | that the same one you now have?
- We'll have -- this is --
- A No, no, it's completely different.
- Q This is number 5 within the autopsy set,
- 25 Exhibit 9.

- 1 A Yeah. That's 7-G and that is not an
- 2 injection.
- 3 Q Okay.
- A And this is also not an injection.
- 5 Q And that's 7-G?
- 6 A 7-G.
- 7 Q 7-G of Exhibit 7, you're saying that is not an 8 injection site. Is that what you had in mind?
- 9 A Yes, that is not an injection site. It's too large.
- 11 Q Thank you, Doctor.
- MR. STOEN: Next question, please.
- 13 THE WITNESS: And the other thing is, there
- 14 | is -- there is no single vessel that's large
- 15 enough to -- underneath the hand like that. You know, I
- have very big veins on my hand, the dorsal surfaces of
- my hand, but still that is not -- on Susan's Keegan's
- 18 hand is not an injection site.
- MR. STOEN: Next question.
- JURY SECRETARY: Question number 38 from juror
- 21 number 610214.
- Q (BY MR. STOEN) I don't understand this
- 23 question: Was there a recording in this case?
- I believe you mentioned that you recorded --
- 25 A I dictate as I perform the autopsy, yes.

- 1 Q Did you save that recording, by any chance?
- 2 A I'm sorry, no, no.
- 3 Q Okay. You use it mainly for note-taking?
- A Yeah, and then I erase it as soon as I'm finished.
- 6 Q Is that the common practice within the --
 - A Well, it is with me. I don't know about other pathologists. You don't have the space and time to store all this stuff. That's why the -- that's why there is the dictated material -- I mean typed material.
- Q Okay.

9

10

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

- JURY SECRETARY: Question 39 from juror

 616861.
- Q (BY MR. STOEN) The first question is one that's already been repeated.
 - The second one is -- the question is: But your memory has been found flawed, so how can you say with a high degree of accuracy your findings?
 - A I can answer only the questions that are asked and that are -- that I can remember by reading my notes or somebody else's reports, and that's it. And if I don't know the answer, I'll tell you I don't know or I don't remember.
- Q Thank you, sir.
- JURY SECRETARY: Question number 40 from juror

- 1 640779.
- Q (BY MR. STOEN) Did you observe any signs of
- 3 any form of drug addiction?
- A I can't say that I have because you don't see
- 5 that by looking at a body or cutting a body, performing
- 6 an autopsy.
- 7 Q Thank you, sir.
- 8 JURY SECRETARY: Question 41 from juror
- 9 number 611538.
- 10 Q (BY MR. STOEN) The question is: Were Susan
- 11 | Keegan's legs also swollen similarly to her face and
- 12 arms?
- A I don't think so because if they were, I would
- 14 have reported that on the autopsy report.
- 15 Q Thank you.
- 16 JURY SECRETARY: Question number 42 from juror
- 17 610214.
- Q (BY MR. STOEN) I think we may have answered
- 19 | this, but I'll ask it again: There is what appears to
- 20 be a dark spot on the back of Susan's hand. Can you
- 21 identify it?
- I guess it's with respect to what we've
- 23 already shown.
- A Yeah, it's a contusion or perhaps -- what is
- 25 the dark spot? Is it -- on the hands bilaterally from

- 1 | the photographs, if you look at them, you can see they
- 2 are swollen because there's puffiness and there is
- 3 diffused purple -- pale purplish discoloration.
- 4 Is that the spot or spots that is being
- 5 referred to?
- Q I think we're talking about the two dark spots
- 7 | that were shown on the Elmo that you just testified to
- 8 about five minutes ago.
- 9 A Oh, yeah. Well, that's a matter of blood.
- 10 Disruption of -- it's full thickness through the
- 11 | epidermis and the dermis. That's where the blood vessel
- 12 | are, so that's where some -- some of the blood that came
- out of there, and it's still present there.
- 14 JURY SECRETARY: Question 43 from juror six --
- 15 I think we're missing a number. It's not the right jury
- 16 | number, 62689. I don't know, that's not right.
- Okay. So we'll go to the next question, juror
- 18 number 609424.
- 19 MR. STOEN: What's the number on it?
- JURY SECRETARY: Okay, we'll go back to the
- 21 other one. Sorry. Number 43, 626897.
- Q (BY MR. STOEN) Question: Can you please have
- 23 Dr. Trent re-explain the correlation of the drugs found
- 24 | in her system and the condition of the heart at the time
- of the autopsy, the inconsistency, if any?

- 1 A I'm sorry, I don't understand. What kind of 2 inconsistency?
- 3 Q Well, I can only tell you what this question 4 reads.
- 5 A I understand, sir. That's what I'm saying.
 - All right. This is a lady who's 55 years old, she weighs 195 pounds, and she's 5'8". I described her as overweight. I really don't know what her BMI is, so whether she's classified as obese --
 - Q What is BMI, sir? What is a BMI?
- 11 A I'm sorry?

7

9

10

16

17

18

25

- 12 Q You said BMI.
- A Oh, her basal -- what is it -- metabolic index.
- 15 Q Okay.
 - A By -- by definition today, I'm defined as being obese because my BMI is 31, and I don't consider myself to be obese. I'm overweight, but I'm not obese.
- pounds, she's 5'8", and her heart weighs 335 grams. The left ventricle is 1.3 centimeters and the right ventricle is 0.4 centimeters. It says the chambers are mildly dilated. The endocardium, chordae, and papillary muscles show no anatomic abnormalities. The muscles are

perfectly normal in appearance. The myocardium, which

- 1 is blood -- the heart muscle, is a uniform tan-brown in
- 2 color and show no lesions.
- 3 So there is nothing in her heart that -- I
 4 don't understand what the relationship would be between
- 5 the heart and whether she's intoxicated with a drug.
- 6 Q Thank you, sir.
- JURY SECRETARY: Question number 44 from juror 8 609424.
- 9 Q (BY MR. STOEN) How would you explain the
 10 lividity in the top of the hands given the position of
 11 the body?
- 12 A I'm sorry, I don't understand the question,
 13 quite frankly.
- MR. STOEN: Okay. Next question.
- JURY SECRETARY: Question number 45 from juror 616861.
- Q (BY MR. STOEN) Mrs. Keegan -- if Mrs. Keegan
 was alleged to be an addict of alcohol and drugs, did
 you see anything to suspect that?
 - A You don't see anything. The only thing I can say is from the toxicology information that she was intoxicated.
- Q Okay. Thank you.

2.1

2.2

A But it says nothing about her habits as far as drinking or using drugs.

1 Q Thank you. MR. STOEN: Anything else? 2 JURY SECRETARY: That's it. 3 MR. STOEN: Dr. Trent, it's a pleasure to meet 4 you. And thank you for showing up. 5 6 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 7 MR. STOEN: We'll call our next witness. I'll go out and get him. 8 9 10 MICHAEL JOSEPH FERENC, M.D. 11 Called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, testified as follows: 12 13 14 THE REPORTER: Will you please state and spell 15 your name. 16 THE WITNESS: My name is Michael Joseph Ferenc. The last name is "F", as in Frank, e-r-e-n-c. 17 18 19 EXAMINATION 20 (BY MR. STOEN) What is your occupation, sir? Q 2.1 A I'm a forensic pathologist. 22 And how many years have you been a forensic Q 23 pathologist? A Since about 1986. 24 25 Q And what are the general duties of a forensic

pathologist?

2.

1.5

2.1

A Forensic pathologist is a person who's trained to examine deaths that occur that come under various state laws and typically deaths that are unusual and deaths related to trauma.

Q If you would be kind enough to raise your voice. We're not sophisticated here. We don't have any electricity that we can use for that.

JURY FOREPERSON: Excuse me.

MR. STOEN: Yes.

JURY FOREPERSON: I'd like to stop for just a moment because I am supposed to be reading before each witness the secrecy admonition.

MR. STOEN: Please do that, yes.

JURY FOREPERSON: Grand Jury proceedings and investigations are secret. You are therefore admonished on behalf of the Mendocino County Superior Court and the criminal grand jury not to disclose your grand jury subpoena or your grand jury appearance to anyone and not to reveal to any person any questions asked or any responses given in the grand jury or any other matters concerning the nature or subject of the grand jury's investigation which you learned about by your grand jury subpoena or during your grand jury appearance, except to your own legal counsel. This admonition continues until

- 1 | such time as a transcript of the grand jury proceeding
- 2 is made public or until disclosure is otherwise
- 3 authorized by the Court or by operation of the law.
- 4 Violation of this admonition is punishable as contempt
- 5 of court.
- THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. I understand that.
- 7 I've been before a grand jury before.
- 8 Q (BY MR. STOEN) Could you just give us a
- 9 | summary of your educational background from college to
- 10 medical training?
- 11 A From medical school or --
- 12 Q Yeah, from medical school.
- 13 A Medical school. I graduated from the
- 14 University of Texas, Southwestern Medical Center, in
- Dallas in 1981 with an M.D. degree. I then did a family
- 16 practice internship in Dallas, Texas, from '82 to '85.
- 17 | I did a residency in anatomic pathology at the New York
- 18 Hospital, Cornell University Medical Center in
- 19 | Manhattan. From '85 -- or '85 to '86 I did a year of
- 20 basic research at Cornell University Medical Center, New
- 21 York Hospital. And most of '86 to '91 I worked in the
- 22 office of the Chief Medical Examiner in New York City.
- 23 From '91 to '98 I was an assistant medical
- 24 | examiner/coroner in the San Francisco Medical Examiner's
- Office. And then I went to Maine where I was the deputy

- 1 | chief medical examiner for the state. And since then
- 2 I've worked in places such as Kern County, Marin,
- 3 Arizona, in Tucson and in Phoenix, and even occasionally
- 4 | when I was on holiday for Dr. Trent here in Mendocino
- 5 and Lake County.
- 6 Q Okay. You knew where to come.
- 7 And what is your current position?
- A I'm the Chief Forensic Pathologist for the
- 9 Alameda County Sheriff's Office Coroner's Bureau.
- 10 Q And how long have you been there, sir?
- 11 A This is actually the second time I've been
- 12 | there. I've been there for a little better than two
- 13 years.
- 14 Q Okay. And can you make an estimate as to how
- many autopsies you've conducted over the years?
- 16 A No, sir, I actually can't, but thousands.
- Q Okay.
- 18 A Several hundred a year each one of those
- 19 years.
- 20 Q Have you ever worked with Dr. Jason Trent?
- 21 A Yes, back in San Francisco, but that was many,
- 22 many years ago, in the mid nineties, I think.
- 23 Q And were you asked to review the findings of
- 24 Dr. Trent based on his autopsy of Susan Keegan on
- 25 November 12th, 2010?

1 A Yes, sir.

2.1

- 2 Q And how did that come about?
 - A I actually don't remember other than, in reviewing the report, I believe either via Dr. Trent or the sergeant at the coroner's bureau, they wanted to refer it to me and I looked at it.
 - Q Do you recall what was asked of you?
 - A Just to review what I thought of the pictures and reports that they gave me.
 - Q Okay. I'm going to show you what's been marked as Exhibit Number 10, which appears to be the report of Jason K.T. Trent for the autopsy of 11/12/2010 and ask you if you recognize that.
 - A At this point in time I don't recognize it in a sense of remember it in any detail, but I recognize that this is a report related to the same lady that I reviewed.
 - Q Okay. Thank you.
 - Did you also look at photographs, like the autopsy photographs that he referred to in his report?
 - A I reviewed some photographs. But I did not keep any of these papers, so I don't know which photographs I received.
 - Q Did you receive -- did you receive any autopsy photographs that you believe came from Dr. Trent's

- 1 autopsy?
- 2 A Yes, sir, I did.
- Q This is People's Exhibit Number 9. I just
 want you to kind of just flip through and tell me if any
 of those photos look like you reviewed them.
- A The only picture here that rings a bell in my
 memory -- again, because I don't remember the pictures
 and I don't have copies of them -- is, for example, one
 of the ones with the purple discoloration on the back of
 the hand.
- 11 Q Okay. That's in this set?
- 12 A Yes, sir.
- And I know I must have seen the ones including
 lacerations on the head just because I commented about
 them.
- 16 Q Okay.

24

- A But do I remember from seeing those pictures?

 No, I don't.
- 19 Q So we can say you saw one or more of the 20 photos in this exhibit; is that correct?
- A Yes, sir. Although, I'm not sure I saw all of them. But yes, sir.
 - Q I'm going to show you what's marked as

 People's Exhibit Number 7, which are individual pages
 going 7-A through 7-S, and I'll represent to you these

- 1 are photos taken of the decedent, Susan Keegan, at the
- 2 scene and ask you if you've ever seen those photos.
- A My answer is basically the same. I know I've seen some of these, including ones with her head bent
- oddly against the cabinet, but I can't tell you if I've
- 6 seen all of them.
- 7 Q Okay. Thank you, sir.
- Do you recall talking with Dr. Trent after you were asked to review his necropsy report?
- 10 A No, I don't. But I note in my report that I
 11 did, so I must have.
- 12 Q We recognize this was some years ago.
- A Yes, sir. And my memory is not getting better.
- 15 Q Before writing up your review of Dr. Trent's 16 report, do you recall having a phone conversation with 17 Sergeant Poma in late February of 2011?
- A No, sir, I don't, but that wouldn't surprise
 me either.
- 20 Q Okay.
- 21 A I probably would have tried to.
- 22 Q I'm going to show you what's marked People's
 23 Number 12, ask you if you would take a look at it, tell
 24 us if you recognize it and, if so, please identify it.
- 25 A Yes, sir. It's a copy of the report I

- generated -- oh, excuse me, is this my report? Yeah,
- 2 but also a page of Dr. Trent's on the back here.
- 3 The first three pages are my report and then
- 4 there's a page on the back that's Dr. Trent's.
- 5 (Exhibit 12 was identified.)
- JURY FOREPERSON: I think that was his copy
- 7 that he put underneath the two exhibits. I can get that
- 8 back to him.
- 9 MR. STOEN: Okay. Thank you.
- 10 Q (BY MR. STOEN) You might want to refer to
- 11 | this. I'm going to ask you a couple of questions about
- 12 it.
- 13 A Thank you.
- Q Do you recall, if you do, talking to Sergeant
- Poma or anybody in the law enforcement about how quickly
- 16 Susan Keegan might have died?
- 17 A That depends on where she died. But if she
- 18 dies at the -- yes, I do remember talking about that.
- 19 Q Okay. And do you recall what was -- how that
- 20 discussion went, who said what?
- 21 A No, sir. I -- I know from reviewing my report
- 22 | what I probably said to the person, but I can't -- I
- don't remember the conversation beyond that.
- Q Remembering -- on the basis of what you do
- 25 | remember, do you have an opinion as to whether she died

1 quickly or not?

2.

2.1

A If the lady died in the bathroom, then she died quickly. And I base that on features related to blood that I would expect to see there more than any particular injury, and also mechanistically how, if the injury occurs with her neck bent that way, it could involve damage to her cervical neck. That's a quick death usually.

Q Okay. And did you notice anything about -- in any of those photographs that struck you as significant as to the relationship of the laceration to her head and the amount of blood on the floor?

A There was a lack of blood on the floor, which I would have expected to be more.

Q Why was that?

A Because when -- when somebody has a scalp laceration, I mean I'm sure -- again, I'm talking as an expert, but to give some point of reference to the people, anybody who has had a scalp laceration, a tear, just a fancy word for tear, they bleed quite a bit, very extensively. It's a very vascular part of the body. So scalp lacerations tend to make a lot of blood all over the place and make a mess. Okay? The lack of the blood there concerns me that either she died very quickly or that's not where she died.

Q Okay. In this conversation, to the extent you recall it, do you recall the topic of bruising and

discoloration of hands and arms being brought up?

1.5

2.1

- A No, I don't. But that is evident in the pictures that there's discoloration of the hands. The question is how much of it is real and how much is settling of blood and, even if it's real, what caused it.
- Q Would you have an opinion as to whether or not blunt force was used in the bruising on those hands?
 - A It could have been. It could have been at least part of it. That's the question, how much is settling of blood, which is lividity, how much is blunt force trauma, and of course implicit in that is what caused the blunt force trauma.
 - Q I'd like you to return to your report using that to refresh your recollection. Does your report say: "Based upon the reports and my conversations with Dr. Trent, I do not find it convincing that this lady was the victim of an assault"?
 - A Yes, it's in here somewhere. I haven't found it yet, but in reviewing it this morning, I saw that somewhere. Yes, sir.
- Q And so was that -- that was your report at that time; is that correct?

- 1 A Yes, sir.
- Q Okay. Now, you also say, quote, correct me if
 I'm wrong, "Although I may not be able to definitively
 explain the findings in the hands and wrists, I should
 add that their overall appearance is not, in my
 experience, particularly suggestive as defense injuries
- Is that what you wrote in your report?
- 9 A Yes, sir.

or restraint injuries."

7

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- Q Was there any word that -- was there a particular reason why you used the phrase "not be able to definitively explain"?
- A Yes, sir. Because the question is, are the -or in my mind the question was how much is injury, how
 much is lividity. Then if you find injuries, how
 much -- and I don't want to cast aspersions on the lady,
 but she was drunk. There were other things affecting
 her that cause people to fall, and I've seen this all
 the time. How much could be the result of somebody
 assaulting her versus how much could be the result of
 people falling.
 - Q So that was an open question?
- 23 A That's the way I wrote it that way, yes, sir.
- Q Right. Now, you also state that you noted inconsistent lividity in her left hand; is that correct?

- A Yes, I wrote it somewhere, but it would be based on some of the pictures, which I can't remember.
- Q Okay. Would you look at your report and see
 if I'm being fair to you in quoting you?
- 5 A I think you're correct in quoting me, yes, 6 sir.
- Q Okay. And you give two possible explanations:

 "That someone lifted her hand from the floor to check a

 pulse and dropped it back into her lap and,

 alternatively, the inconsistent lividity could represent

 the body had been moved from another location."

12 Have I quoted you accurately?

- A Yes, sir. Those are two possibilities.
- Q Okay. Does that mean that if there was evidence that nobody moved her hand, the only reasonable explanation is that the body had been moved?
 - A As long as we've reasonably excluded injuries as being a component or part of a component of it, it might suggest the body has been moved, yes, sir.
- Q Okay.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

- A I would never claim to say that those are the only possibilities, but those are the ones that come to mind, yes, sir.
- Q Most -- would you say those are the most -- two most reasonable possibilities?

- 1 A Those are two reasonable possibilities. Not 2 "most," but reasonable possibilities, yes, sir.
 - Q Okay. Now, speaking as an expert, let's just assume that the husband stated that all he did was touch his wife's foot and the first responder from the fire department says in his official report, quote, "We did not move the victim," close quote, would the most reasonable explanation be that the body had been moved?
 - A Again, I will refuse to use the word "most," but I would say it would be a reasonable explanation.
 - Q Thank you.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

- In summation, would you agree there's some ambiguities as to the cause of death?
- 14 A Absolutely. And that's what I tried to reflect in my report.
 - Q Okay. Have you ever in all your years of experience written an necropsy report and then, after further reflection or new information, changed your report as to the cause of death?
 - A Absolutely.
 - Q And so would you consider that to be both reasonable and ethical to do as a pathologist?
 - A Reasonable, ethical, and absolutely necessary.
- Q Thank you, sir.
- Now, are you aware of any change by Dr. Trent

- as to his conclusion as to the cause of death of Susan
- 2 Keegan?

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- A Just recently the investigator sent me a copy
 of his, I think, one page. It was the thing that was
 attached there, the one page.
- JURY FOREPERSON: This is actually -- I mean I think it's in the exhibit.
- MR. STOEN: I have it marked as People's 14.
 - Q (BY MR. STOEN) I show you People's 14 and ask you to take a look at it. Do you recall seeing that from our investigator or from anybody else?
 - A Yes, sir, just recently. Recently meaning days.
 - Q Okay. And do you note that he's changed the cause of death of Susan Keegan to, quote, "blunt force trauma to the head"?
 - A I actually don't remember what was on his original autopsy report, but I agree with this.
 - Q And then he says that the pattern of injuries, quote, "are most consistent with those of an assault."
 - Do you see that in that report?
- A Most consistent -- wait, pattern of injuries
 in the case is not consistent with originating by
 accidental means, they are most consistent with those of
 an assault. Yes, sir.

- 1 Q So I'm fairly reciting what's in that report;
- 2 is that correct?

- 3 A You are, sir.
- Q Do you have any reason to dispute Dr. Trent's new conclusion?
- A I would -- first of all, I can't tell you what

 pictures I actually saw or didn't see then. I know they

 were less extensive than what's there. I would always

 defer to the pathologist that actually saw things with

 his own eyes unless I had strong reason to disagree with

 it, and I don't have any reason to doubt Dr. Trent.
- 12 Q Okay. So you don't have any reason to hold it
 13 against him that he changed his report?
- A Absolutely not. If he has new information or in reviewing it he realizes something's different, I would agree that he should change it.
 - MR. STOEN: I move that particular exhibit into evidence.
- 19 (Exhibit 14 was received.)
- MR. STOEN: And now we can take some
- 21 questions. That's all the questions I have, Doctor.
- JURY SECRETARY: Question number 46 from juror

 3 61681.
- Q (BY MR. STOEN) Is it standard procedure to have other pathologists' work reviewed?

- 1 A Yes. In some places it is actually required.
- 2 For example, in New York City you're supposed to have
- 3 | two pathologists see every case and sign the cases, San
- 4 Francisco used to have the chiefs review it, and in
- 5 Alameda I review other people's cases routinely.
- 6 Q And why are some things not accounted for,
- 7 such as voice calls, pictures, etcetera?
- A I don't understand.
 - Q I don't either. So we'll call that legally
- inadmissible.

- If you came across a body, as a doctor, how
- would you determine life or death? What would your
- 13 procedure be?
- 14 A Well, at some point it -- I don't want to
- 15 | sound ridiculous, but it becomes absurd if I see -- in
- 16 | fact, I used to use it in the pictures like this in the
- 17 lectures for the law enforcement academies. If you see
- 18 lividity, settling of blood, if you can feel rigor
- 19 mortis, then that person has been dead for hours.
- If we're talking about an acute death, you
- 21 | would check for a pulse, you would check to see if the
- 22 pupils are reacting, you would listen for a breath, you
- would do something as simple as press on a finger and
- 24 look for capillary refilling, which is two to three
- 25 | seconds in a healthy person.

There are multiple things you would do. It would depend upon how long the interval was what you were looking for.

1.5

2.1

- Q What do you see as being the most ambiguous factor as to the cause of death of Susan Keegan?
- beyond belief with all sorts of injuries simply as a result of multiple falls and being drunk and having lacerations and even managing to get home before they die. It's amazing what can happen. And the biggest concern I'm not sure I'm answering it correctly, hopefully I am is, okay, you have injuries. Injuries are one thing; can you carefully and absolutely accurately go backwards and say, well they have to be because of this versus because of this, because of something else, are you sure that they're just one thing and not the possibility of other factors in it.

MR. STOEN: Next question.

- JURY SECRETARY: Question number 47 from juror 619185.
- Q (BY MR. STOEN) Was there any evidence of cervical slash neck injury as far as you know?
- A Nothing that was proved. You can see in the pictures that her neck is amazingly bent, and the question would be whether or not a further neck

1 dissection might have shown things.

2.

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

When I started years ago, I wouldn't have even thought about doing it. But I've had a number of car whiplash injuries, which is the kind of thing you do to -- this neck thing, where the actual damage in the spine of the upper neck is so subtle that you'll miss it without going specifically in there.

So I don't think that was done here based upon my memory. It would be a question for Dr. Trent, not me. But that's the kind of subtlety you'd have to look for to say is this the kind of neck-snapping injury that, even though she doesn't have a skull fracture, actually did damage here.

Those are the kind of injuries you see in like car accidents where people are whiplashing or another classic one is the football player that gets his head popped back suddenly and then goes over to the bench and drops dead all of a sudden.

I'm not sure I answered your question, but I think I did.

- Q Well, it's not my question. So you did the best you could.
- 23 A Okay.
- MR. STOEN: Next question.
- JURY SECRETARY: Question 47, I believe.

- 1 MR. STOEN: That was 47.
- JURY SECRETARY: Oh, okay. Do I have question
- 3 46?
- 4 MR. STOEN: It's right here.
- JURY SECRETARY: Okay. So question 48 then is
- 6 from juror 626897.
- 7 Q (BY MR. STOEN) Can you please have Dr. Ferenc
- 8 | look at pictures of the hand, specifically the lividity,
- 9 and ask if the presence of blood slash lividity on the
- 10 top of the hand is inconsistent with the -- with the
- 11 | location/position of the hands.
- Did you understand that question, sir?
- A Sort of. It was part of the issue of what's
- 14 | in my report, which is some of this -- is this lividity,
- 15 | are there injuries here.
- Looking at this very first picture, which I'll
- 17 | put my glasses on, is --
- 18 Q And that's 7-A, I believe.
- 19 A 7-A is on the top.
- For example, if the purple -- purple is a
- 21 | color. What is it due to, is it a bruise, is it
- 22 lividity, which is it, what's going on. If this is due
- 23 to lividity, it didn't happen in this position. It
- 24 | didn't happen in this position. That's the issue of
- 25 whether things had been moved.

- 1 Q Ah.
- 2 A Okay?
- 3 Q Thank you, sir.
- MR. STOEN: Next question.
- JURY SECRETARY: Question number 49 from juror
- 6 641677.
- 7 MR. STOEN: Sounds like we have a city here
- 8 | with all these numbers.
- 9 THE WITNESS: Zip codes.
- 10 Q (BY MR. STOEN) I believe you just answered
- 11 | this. Well, I'll ask it again in case you didn't: If
- 12 Mrs. Keegan died quickly from falling into the position
- 13 | she was found, would you have expected to find different
- 14 | things from the autopsy?
- 15 A Please repeat it.
- 16 Q If Mrs. Keegan died quickly from falling into
- 17 | the position she was found, would you have expected to
- 18 find different things from the autopsy?
- 19 A From the autopsy itself, not necessarily,
- 20 because she aspirated a lot of material into her lungs,
- 21 | which can happen with cervical neck injuries, for
- 22 example.
- Cervical neck injuries, the thing I'm
- 24 concerned about, that I keep coming back to, that's
- often associated, at least in my experience, with a

- 1 | little bit of subarachnoid hemorrhage, like Dr. Trent
- 2 observed. It can be associated with lacerations or
- 3 impact sites where they had hits. So the autopsy
- 4 | itself, no. It would be more the issue of the external
- 5 findings, again, and what they represent.
- 6 Q Thank you.
- 7 MR. STOEN: Next question.
- JURY SECRETARY: Question number 50 from juror
- 9 609424.
- 10 Q (BY MR. STOEN) Question: Why did you decide
- 11 | the cause of death was not assault after examining the
- 12 | evidence?
- 13 A That's not a cause of death. That's a mistake
- 14 that everybody makes. The cause of death is the
- 15 | complications that happened from her blunt head injury.
- 16 The assault can be supported or detracted from from the
- 17 | autopsy, the autopsy and findings, which are physical
- 18 findings. They're like laboratory tests. But the
- 19 | assault is something you prove by investigation and
- 20 other features, not from an autopsy.
- I mean obviously if somebody was shot ten
- 22 | times in the back, you know somebody shot them. But I'm
- 23 saying as a general rule the autopsy is a laboratory
- 24 | test to show you findings that may be consistent or
- 25 | inconsistent with scenarios that are elaborated by

1 investigation. Autopsies don't prove assaults. Okay. Thank you. 2. Q MR. STOEN: Anything else? 3 JURY SECRETARY: I don't think so. 5 MR. STOEN: Doctor, thank you for taking time 6 from your pleasure. We appreciate it. I hope you have 7 a safe trip back. And you're through with this case as far as we know, for the present time anyway. 9 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 10 MR. STOEN: Thank you. 11 I guess it's almost time, Madam Foreperson, to 12 break. We don't have a witness ready to go until 12:45 or 1 o'clock. 1.3 14 JURY FOREPERSON: Okay, great. So should we break until 1:00 then? 1.5 16 MR. STOEN: It's up to you. 17 JURY FOREPERSON: 11:45 to 1:00? Yes? 18 A lot of head nods. 19 Please make sure you do not discuss the case, 20 keep it private, and don't form opinions. 2.1 Thank you. 2.2 (Lunch recess taken.) 23 MR. STOEN: Let's go on the record. 24 What's your number?

A JUROR: 611538.

It's confusing -- now today you were really clear when you deleted yesterday's testimony from our minds, but yesterday, because perhaps the witness was on the stand, it was confusing as to what was being deleted because she was talking about multiple medications and perhaps was beyond her expertise. I'm not sure what -- why it was deleted. But if you could be clear, like you were today, where this particular information is not relevant or is inadmissible evidence, I would appreciate it.

2.1

MR. STOEN: If I wasn't clear, I apologize.

A JUROR: [Juror 611583] I just wanted to make sure. You know, we're trying to pay attention, and there's a lot of information and we're trying to classify it and give it weight.

MR. STOEN: What I tried to state in my opening is that I have a tremendous obligation in this case to be fair to both sides, and that may -- maybe somebody's offended by the fact that I had to be hard on one of my own witnesses, but that's part of my obligation.

And I also have an obligation, if there's a tape recording and the transcript that goes with it, if it has anything in it that's legally inadmissible, I have to ask my secretary to redact it. So when I get

- 1 these, it may be at the very last minute, okay, so I
- 2 don't have the exact page numbers. And so I have to
- 3 say, well, there may be a few pages before or a few
- 4 pages after, because I just get this thing like five
- 5 minutes before I show up here. There's a lot of
- 6 preparation that goes into this kind of case.
- 7 A JUROR: [Juror 611538] You have an
- 8 incredible responsibility, and I really feel for you.
- 9 And I cannot understand how come we do not have a
- defense lawyer in a murder case. It defies my
- 11 understanding of the constitution and legality.
- 12 MR. STOEN: I can't respond to that. You know
- from my opening introduction what -- that is all I can
- 14 | say. I can't make any further comment about that.
- A JUROR: [Juror 611538] Okay. But, for
- 16 | instance, the whole telephone conversation that we spent
- 17 an hour and a half on was inadmissible evidence. The
- 18 | whole conversation was put in through trumped-up lies by
- 19 Susan.
- MR. STOEN: Well, I'd rather that you don't
- 21 | make any comments on the record. I'd ask the jury to
- 22 disregard what was stated. I better close off our
- 23 encounter right now. Thanks.
- A JUROR: [Juror 611538] But thank you for
- 25 listening.

```
1
               MR. STOEN: So I ask the jury to disregard
     anything that was stated in that interaction, please.
 2
                I'd rather you have the foreperson address
 3
     these issues.
 4
 5
                I think she had a question.
 6
               JURY FOREPERSON: Okay. Should I take roll
 7
     call beforehand?
               MR. STOEN: Yeah.
 8
 9
               JURY FOREPERSON: Okay. I'm going to start
10
     with roll call. We're now in session.
11
                (Roll call taken; all jurors present.)
12
               MR. STOEN: Do you want to declare that
13
     everybody's here?
14
               JURY FOREPERSON: Everybody is here, yes.
15
               MR. STOEN: I've just been informed that the
16
     witness is on the downgrade coming in from Ukiah, so it
     should just be a matter of five minutes.
17
18
                THE REPORTER: Are we off the record now?
               MR. STOEN: Yes, we're off the record.
19
20
                (Off the record.)
               MR. STOEN: Okay. We're ready. Come on in.
2.1
22
23
                        ONI ANNETTE LaGIOIA
24
     Called as a witness, having been sworn, testified as
     follows:
25
```

THE REPORTER: Will you please state your name 1 2 and spell it. 3 THE WITNESS: Oni, O-n-i, Annette, A-n-n-e-t-t-e, LaGioia, L-a capital G-i-o-i-a. 4 5 THE REPORTER: Thank you. 6 JURY FOREPERSON: Grand jury proceedings and 7 investigations are secret. You are therefore admonished on behalf of the Mendocino County Superior Court and the 8 9 criminal grand jury not to disclose your grand jury subpoena or your grand jury appearance to anyone and not 10 11 to reveal any person any questions asked or any 12 responses given in the grand jury or any other matters 13 concerning the nature or subject of the grand jury's 14 investigation which you learned about in your grand jury 15 subpoena or during your grand jury appearance, except to 16 your own legal counsel. This admonition continues until 17 such time as a transcript of the grand jury proceeding 18 is made public or until disclosure is otherwise 19 authorized by the Court or by operation of law. 20 Violation of this admonition is punishable as contempt 2.1 of court. 2.2 23 EXAMINATION 24 (BY MR. STOEN) Good afternoon, Oni. Q 25 Α Good afternoon.

- 1 Q And where do you live?
- 2 A I live in Ukiah at 412 Jones Street.
- 3 Q Try to speak up so people can hear.
- What is your name again, please?
- 5 A Oni LaGioia.
- Q And, Oni, where were you living in October and
- 7 November 2010?
- 8 A The same place.
- 9 Q And what is your occupation or what is your
- 10 former occupation?
- 11 A Right now I volunteer at the senior center,
- 12 but --
- 13 Q Speak as if you're talking to the last row.
- 14 A To them, okay.
- I was a Waldorf teacher before I retired.
- 16 Q And when did you retire?
- 17 A 1999.
- Okay. And what relationship, if any, did you
- 19 have with Susan Keegan?
- A We were best friends for 30 years.
- 21 Q And what kind of contacts did you have with
- 22 Susan over the years?
- 23 A Over the years we had -- we sang together in a
- 24 | trio for 20 years and saw each other frequently during
- 25 the week.

- 1 Q Okay.
- 2 A She lived in the neighborhood at first; they
- 3 did.
- Q Did you know her husband, Peter Keegan?
- 5 A Yes, Peter was my doctor for a while.
- Q Okay.
- 7 A Until about '98.
- 8 Q I'm going to show you just a photo of him.
- 9 It's marked People's Number 8.
- Do you recognize this person?
- 11 A Yes, Peter Keegan.
- 12 Q And what kind of a relationship did you have
- with Peter Keegan over the years?
- 14 A JUROR: Mr. Stoen, she's right in front of
- 15 that light, and so when I try to look at her, I can't
- 16 | see her face. The light on the projector in the back.
- MR. STOEN: Let me just turn that off.
- A JUROR: I could move, but --
- 19 THE WITNESS: I can move.
- MR. STOEN: That's okay.
- 21 A JUROR: Thank you very much.
- MR. STOEN: Is that better?
- A JUROR: That's much better.
- MR. STOEN: I'm surprised I found the right
- 25 button.

- 1 A JUROR: Well, I'm glad you did.
- Q (BY MR. STOEN) And so I'll just say, what
- 3 kind of a relationship -- oh, he was your doctor. And
- 4 did you see him very often?
- 5 A I saw him as a doctor and when I visited with
- 6 Susan at their home.
- 7 Q Okay. What was the nature of the relationship
- 8 between Susan and Peter as you understood it over the
- 9 years?
- 10 A Over the years he ran hot and cold towards
- 11 Susan and toward her friends.
- 12 Q And do you recall any changes in Peter
- 13 Keegan's demeanor towards Susan that you witnessed,
- 14 dramatic?
- 15 A Dramatic changes?
- 16 O Yeah.
- 17 A Yes. He asked for a divorce in --
- 18 Q Do you know when that was, approximately?
- 19 Now how do you know this information?
- 20 A I know it because Susan told me at art class.
- 21 MR. STOEN: Okay. Well, I ask the jury to
- 22 | strike what Susan told you and just ask you to look at
- 23 the general demeanor between the two.
- Q (BY MR. STOEN) Did you see a change in his
- 25 behavior?

- 1 A Yes, after -- after he asked for the divorce.
- 2 Q Okay.

13

14

1.5

16

20

2.1

22

23

- MR. STOEN: I'm going to place into the record

 at this time People's Exhibit Number 3, which I will

 represent is a certified copy of a petition for a

 divorce that was between Peter Keegan as the petitioner

 and Susan Keegan as the respondent for dissolution of
- 9 (Exhibit 3 was identified.)

marriage filed on October 21, 2010.

- Q (BY MR. STOEN) And I will ask you, Oni, if
 that date -- if that date is related to the change in
 demeanor in Peter Keegan as you witnessed it?
 - A I saw him before that. His demeanor changed in early --
 - Q Okay. But how soon before that date did you notice a dramatic change?
- 17 A He came to visit me in early October.
- Q Okay. And what did you notice that was a change in his demeanor?
 - A He was angry, in a rage, and complaining about Susan.
 - Q Did you see him in his relationship to Susan with this new change in demeanor?
- A I visited the house I think once in October,
 and he was slamming doors and moving around. He wasn't

- 1 talking.
- Q Okay. Now, did -- what did he say around the
- 3 time of the divorce or maybe a few weeks before the
- 4 divorce about Susan that was new to you hearing from
- 5 him?
- 6 A He came to visit me two times -- and to other
- 7 | neighbors also -- twice on a Saturday, came on his
- 8 bicycle.
- 9 Q What month was that?
- 10 A October.
- 11 O Of 2010?
- 12 A Early October 2010.
- Q Okay. So let's talk about the first trip he
- 14 made to you. What day of the week was it did you say?
- 15 A I believe it was a Saturday.
- 16 Q And where did -- and where did he come?
- 17 A He drove up the driveway on his bicycle and
- 18 came into --
- 19 Q Okay. Tell us exactly what he said.
- 20 A -- the backyard.
- 21 He said that Susan was addicted to Vicodin,
- 22 | Irish Whiskey, and marijuana and that she had a -- it
- lowered her libido.
- 24 Q Now, had he ever mentioned any of those things
- 25 to you before, say, October 1st of 2010?

- 1 A Never.
- 2 Q Did he -- what did he say with respect to the effect on him of Susan's libido being lower?
- A He said he had a fucking right to sex with his wife whenever he wanted.
 - Q Were those his exact words?
- 7 A Yes.

9

10

11

12

20

2.1

- Q And what was your response to that?
- A I said to Peter that in marriage you take -take someone for sickness and in health and that she was
 going through menopause, that might be a reason why her
 libido might be lowered.
- 13 Q Okay.
- A But that I didn't believe any of the other stuff that he had said.
- Q And what did -- and what did he say in response to what you said about marriage? Do you recall what he said next?
- 19 A In the first meeting?
 - Q Okay. Is there anything else said in the first meeting that you recall?
- A That he wanted -- wanted this divorce to

 happen before the end of the year, he hated her, and he

 couldn't have sex with anyone else until he got rid of

 her.

- 1 Q Are those his exact words, --
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q -- "get rid of her"?
- Okay. Now, did you -- what was his demeanor when he was talking to you about these subjects?
- A He -- the first time he was angry. The second time he was even more manic.
- 8 Q Okay.

16

18

19

20

2.1

- A I thought he was going to, you know, punch the
 walls. We were in a gazebo, but I thought he was going
 to punch something; like me, you know.
- 12 Q Okay. Where was this conversation that you 13 had?
- 14 A In my backyard in a gazebo.
 - Q Okay. And what did you say in response to his statements about her having an addiction?
- A An addiction, I said there's no way.
 - She's a pillar of the community. She always does her homework. We had art class twice a week and rehearsal and lunch and usually -- and then she did a contract for Bread or Breathers, and she always did every task, you know, with integrity.
- Q Okay. Were you aware of any drugs that she used?
- 25 A She used marijuana lightly.

- 1 Q And what else?
- 2 A I did ask her about the Vicodin, and she said 3 that she used it for pain once in awhile.
- Q Okay. Were you aware whether or not she used Vicodin?
- 6 A I was not aware before.
- 7 Q Did you become aware later that she did?
- A After Peter's conversation with me, I asked her about it.
- 10 Q Okay. I can't ask you what she said.
- 11 A Yeah.

15

16

19

20

2.1

- 12 Q Did you -- have you ever seen Susan
 13 intoxicated?
 - A I have never seen Susan intoxicated. In fact, if we went out to dinner, she would leave more than half a glass of wine, if she ordered wine at all.
- 17 Q Now, were you aware of any specific activity
 18 that Susan was involved in in the days before her death?
 - A She was in Hamlet, a production of Hamlet, and she had rehearsal every night and then the production weeks. And then on Sunday she made a turkey and threw a cast party.
- Q Do you know what Sunday that was?
- 24 A The Sunday before she died.
- Q Okay. Now, when did you last see Susan?

- A I saw her on the 10th of November, the day
- 2 before her -- it says she died.
- Q And what did you do that day with her, if anything?
 - A Okay. She picked me up for art class and we went to the college. Then she said she wanted to come home with me because --
 - Q You don't have to say what the reasons were.
- 9 A I don't have to say?
- 10 Q She came home with you?
- 11 A She came home with me for lunch instead of going to her own house.
- 13 Q Okay.

6

7

17

18

19

20

2.1

- A And stayed with me until 2 o'clock or so.
- 15 Q That's fine. And what was her demeanor on that day, the last day?
 - A She was centered, active. She was going to Santa Rosa to be proactive about the divorce that was inevitable, looking for housing or stuff.
 - Q Okay. This was your understanding of her total behavior; is that correct?
- 22 A She was totally up.
- MR. STOEN: So to the extent that this is based on statements from her, I instruct the jury to disregard the statements.

- Q (BY MR. STOEN) We can talk about demeanor and behavior, but we can't talk about statements of somebody that's not on the witness stand.
 - A Okay.

9

10

- Q And how did you recall -- how did you learn of the news of Susan's death?
 - A A neighbor came by knocking on my door in the evening and told me that she didn't show up for a book club that she ran and that the book club people called her home and Peter said she wasn't there.
- 11 Q Okay. What did you do -- and that was in the 12 evening, November 11th; is that correct?
 - A Yes, in the evening of November 11th.
- 14 Q And then what did you do after that
 15 conversation was --
- 16 A I called the house. I called Susan's house.
- 17 Q And who answered, if anybody?
- 18 A And Peter answered. And I said, "I want to 19 talk to Susan."
- Q And what did Peter say?
- 21 A He said, "She's not here."
- 22 Q And what was said next in that conversation?
- 23 A Then there was a long pause. I said, "I need to talk to her right now," because I had heard that 25 information that she was dead. "I need to talk to her

- 1 | right now. Where can I reach her?"
- 2 And then there was a long pause and he said,
- 3 "She's dead."
- 4 Q What was your response to that?
- 5 A I was stunned because that kind of confirmed
- 6 what I had heard from my neighbor.
- 7 Q Did he say anything else specific in that
- 8 conversation that you recall?
- 9 A That specific conversation? In subsequent
- 10 conversations.
- 11 Q Okay. Did you have another conversation with
- 12 | him in another call or another personal visit with him?
- 13 A Yes, I had both. I'm trying to recall what
- 14 | came -- what order it came in. Another call. I believe
- 15 he called -- I called because I wanted and other people
- 16 wanted to see her body.
- Q Okay. Do you recall when you made that call?
- 18 A Day before the autopsy.
- 19 Q Okay. Assuming the autopsy was --
- 20 A I don't have the date.
- 21 Q -- was on November 12th, would that have been
- 22 the same day you heard the news late?
- A No, there was another day in between, I think.
- Q Okay. So it was after November 11th that --
- 25 A No, it was after the autopsy, before the

1 cremation. Sorry.

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

- Q Okay. And so how -- do you have any idea how long after you got the news of Susan's death that this next conversation with Peter took place?
 - A With Peter? It was only a day or two.
 - Q Okay. And what was said by Peter in the second -- well, tell me how the call came about and what was said between the two of you.
 - A I called him to ask for the visitation, that
 we wanted to say goodbye. And he said he would check
 that out with Eversole Mortuary, see if that was
 possible. And he called me back a few minutes later and
 said, yeah, all I have to do is bring clothes over
 there, bring her some clothes.
 - And he said, "By the way, the boys are here and they want to have a memorial service. I don't give a fuck, but they want to have a memorial service and they want you to sing," because we were singing in a —together for all those years, "and would you also prepare a slide show."
 - Q Okay. What was your response to all those statements?
 - A I said I would -- I would do that.
- Q Okay. And did you attend the memorial service?

- 1 A I did attend the memorial service.
- 2 Q Did you sing?
- 3 A I sang with the other person in our trio.
- Q Who was that other person?
- 5 A Karen Gowan.
- 6 Q Okay.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

- 7 A And Dolores Carrick played the piano.
 - Q Did you notice -- did Peter Keegan make any appearance or any statements in that memorial service?
- 10 A No, he made no statements. He sat alone. His
 11 two sons sat on the other side of the church with me, in
 12 front of me.
 - Q Did you have any conversation with Peter about ashes?
 - A Yes. The day before the memorial service her family had come to town for the service and with lots of questions. But they had come to town, and he invited us all to a breakfast kind of thing, brunch at his house.
 - Q Okay.
 - A Okay. And so I was there and Susan's birth mother from New York came, and we went into the bathroom where Susan's body was found. And Peter came in right away, followed us in, and started to describe how she died.
- Q What did he say?

- 1 A He said she must have fallen back -- backwards
- on the sink and hit her head and then she must have
- 3 fallen on the floor and hit her head again.
- Q Okay. So did he say any other further
- 5 | comments in how he thought she may have died?
- A I'm sorry?
- 7 Q Did he say anything else about how he thought
- 8 Susan might have died besides what you just --
- 9 A In that conversation?
- 10 Q Yeah.
- 11 A No. I don't remember.
- 12 Q Okay. Then I asked you originally was
- 13 anything brought up about ashes.
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q Okay.
- 16 A Okav. Then we saw the box of ashes in the
- 17 | bedroom, outside of the bathroom. And her mother and I
- both wanted to have her ashes and other people in the
- 19 house did too, so we asked Peter if we could have ashes.
- Q What was his response?
- 21 A He said, "Sure" --
- 22 Q Okay.
- 23 A -- "I don't need them."
- Q Now, were you familiar with Peter's -- with
- 25 whether or not Peter had a Facebook page?

- 1 A Yes, he had a Facebook page.
- 2 Q Did you ever access that Facebook page with
- 3 regard to Peter before the news of the death of Susan?
 - A No.
- Okay. Did you see a Facebook page attributed
- 6 to Peter as the author after Susan's death?
- 7 A Yes.
- 9 A Within seven days.
- 10 Q And what was said in that Facebook page, as
- 11 | you recall?
- 12 A He changed his profile to say single or
- widowed, looking for a companionship, female
- companionship, or something to that effect.
- Okay. Now, has Peter ever indicated to you
- 16 any sorrow or remorse over Susan's death?
- 17 A Absolutely not.
- 18 Q I'd like to turn your attention now to
- 19 February 28, 2011, which is some three and-a-half months
- 20 | after Susan's death. Did you have an encounter with
- 21 Peter?
- 22 A I believe that's when he showed up on my
- 23 | porch --
- 24 Q Okay.
- 25 A -- with --

- 1 Q And tell us what you saw or witnessed.
- 2 A I heard noise on my porch. I was in the
- 3 kitchen.
- 4 Q What time of the day?
- 5 A It was afternoon.
- Q Okay.
- 7 A And I had heard he just had hired his criminal
- 8 lawyer.
- 9 MR. STOEN: Oh, I ask that the jury to
- 10 disregard any statement with respect to a lawyer.
- 11 THE WITNESS: Oh, okay.
- 12 MR. STOEN: Totally disregard that statement.
- 13 THE WITNESS: Sorry.
- Q (BY MR. STOEN) Go ahead.
- 15 A He stuffed a manila envelope in my mailbox.
- 16 That's what the noise was and --
- 17 Q Okay.
- 18 A -- his footsteps too. And I saw him walking
- 19 away across the street to where his car was parked.
- Q Okay. And what's the next thing you noticed?
- 21 A After he drove away?
- 22 Q Yes.
- 23 A I inspected the envelope.
- Q Okay. And what was in the envelope?
- 25 A In the envelope was a letter, a note to me

- 1 typed that said --
- 2 Q I'll try to show you what you may have in
- 3 mind. I'm going to show you what's marked People's
- 4 Number 28. I want you to take a look at this note and
- 5 | tell me if you recognize it and, if so, please identify
- 6 it.
- 7 A This is a copy of the letter that I received
- 8 from Peter.
- 9 (Exhibit 28 was identified.)
- 10 Q (BY MR. STOEN) Okay. Would you please read
- 11 | that note slowly, loudly.
- 12 A Okay.
- "Greetings. Wanted to be sure you didn't
- miss the scandalous AVA article you
- contributed to. Bruce got it wrong. Not
- 16 unusual. The toxicology report is back and
- showed high levels of opiates, alcohol,
- cannabis, antidepressants, tranquilizers, and
- other very" -- "very weird stuff. Susan kept
- secret journals that I found after her death.
- 21 Very disturbing. She had a hard time
- verbalizing the truth. Duped all her friends
- and obviously thought that writing about her
- 24 weird psyche was satisfactory when really she
- 25 needed professional help. Initially I thought

- 1 her death was accidental overdose. Now it's
- 2 apparent it was suicide."
- 3 Signed with a "P".
- Q Okay. I'm going to put that on the screen.
- 5 I'll ask you to take a look at the screen, and it's
- 6 probably going to be hard for anybody to read it in
- 7 detail, but does that look like the same letter you just
- 8 read?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q And you see a "P". Is that the "P" that you
- 11 | were --
- 12 A "P" for Peter.
- 13 Q -- just referencing?
- Okay. Now, what was the effect of that letter
- 15 on you?
- A On me. Well, the first sentence that I had
- 17 | contributed to the AVA thing was true, but also a veiled
- 18 threat I felt.
- 19 Q Okay. So what did you do --
- 20 A So I was -- I called --
- 21 Q -- in response to that feeling of a veiled
- 22 threat?
- 23 A I called the sheriff.
- 24 Q Okay.
- 25 A And then the rest --

- Q Was there anything else that was left with
- 2 that?
- 3 A That I -- that appealed to me? I don't know
- 4 how you commit suicide by falling backwards --
- 5 Q Okay.
- 6 A -- and hoping you'll kill yourself.
- 7 Q I can't have you speculate, I'm sorry.
- MR. STOEN: I'll ask the jury to strike that.
- 9 Q (BY MR. STOEN) Let me ask you this: Did you ever see anything that was related to anything suicidal
- 11 regarding Susan?
- 12 A Never.
- Q Isn't it true, Oni, that you have, from the beginning, pressed for law enforcement to do a thorough
- investigation of Susan Keegan's death?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q And you have strong feelings about this case,
 18 don't you; is that correct?
- 19 A I do.
- 20 MR. STOEN: Okay. That's all the questions I
 21 have of this witness. We can take some questions.
- JURY SECRETARY: Question number 51 from juror number 616861.
- MR. STOEN: Thank you.
- 25 Q (BY MR. STOEN) Did Mr. Keegan ever make you

- 1 uncomfortable throughout the relationship with
- 2 Mrs. Keegan?
- 3 A I was never -- would you read it again?
- 4 Q Yes. Did -- this is the way it reads, I'm
- 5 just reading it: Did Mr. Keegan ever make you
- 6 uncomfortable throughout the relationship with
- 7 Mrs. Keegan?
- 8 A My relationship with her?
- 9 Q I don't know what that means.
- 10 A Or his relationship?
- 11 Q Put it this way -- there's a second follow-up:
- 12 | Was Mr. Keegan abusive -- was he abusive -- I'm going to
- 13 find that question inadmissible.
- 14 The next question is: What was the tone of
- 15 his voice when he told you she was dead?
- A It was cold. I can't really describe it. It
- 17 was hard and cold.
- MR. STOEN: Okay. Next question.
- 19 JURY SECRETARY: Question number 52 from juror
- 20 number 610214.
- 21 Q (BY MR. STOEN) Mrs. LaGioia, were you able to
- view Susan's body at the mortuary?
- 23 A Yes.
- Q What is in your memory of that viewing?
- 25 A In that viewing I saw bruises on her temples.

- 1 Her hands were covered. They were underneath a sheet.
- 2 Q Okay.
- 3 A So I didn't see anything more.
- 4 Q Thank you.
- JURY SECRETARY: Question number 53 from juror
- 6 number 624323.
- 7 MR. STOEN: Thank you.
- 8 Q (BY MR. STOEN) The first part I can ask: How
- 9 | was Susan's attitude towards Peter?
- 10 A Susan loved Peter, and because he was up and
- 11 down, hot and cold their whole marriage, 32 years, she
- 12 always expected him to calm down again.
- 13 Q Okay.
- 14 A So ...
- 15 Q That's good enough. Thank you.
- 16 A She protected him.
- 17 Q Thank you.
- JURY SECRETARY: Did you not answer a part of
- 19 this?
- MR. STOEN: Let me see it again to make sure.
- 21 JURY SECRETARY: I crossed off the wrong one,
- 22 I think.
- MR. STOEN: Yeah, it's inadmissible.
- JURY SECRETARY: The first or the second one?
- MR. STOEN: The second part is inadmissible.

- JURY SECRETARY: Okay. Question 54, juror
- 2 number 620342.
- 3 MR. STOEN: Thank you.
- 4 Q (BY MR. STOEN) Did you and Susan share
- 5 personal conversations with each other?
- A Yes.
- 7 MR. STOEN: Next question.
- JURY SECRETARY: Number 55 from juror 609424.
- 9 Q (BY MR. STOEN) What was Susan's attitude
- 10 about the coming divorce?
- 11 A At first --
- 12 Q Not using her words.
- 13 A Not using her words. That's kind of hard.
- 14 First she was surprised because she didn't
- 15 expect it to happen at this time in her life. The kids
- 16 | were grown up. And then after a couple of times with a
- 17 therapist and a couple times with a lawyer, she
- 18 realized --
- 19 Q With a lawyer --
- 20 A -- it's really going to happen.
- Q Okay. So, basically, that was your general
- 22 | impression from her behavior as distinguished from any
- 23 specific words that she stated to you?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 So then after that she was proactive about it.

- 1 Q Were you aware of any extramarital
- 2 relationships that Susan had while married to Peter?
- 3 A She never had one.
- JURY SECRETARY: Question number 56 from juror
- 5 616861.
- 6 Q (BY MR. STOEN) In response to your statement
- 7 of being uncomfortable, were you ever put in a position
- 8 while being friends with Mrs. Keegan that made you
- 9 uncomfortable with Mr. Keegan?
- 10 A At various times when I'd visit their house,
- 11 | if he was in his slamming-door mood, he wouldn't talk
- 12 and I was uncomfortable there.
- 13 Q Okay. That's fine.
- JURY SECRETARY: Question number 57 from juror
- 15 640779.
- THE WITNESS: And there was another time I was
- 17 uncomfortable with that one.
- 18 Q (BY MR. STOEN) Okay. Go ahead and elaborate.
- 19 A When we would have rehearsals there,
- 20 he would -- after he closed his practice, he went to
- 21 | Covelo to work, and he worked two days a week in Covelo.
- 22 Q How do you know that?
- A He told me.
- Q Okay, fine.
- 25 A But he would come home on Thursday night. And

- 1 he also had told me in one of our conversations that he
- 2 expected, you know, his papers -- newspapers to be in a
- 3 row, expected the rehearsal to be over, and expected his
- 4 wife to have dinner for him.
- 5 Q Okay.
- 6 A And that made us uncomfortable.
- Q What was Mr. Keegan's relationship with his sons, as far as you know?
- 9 A When they were young Susan established that he
- should be a father to their children instead of just
- 11 being a doctor, you know, and she doing all the
- 12 parenting, and I think he took that seriously and he did
- 13 that.
- 14 Q Thank you.
- 15 A So I think they all had a fairly good
- 16 relationship.
- Q Was he ever, to your knowledge, angry or
- 18 violent with respect to his sons?
- 19 A I don't think so. I don't know.
- 20 Q Okay.
- 21 A I don't know.
- JURY SECRETARY: Question number 58 from juror
- 23 626897.
- Q (BY MR. STOEN) Did Susan ever give any
- 25 | indication of physical or verbal abuse?

1 Susan? Α 2. Q. Yes. 3 Α Or Peter? No, did Susan ever gave you any indication --I should -- I should interpret this as physical abuse. 5 6 Did Susan ever give you an indication of 7 physical abuse? Yes. When they moved 20 years ago from my 9 neighborhood to their house on Whitmore --10 Okay. I better -- I better stop you there because I think we'll get into uncharted territory. 11 Did she ever act scared the afternoon before 12 her death while at your house? 13 14 Yes, she was scared to go home for lunch. Α 15 Thank you. That's enough. JURY SECRETARY: Number 59, juror 16 17 number 616861. 18 (BY MR. STOEN) Did you ever suspect Mr. Keegan of having an affair? 19 20 Α Yes. MR. STOEN: Any other questions? 2.1 22 JURY SECRETARY: No. 23 MR. STOEN: Well, Ms. LaGioia, thank you so

much for coming all the way up here. You're going to be

excused now. And you've heard the admonition that this

24

- 1 is secret and it's not to be discussed with anybody.
- THE WITNESS: Yes, I understand.
- MR. STOEN: Okay. So I'll escort you out.
- 4 THE WITNESS: Thank you for all your
- 5 questions.
- 6 (Brief pause.)
- 7 MR. STOEN: At this point we're going to be
- 8 distributing some transcripts of some 911 calls. And
- 9 it's going to be -- is it four sets of transcripts?
- MR. ALVARADO: Yeah.
- MR. STOEN: There's going to be one disc
- 12 | that's being played with four calls on it, but you're to
- 13 get a separate transcript for each of the four calls.
- 14 All these calls are rolled together.
- So do you want to give them to the foreperson
- 16 to distribute?
- MR. ALVARADO: Yes. I've sectioned them off.
- MR. STOEN: Maybe if could you get the disc
- 19 in.
- 20 Let me introduce it first. I have here disc
- 21 | number -- this is Exhibit Number 36, and I'll represent
- 22 that this is a disc of the 9-1-1 calls placed on
- 23 November 11th, 2010.
- JURY FOREPERSON: These are the originals.
- MR. STOEN: Thank you.

- The transcripts are marked 36-A, 36-B, 36-C,
- 2 36-D.

disregarded.

- 3 (Exhibit 36 was identified.)
- 4 MR. STOEN: You have to leave the room as soon 5 as the first sound comes out of there.
- The point is it may be a little jumpy. It may
 be going quick. We can play it as many times as the
 grand jury needs to.
- 9 The first 9-1-1 call to MCSO, that will be the
 10 first one you hear; the next one is called a 9-1-1 call
 11 to medical, that's number 2; the next one is 9-1-1 call
 12 to MCSO; and the fourth one is second call from Peter
 13 Keegan to MCSO. Is that clear with everybody?
- 14 Let's go off the record.
- 15 (Recess taken.)
- JURY FOREPERSON: We're all here, so I'm going to do a quick roll call.
- 18 (Roll call taken; all jurors present.)
- MR. STOEN: I have to make one further

 admonition to the jury. When Ms. LaGioia made the

 statement in answer to the question did she believe that

 Mr. Keegan had an affair, you're instructed to disregard

 that statement except to the extent it shows Oni

 LaGioia's state of mind. Otherwise, it's to be totally

Okay. Does everybody have their transcripts
in order? Do you want me to read it again as to what
the order is? It's Keegan first 9-1-1 to MCSO, Keegan
9-1-1 call to medical, Keegan 9-1-1 call to MCSO, Keegan
second call from Peter Keegan to MCSO.

Just show me what button to push and then you have to leave. Thank you, sir.

2.1

2.2

(Playing of the 9-1-1 audio calls.)

MR. STOEN: We can play it again, if you wish.

(Playing of the 9-1-1 audio calls.)

MR. STOEN: Does anybody want to hear it again?

Okay. Well, Madam Foreperson, I think that's going to conclude the witnesses for today. Tomorrow is what's called a dark day, there will be no grand jury proceeding tomorrow, and whatever time the foreperson wants you here on Friday.

So we anticipate a full day of witnesses on Friday and on Monday. We don't know if it will go into Tuesday, but we think that this probably will go to you for deliberation Tuesday or Wednesday. That's our best estimate.

So if you'd give the final admonition about not discussing and not investigating, keeping secret the proceedings, Madam Foreperson.

1 JURY FOREPERSON: The secrecy or this one? 2 MR. STOEN: Yes, that's the one. 3 JURY FOREPERSON: Grand jurors are admonished that they are not to form or express any opinion about 5 this case or discuss it among themselves until the grand 6 jury receives the case for deliberation. In addition, 7 no investigation or inspection of any evidence should be conducted without the permission of the foreperson and 8 9 on advice of the deputy district attorney. A violation 10 of this could result in a charge of contempt against a 11 grand juror who investigates or views any matters with 12 regard to this case without the entire body of the grand 13 jury and in violation of this admonition. MR. STOEN: Thank you all. 14 15 JURY FOREPERSON: So Friday morning, 9:30. 16 (Proceedings adjourned.) 17 18 19 20 2.1 22 23 24 25

I hereby certify that the above transcript of proceedings was taken down, as stated in the caption, and that the foregoing pages 179 through 287 represent a complete, true and correct transcript of the proceedings had thereon.

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

Dated: August 17, 2017

Anne Ramirez, C.S.R. 6186 Court Reporter