	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MENDOCINO		
	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,		
	Plaintiff,		
	VS.		
	PETER RICHARD KEEGAN,		
	Defendant.		
	/		
INDICTMENT - VOLUME I			
Pages 1 - 178			
Reporter's Transcript of Grand Jury Indictment Proceedings			
Held on Tuesday, August 1, 2017. Reported by Anne Ramirez, C.S.R. 6186.			
	ADDEADANCES OF COUNSEL		
	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL		
	For the Plaintiff: TIMOTHY O. STOEN Deputy District Attorney		
	Mendocino County Courthouse Ukiah, California 95482		
1	ADAIR, POTSWALD & HENNESSEY Certified Shorthand Reporters		
	P.O. Box 761, Ukiah, California 95482 (707) 462-8420		

GRAND JURY EXHIBITS

 \sim

1

2				
3	VOLUME	I IDENT	IFICATION	RECEIVED
4	1	Grand Jury Packet	14	14
5	1-A	Amended Grand Jury Packet	14	14
6	2	DA letter to Grand Jury Foreperson summarizing case	24	702
7	4	DMV photo of Peter Keegan	47	51
9	5	Beristianos investigation repodated 11/11/10	ort 46	51
10	6	Photos at Keegan residence 120 Whitmore Lane on 11/11/10	89	94
11	7	Photos of decedent Susan Keegaat scene on 11/11/10	an 81	103
13	8	Photo of Peter Keegan at scene on 11/11/10	e 50	51
14 15	9	Autopsy photos of Susan Keega: taken on 11/12/10	n 109	702
16	19	CD of Keegan/Puls phone call on 9/17/12	147	147
17	19-A	Transcript of Keegan/Puls phone call on 9/17/12	147	147
19	22	Google area maps of Keegan residence at 120 Whitmore Land	59 e	61
20	33	Linda Puls map of interior of Keegan residence	162	702
22	34	Peter Keegan's envelope, letter and toxicology reports sent to Linda Puls		702
24	35	Peter Keegan's Facebook photo of bathroom as snapped by Linda Puls	s 136	136

(Proceedings held on Tuesday, August 1, 2017.) 1 2 MR. STOEN: Ms. Foreperson, would you please 3 call the grand jury to order by stating this hearing is 4 5 now in session. 6 GRAND JURY FOREPERSON: This hearing is now in 7 session. MR. STOEN: And, Madam Foreperson, would you 8 please swear the reporter. Use the format that I have 9 10 previously provided to you. 11 12 (Whereupon the court reporter was sworn.) 13 MR. STOEN: And, Ms. Madam Foreperson, would 14 15 you please call the roll by numbers, not names. And every person should respond by saying "present" or 16 "here." 17 18 JURY FOREPERSON: 636055. 19 A JUROR: Here. 20 JURY FOREPERSON: 627585. 2.1 A JUROR: Present. 22 JURY FOREPERSON: 616901. 23 A JUROR: Here. 24 JURY FOREPERSON: 640779. A JUROR: Present. 25

	5
JURY FOREPERSON: Do I have to say myself?	
MR. STOEN: Yes, call yourself.	
JURY FOREPERSON: 626897. Present.	
632221.	
A JUROR: Here.	
JURY FOREPERSON: 612941.	
A JUROR: Here.	
JURY FOREPERSON: 610214.	
A JUROR: Here.	
JURY FOREPERSON: 633737.	
He was one of the alternates.	
MR. STOEN: Okay.	
JURY FOREPERSON: 641677.	
A JUROR: Here.	
JURY FOREPERSON: 629643.	
A JUROR: Here.	
JURY FOREPERSON: 619185.	
A JUROR: Here.	
JURY FOREPERSON: 609424.	
A JUROR: Here.	
JURY FOREPERSON: 616861.	
A JUROR: Here.	
JURY FOREPERSON: 623205.	
A JUROR: Here.	
JURY FOREPERSON: 611538.	
	MR. STOEN: Yes, call yourself. JURY FOREPERSON: 626897. Present. 632221. A JUROR: Here. JURY FOREPERSON: 612941. A JUROR: Here. JURY FOREPERSON: 610214. A JUROR: Here. JURY FOREPERSON: 633737. He was one of the alternates. MR. STOEN: Okay. JURY FOREPERSON: 641677. A JUROR: Here. JURY FOREPERSON: 629643. A JUROR: Here. JURY FOREPERSON: 619185. A JUROR: Here. JURY FOREPERSON: 609424. A JUROR: Here. JURY FOREPERSON: 616861. A JUROR: Here. JURY FOREPERSON: 623205. A JUROR: Here.

1	A JUROR: Here.
2	JURY FOREPERSON: 617653.
3	A JUROR: Here.
4	JURY FOREPERSON: 641103.
5	A JUROR: Here.
6	JURY FOREPERSON: 620342.
7	A JUROR: Here.
8	JURY FOREPERSON: 634889.
9	A JUROR: Present.
10	JURY FOREPERSON: 624323.
11	A JUROR: Here.
12	JURY FOREPERSON: So
13	MR. STOEN: Madam Foreperson, may the record
14	reflect there are 19 grand jurors present and one
15	alternate; is that correct?
16	JURY FOREPERSON: That is correct.
17	MR. STOEN: Thank you.
18	And, Ms. Madam Foreperson, would you please
19	designate one of the grand jurors by number to act as a
20	clerk in this proceeding.
21	JURY FOREPERSON: Yes, I will. Juror
22	number 636055 has offered to do that.
23	MR. STOEN: And another by number as a
24	Sergeant of Arms to keep order.
25	JURY FOREPERSON: Yes. We have a volunteer,

1 610214.

2.1

MR. STOEN: Thank you.

Okay. Would you please designate then grand juror number -- that's already been indicated to be the clerk and the grand juror to be the Sergeant of Arms, just designate them officially.

JURY FOREPERSON: Okay. Juror number 610214, I designate you to be the Sergeant of Arms. And juror number 636055, I designate you to be the secretary.

MR. STOEN: Now, I'm going to request the foreperson to pass out to each grand juror what is called a grand jury packet.

A JUROR: May we make notes on the packet?

MR. STOEN: Yes, you may make notes on the packet. They will be returned at the end of the case and shredded.

(Brief pause.)

MR. STOEN: Before we proceed, the schedule in this case is that we're going to be presenting evidence today through witnesses, possibly four, hopefully four, and then tomorrow we'll have three witnesses; then we're going to be dark on Thursday, which means there will be no proceeding at all; and then on Friday we'll resume again, and probably again on Monday, maybe Tuesday. So we hope to finish this case next week. But when you

- 1 have 15 witnesses, or maybe more, who have schedules,
- 2 | it's sometimes difficult to be able to bring them in at
- 3 | the right time. So you'll have to just bear with us on
- 4 that, please.
- 5 And you should find now in your grand jury
- 6 | packet what's called a proposed indictment; that means
- 7 | an accusation, a list of witnesses, and the jury
- 8 | instructions. And at the last page is a list of -- an
- 9 initial list of exhibits. And it may be that not all of
- 10 | them get admitted into evidence, but most of them will
- 11 | probably be admitted into evidence. It's just to give
- 12 you guidance here.
- So, Madam Foreperson, would you please read
- 14 the statement of proposed charges and the admonition of
- prejudice, which you have been handed now, to the grand
- 16 jury.
- JURY FOREPERSON: I will.
- The matter to be presented today involves a
- 19 possible subject and the possible charges are Richard --
- 20 | excuse me -- Peter Richard Keegan, Penal Code 187, a
- 21 | felony of second degree murder.
- MR. STOEN: And would you please name the
- 23 | witnesses as you understand them to be from the initial
- 24 list of witnesses.
- JURY FOREPERSON: Yes, I will.

Potential witnesses are Jay Beristianos, 1 Jacquelyn Rainwater, Linda Puls, Oni LaGioia, Jason K.T. 2 Trent, Michael Ferenc, Andrew Alvarado, Kay Belschner, 3 Karyn Feiden, Kevin Bailey, Mike Hermann, Scott Poma, Norm Rosen, Mary Pierce, and A. Jay Chapman. 5 6 MR. STOEN: Can you make a note for the record whether any of the jurors have withdrawn having heard 7 your admonition. 8 9 JURY FOREPERSON: Would any jurors like to 10 withdraw based on the admonition? A JUROR: Which admonition is that? 11 12 MR. STOEN: May the record reflect none of the 13 jurors have chosen to withdraw. 14 A JUROR: What was the admonition? 15 MR. STOEN: Could you please read the 16 admonition again, please. 17 JURY FOREPERSON: The admonition statement is: 18 The grand jury is about to consider a request for an 19 indictment for second degree murder, Penal Code 187, 20 against Peter Richard Keegan, brought by the District 2.1 Attorney. I direct any member of the grand jury who has a state of mind in reference to the case or to either 22 23 party which will prevent him or her from acting 24 impartially and without prejudice to the substantial

rights of either party to retire.

25

1 A JUROR: Thank you.

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

JURY FOREPERSON: And there are no jurors that would like to withdraw.

MR. STOEN: Would you please indicate for the record then -- the record should indicate that none of the jurors have withdrawn.

JURY FOREPERSON: Let the record indicate that none of the jurors have withdrawn.

You may proceed, Counsel.

MR. STOEN: Madam Foreperson, would you remind the grand jury that your statement of the proposed charges and my opening statement are not evidence in this case and are not to be considered as evidence by any member of the grand jury. You may do so by stating the grand jury is so advised.

 $\,$ JURY FOREPERSON: The grand jury is so advised.

MR. STOEN: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. My name is Tim Stoen. I am a Deputy District Attorney for the County of Mendocino and have been assigned to present this case to you. My role in this case is not that which I would have in a jury trial as an advocate. With a grand jury matter, the role of a prosecutor is more of that of a legal advisor.

The evidence that supports the charges in this

case is called inculpatory. The Latin root of the word inculpatory means fault and blame. The evidence that 2. explains away the charge is called exculpatory. The Latin root of exculpatory means free from blame. I have a duty to inform you of the nature and existence of all exculpatory evidence that I am aware of. You will, therefore, be hearing both inculpatory and exculpatory evidence.

2.1

Some of the documents submitted to you, as well as a voice recording, will have portions removed. This means they are redacted. The reason for redaction is that the redacted material contains material inadmissible -- legally inadmissible under the evidence code.

In addition, there will be occasion when a witness or document presents information that is inadmissible except for a single purpose. When that happens, I am required to do an admonishment. This means I will instruct you that evidence regarding that particular subject matter is admissible only for a specific purpose, which I will name, and may not be considered for any other purpose.

After I complete the examination of a witness,

I will ask: Does any member of the grand jury have

questions for this witness? All questions should be

submitted in writing and must not be addressed verbally
to the witness. They will be marked, for example, as
question number 1 from grand juror number 3. I will
review the questions for relevance and pertinence to the
investigation, for admissibility in this indictment
proceeding, and to ensure that it is not otherwise
improper. If the question is legally appropriate, I
will then ask the question of the witness.

2.1

My obligation in this matter is to keep the questions asked strictly within the rules of the Evidence Code. All of the written questions submitted, whether asked by me or not, will be placed into the record. Those that I am allowed to have asked will be put in an official exhibit marked "Grand juror questions asked of a witness," those that I am not allowed to ask will be put in an official exhibit marked "Grand juror questions not asked of a witness." Every decision I make is subject to scrutiny at a higher level if an indictment issues.

By way of general explanation, there will be many items of evidence that you may wish to see, for example, newspaper articles, websites and diaries, which cannot be admitted because they contain hearsay.

Hearsay means statements by somebody that -- who cannot be examined on the witness stand. One exception to the

hearsay rule is called admissions, which means hearsay statements by a party to a proceeding, such as a defendant. So these can be admitted.

2.

2.1

What I am now presenting to you is an opening statement. It is a summary, a map, if you will, of the expected evidence in this case. Nothing that I say to you in this opening statement is evidence. You can only make your decision in this case based on evidence, and the only evidence is that which comes to you from the witness stand and from documents admitted into evidence. If in this opening statement I make statements to you that turn out not to be supported by the evidence, you must totally disregard my statements.

To give you some overall structure for understanding this case in conducting your deliberations, there has been presented to you a document called a "Grand Jury Packet." Keep in mind that this grand jury packet is not evidence. Again, you can only make your evidence in this case based on the witnesses on the stand and from documents admitted into evidence.

Madam Clerk, I will ask you now to mark as received into evidence Grand Jury Exhibit Number 1. So you can hold onto that or you can put it on the table right here. Why don't you put it right here after you

1 receive it.

2.

1.5

2.1

Now, I am now -- I am now introducing and receiving into evidence the Grand Jury Packet, Exhibit Number 1.

(Exhibit 1 was identified and received.)

MR. STOEN: This packet has four parts: The proposed indictment, a list of witnesses, the legal instructions, and an initial list of exhibits. I will now go over each part by placing -- by reading them to you because you have them in your hand.

We'll start out with the indictment. There is an indictment presented to you for your deliberation.

The word "indictment" comes from Middle English and Anglo-French. It means an accusation with a specific meaning, which means a formal written accusation presented by a grand jury to a court.

In your packet you will see a copy of the proposed indictment. It is for murder in the second degree. In Count 1 is set forth the basic charge as follows:

"The grand jury of the County of
Mendocino, State of California, hereby accuses
Peter Richard Keegan of the crime of murder in
the second degree, a felony violation of
Section 187 of the California Penal Code, in

that between November 10 and 11, 2010, said defendant did willfully and unlawfully, with malice aforethought, kill a human being, to wit, Susan Ettinger Keegan."

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

Next is the list of witnesses, each of the anticipated witnesses being listed for you in the grand jury packet. And they are: Jay Beristianos, former Ukiah Valley Fire Department Battalion Chief; two, Jacquelyn Rainwater, Deputy Sheriff-Deputy Coroner in Ukiah who works for the County of Mendocino; Linda Puls, who is a resident of Atlanta; Oni LaGioia, who is a resident of Ukiah; Jason K.T. Trent, M.D., a resident of Ukiah; Michael Ferenc, M.D., who is a resident of Alameda County; Andrew Alvarado, Supervising District Attorney Investigator; Kay Belschner, Senior Criminalist for the Department of Justice in Eureka; Karyn Feiden, a resident of New York; Kevin Bailey, Chief District Attorney Investigator; Mike Hermann, a private investigator for Lake County; Scott Poma, a sheriff's sergeant in Ukiah; Norm Rosen, a Ukiah attorney; Mary Pierce, a Santa Rosa resident; and A. Jay Chapman, M.D., a Sonoma County resident.

I will now go over the legal instructions.

Now, these are the rules that you must follow when you deliberate the case. This occurs after all the

witnesses have testified and the case is submitted to you for a decision on whether to find an indictment.

2.1

The first instruction is a basic one where it tells you what your basic standard is in this case, and here is what it says:

Grand Jury Instruction Number 1, Grand Jury's
Burden of Proof, Probable Cause: The grand jury shall
find an indictment when all the evidence before it,
taken together, if unexplained or uncontradicted, would,
in its judgment, warrant a conviction by a trial jury.

The California Supreme Court has explained that the standard of proof for returning an indictment is, quote, "probable cause," close quote.

From the California Supreme Court is the following statement: "It is the grand jury's function to determine whether probable cause exists to accuse a defendant of a particular crime. In other words, the grand jury serves as part of the charging process of criminal procedure, not the adjudicative process that is the province of the courts or trial jury."

"'Probable cause' means such a state of acts as would lead a man of ordinary caution or prudence to believe, and conscientiously entertain, a strong suspicion of the guilt of the accused. 'Reasonable and probable cause' may exist although there may be some

room for doubt."

2.

1.5

2.1

That should read: "Probable cause means such a state of mind as would lead a man of ordinary caution or prudence to believe and conscientiously entertain a strong suspicion of the guilt of the accused.

'Reasonable and probable cause' may exist although there may be some room for doubt."

Grand Jury Instruction Number 2 is called the Johnson Rule. The grand jury is not required to hear evidence for the defendant, but it shall weigh all the evidence submitted to it, and when it has reason to believe that other evidence within its reach will explain away the charge, it shall order the evidence to be produced, and for that purpose may require the District Attorney to issue process for the witness.

Witness Credibility, Grand Jury Instruction

Number 3: You alone must judge the credibility of the

witness. In deciding whether testimony is true and

accurate, use your common sense and experience. The

testimony of each witness must be judged by the same

standard. You must set aside any bias or prejudice you

may have, including any based on the witness' gender,

race, religion, or national origin. You may believe

all, part, or none of any witness' testimony. Consider

the testimony of each witness and decide how much of it

1 you believe.

2.

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

24

25

In evaluating a witness' testimony, you may consider anything that reasonably tends to prove or disprove the truth or accuracy of that testimony. Among the factors that you may consider are:

- (1) How well could the witness see, hear, or otherwise perceive the things about which the witness testified?
- (2) How well was the witness able to remember and describe what happened?
- (3) What was the witness' behavior while testifying?
- (4) Did the witness understand the questions and answer them directly?
- (5) Was the witness' testimony influenced by a factor such as bias or prejudice, a personal relationship with someone involved in the case, or a personal interest in how the case is decided?
- (6) What was the witness' attitude about the case or about testifying?
- (7) Did the witness make a statement in the past that is consistent or inconsistent with his or her testimony?
- (8) How reasonable is the testimony when you consider all the other evidence in the case?

- (9) Did other evidence prove or disprove any fact about which the witness testified?
 - (10) Did the witness admit to being untruthful?

1

2.

3

5

6

7

9

10

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

2.3

24

25

- (11) What is the witness' character for truthfulness?
- (12) Has the witness been convicted of a felony?
- (13) Has the witness engaged in other conduct that reflects on his or her believability?
- 11 (14) Was the witness promised immunity or leniency in exchange for his or her testimony?

Do not automatically reject testimony just because of inconsistencies or conflicts. Consider whether the differences are important or not. People sometimes honestly forget things or make mistakes about what they remember. Also, two people may witness the same event yet see it or hear it differently.

If you do not believe a witness' testimony that he or she no longer remembers something, that testimony is inconsistent with the witness' earlier statement on that subject.

If you decide that a witness deliberately lied about something significant in this case, you should not -- you should consider not believing anything that

witness says. Or, if you think the witness lied about some things, but told the truth about others, you may simply accept the part that you think is true and ignore the rest.

2.

1.5

2.1

2.2

Grand Jury Instruction Number 4, Definition of Evidence: You must decide what the facts are in this case. You must use only the evidence that was presented in this room. Evidence is the sworn testimony of witnesses, the exhibits admitted into evidence, and anything else I told you to consider as evidence.

Opening statements, questions by the attorney, and closing argument are not evidence. Only the witnesses' answers are evidence. The attorney's questions are significant only if they helped you to understand the witness' answers.

You must disregard anything you saw or heard when the hearing was not in session, even if it was done or said by one of the parties or witnesses.

The court reporter has made a record of everything that was said during the hearing or will be said in this hearing. If you decide that it is necessary, you may ask that the court reporter's notes be read to you. You must accept the court reporter's notes as accurate.

Grand Jury Instruction Number 5, Direct and

- 1 | Circumstantial Evidence: Facts may be proved by direct
- 2 or circumstantial evidence or by a combination of both.
- 3 Direct evidence can prove a fact by itself. For
- 4 example, if a witness testifies he saw it raining
- 5 outside before he came into the courthouse, that
- 6 testimony is direct evidence that it was raining.
- 7 Circumstantial evidence also may be called indirect
- 8 | evidence. Circumstantial evidence does not directly
- 9 prove the fact to be decided, but is evidence of another
- 10 | fact or group of facts from which you may conclude the
- 11 | truth of the fact in question. For example, if a
- 12 | witness testifies that he saw someone come inside
- 13 | wearing a raincoat covered with drops of water, that
- 14 testimony is circumstantial evidence because it may
- 15 support a conclusion that it was raining outside.
- 16 Both direct and circumstantial evidence are
- 17 | acceptable types of evidence to prove or disprove the
- 18 elements of a charge, including intent and mental state
- 19 and acts necessary to a conviction, and neither is
- 20 necessarily more reliable than the other. Neither is
- 21 | entitled to any greater weight than the other. You must
- decide whether a fact in issue has been proved based on
- 23 all the evidence.
- 24 Grand Jury Instruction Number 6, Note-taking:
- 25 You have been given notebooks and pens. Leave them on

your seat in the grand jury room when you leave each day and at each recess. You will be able to take them -- you will be able to take them with you when you deliberate.

2.

1.5

2.1

A word of caution: You may take notes; however, you should not permit note-taking to distract you from the ongoing proceeding. Remember you are judges of the believability of witnesses.

Notes are only an aid to memory and should not take precedence over recollection. A juror who does not take notes should rely on his or her recollection of the evidence and not be influenced by the fact that other jurors do take notes. Notes are for the note-taker's own personal use in refreshing his or her recollection of the evidence.

Finally, should any discrepancy exist between a juror's recollection of the evidence and a juror's notes, or between one juror's recollection and that of another, you may request that the reporter read back the relevant testimony, which must prevail.

Grand Jury Instruction Number 7, Independent Investigation Prohibited: You must decide all questions of fact in this case from the evidence received in this proceeding and not from any other source.

You must not independently investigate the

facts of the law or consider or discuss facts as to which there is no evidence. This means, for example, that you must not on your own visit the scene, conduct experiments, or consult reference works or persons for additional information, and that includes the Internet.

2.

2.1

You must not discuss this case with any other person except a fellow juror, and then only after the case is submitted to you for your decision and only when all jurors are present in the jury room.

Grand Jury Instruction Number 8, Statements and Information by the Court and District Attorney:

Statements, comments, instructions, information, or advice made or given to you by the court or district attorney either orally or in writing are not evidence. However, you must follow the legal instructions and apply them to the facts you find to be true.

Grand Jury Instruction Number 9, Evidence to be Considered by the Grand Jury: In the investigation of a charge, the grand jury shall receive no other evidence than what is:

- (1) Given by witnesses produced and sworn before the grand jury;
- (2) Furnished by writings, material objects, or other things presented to the senses; or
 - (3) Contained in a deposition that is

1 admissible under Penal Code Section 686 Subdivision 3.

2.

2.1

2.2

I am now going to present to you for your reading and personal possession while you are in this grand jury proceeding a document which is a letter to the foreperson, who is [Juror 626897], Grand Jury Foreperson. And I am going to first introduce this document as an exhibit to go into the official record as Exhibit Number 2, which I'm giving to the clerk.

(Exhibit 2 was identified.)

MR. STOEN: So I would now ask the foreperson to please arrange for the distribution of this letter.

A JUROR: Excuse me, there was another jury instruction. Are you going to be reading that later? There was a number 10.

MR. STOEN: Oh, you're right. I overlooked it. Thank you. Appreciate it. That's what happens when you use a binder.

Before we proceed, I am going to read Grand
Jury Instruction 10. Basically the most important one
is the probable cause instruction that you got at the
beginning. That's your standard of proof, probable
cause. But then the elements that you have to apply
that standard to has to do with the elements of the
charge in question.

So Grand Jury Instruction Number 10 gives you

1 the elements of the crime of second degree murder with

2 malice aforethought. It's under a document called

3 | CalCrim No. 520, that's a set of jury instructions, and

4 it refers to Penal Code Section 187. And this is the

5 standard that you will have to use in applying the

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

6 principle of probable cause. These are the elements.

The subject person in this case is charged in Count 1 with second degree murder with malice aforethought. To prove by probable cause that this person committed this crime, the People must prove that:

- (1) This person committed an act that caused the death of another person; and
- (2) When that person acted, he had a state of mind called malice aforethought.

There are two kinds of malice aforethought, express malice and implied malice. Proof of either is sufficient to establish the state of mind required for murder.

This person acted with express malice if he unlawfully intended to kill.

This person acted with implied malice if:

- (1) He intentionally committed an act;
- (2) The natural and probable consequences of the act were dangerous to human life;
 - (3) At the time he acted, he knew his act was

1 dangerous to human life; and

2.

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

2.3

24

25

(4) He deliberately acted with conscious disregard for human life.

Malice aforethought does not require hatred or ill will toward the victim. It is a mental state that must be formed before the act that causes death is committed. It does not require deliberation or the passage of any particular period of time.

An act causes death if the death is the direct, natural, and probable consequence of the act and the death would not have happened without the act. A natural and probable consequence is one that a reasonable person would know is likely to happen if nothing unusual intervened. In deciding whether a consequence is natural and probable, consider all the circumstances established by the evidence.

There may be more than one cause of death. An act causes death only if it is a substantial factor in causing the death. A substantial factor is more than a trivial or remote factor. However, it does not need to be the only factor that causes the death.

If you find by probable cause that the subject committed murder, it is murder of the second degree.

So now I would like to have you review the document that has just been handed out to you, which is

1 called a letter to the foreperson of the grand jury.

2.1

Anybody need to take a break or can we just go on?

Okay. I'm going to be reading from this letter which will set forth our summation of the evidence that is going to come in before you. It will not come in in the same sequence as is written here, but it gives you a map of what to expect. Again, what's in here is not evidence. It's only to give you guidance as to when people are going to show up or what their -- and a sense of what they may say; but, again, it depends on whether they say it or not.

So just to refresh our recollection as to what this whole proceeding is about, is that the indictment grand jury is the means by which the district attorney can present, in a secret proceeding, evidence for consideration of an indictment of a suspect for felony conduct. The evidence must be admissible in a court and must be sufficient to establish probable cause that a felony was committed and that the named suspect committed it. If the grand jury returns the indictment, then the case must later be presented before a regular criminal jury in order for the suspect to be convicted.

It will be clear from the evidence that when this incident took place the law enforcement response

and investigation was flawed. For example, there was no search for a weapon at the time of discovering the body of the victim. The person then living in the house, who appeared under the influence, had no blood taken. There were no efforts to seize or preserve the natural records, phone records, Internet records or, at an early stage, computers. Nor was evidence obtained as to Peter Keegan's or Susan Keegan's medical history or prescribed narcotics.

2.

2.1

A brief statement of the case, not to be used as evidence in this proceeding, only a map.

On October 21, 2010, Peter Keegan filed in proper a petition for dissolution of his 32-year marriage to Susan Keegan. On the same day he filed the divorce petition, Peter Keegan visited a private investigator, Mike Hermann, in Lake County. Peter Keegan asked him to search a computer, which he shared with his wife, for deleted e-mails. He said he had advised his wife that he was taking the computer in to have the application, quote, "Garage Band," close quote, installed.

He asked Mr. Hermann to hack into his wife's private and personal e-mail accounts, but Mr. Hermann told him that would be illegal. He had to remind Peter Keegan several times it would be illegal. Peter Keegan also asked him to install spyware as he thought his wife

1 was cheating on him.

2.1

2.2

After filing for divorce, Peter Keegan made two trips on a bicycle to the Ukiah residence of Oni LaGioia, Susan's long-time friend. He told her that Susan was addicted to Vicodin and whiskey, which, quote, "lowered her libido," close quote, and that, quote, "I have a fucking right to have sex with my wife," close quote. His demeanor had greatly changed in the previous weeks, and he appeared to Ms. LaGioia to be, quote, "highly agitated, angry and manic," close quote. He also said he had to, quote, "get rid of her," quote/unquote, before he could have a sexual relationship with anyone else.

After filing for divorce, Peter Keegan also contacted Mary Pierce, a long-time friend of Susan's in Santa Rosa. In a phone call he said that Susan had alcohol and narcotic addictions which had destroyed the marriage. Then on a Wednesday night, he called about 9:30 p.m. and asked to drop by. Invited to do so at that late hour, he ran through the same narrative, was hyper and passionate telling the story, and laid all the blame onto Susan.

On October 26, 2010, Susan Keegan sent an e-mail to Ukiah Attorney Norm Rosen, who had agreed to be a mediator, asking him to, quote, "file the papers to

- 1 get temporary spousal support started for me," close
- quote. Mr. Rosen met with both Peter and Susan Keegan.
- 3 He eventually calculated that Peter Keegan would owe
- 4 Susan monthly support of \$2,210.
- 5 On November 4th Peter Keegan sent an e-mail to
- 6 Mr. Rosen saying, quote, "I feel she is being deceptive
- 7 of her income in the recent months. Moreover, I am not
- 8 | willing to pay any spousal support until she comes clean
- 9 about when she is being paid for the work she has done
- 10 | since August, " close quote.
- On November 10, 2010, Susan Keegan left Ukiah
- 12 | to visit Mary Pierce and her friend Will Baty in Santa
- 13 Rosa. She arrived shortly after 5:00 p.m. She had a
- 14 | scotch and water over ice, which she sipped without
- 15 refilling throughout appetizers and dinner. After
- 16 dinner, they moved to the living room, turned up the
- 17 lights, and reviewed financial documents. It was a
- 18 | serious working evening. No further alcohol was
- 19 | consumed; no drugs were consumed. Around 9:00 p.m.
- 20 Susan left Mary's house and drove back to Ukiah.
- Next morning, November 11, 2010, 55-year-old
- 22 | Susan Ettinger Keegan was found deceased on the floor of
- 23 the bathroom in her house at 120 Whitmore Lane, Ukiah,
- 24 California.
- 25 At approximately 7:30 a.m. that morning her

husband, Peter Richard Keegan, who lived in the same
house, had called 9-1-1 to report that he had just found

Susan in the bathroom and believed her to be deceased.

At 7:42 a.m. Jay Beristianos, Ukiah Valley Fire Department Battalion Chief, arrived at the scene and confirmed that Susan Keegan was deceased.

2.1

2.2

Around 8:25 a.m. Deputy Sheriff Jacquelyn
Rainwater arrived to perform an initial investigation.
Peter Keegan told her that he had last seen his wife the night prior around 5:00 p.m., that he believed that she had then gone to visit her friends, that he went to bed at approximately 10 o'clock p.m., that he did not hear Susan return home, and that he did not move her body at any time.

Peter Keegan indicated to the deputy that he and Susan were in the process of a divorce and had been sleeping in separate rooms. He said their sex life was poor and this was a problem for him. He said they had arguments but were never physically assaultive to one another. He asked Deputy Rainwater about getting a copy of the autopsy, saying he was nervous about the autopsy and what the outcome might be.

Deputy Rainwater proceeded to examine the decedent. The decedent had a four-inch vertical length by three-inch horizontal length open laceration to the

center backside of her head. The deputy concluded this was consistent with the decedent hitting her head and brushing against the vanity while falling to the ground to the position in which she was found.

2.

1.5

2.1

That same day, November 11th, Oni LaGioia called the house after hearing the news. Peter responded and said to her regarding his sons, quote, "The boys want to have a memorial service, but I don't give a fuck."

On November 12th, in the morning, Peter Keegan called Mary Pierce about Susan's death telling her she had been out late Wednesday, November 10th, quote, "with friends," that she had probably been drinking and taking drugs, stumbled and fell, hit her head and died. He asked Mary to write her obituary.

That same day, November 12, 2010, Deputy
Rainwater responded to Eversole Mortuary in Ukiah, where
she talked with Dr. Jason Trent, Chief Forensic
Pathologist for Mendocino County, who was performing an
autopsy on the decedent. Dr. Trent told the deputy that
he felt the injuries to the decedent's head were
consistent with a ground level fall and that the death
was not suspicious on the information he had.

On or about November 16th, Peter Keegan created a Facebook page saying either widowed or single,

seeking women for friendship.

2.

2.1

On November 21, a memorial service for Susan was held at the Ukiah Methodist Church. Some 300 people attended. Peter did not sit with his two grown sons, but sat on the other side of the church. He did not display sadness or remorse. He had already been clearing the house of Susan's belongings by asking people to stop and take things. He did not want to keep Susan's ashes and was making plans for others to take them.

On November 22, the day following the memorial service, Susan's sister from Atlanta, Linda Puls, was at the house to get Susan's ashes. Peter Keegan went out to the back porch area of his residence, used a measuring cup to scoop out Susan's ashes, place them in bags — which may have been plastic bags rather than paper bags — and stapled the bags across the top.

These actions struck Linda as a cold and callous way to carry out a solemn task. Ashes were later left, in late evening, on the doorknob of Oni LaGioia's residence in a plastic bag.

On December 14th, 2010, one month later, Karyn Feiden, the cousin of Susan Keegan and a New York resident, together with Mary Pierce, contacted a investigator, Gary Hill, in Lake County. They asked him

- 1 to help them with their suspicious that Susan Keegan was
- 2 possibly murdered by her husband. Mr. Hill declined to
- 3 accept a retainer, but collected numerous e-mail
- 4 messages from Karyn Feiden and Mary Pierce as to their
- 5 suspicions and thoughts.
- On December 16, 2010, Peter Keegan commenced a
- 7 three-week exchange of e-mails with Karyn Feiden in
- 8 | which he stated, amongst other exculpatory things:
- 9 December 16: Quote, "Susan Keegan was, quote,
- 10 drinking lots of whiskey every night, but it would be
- 11 | after midnight before she was fall-down drunk,'" close
- 12 quote.
- December 16: "Susan Keegan, quote, 'was using
- cannabis, opiates, and had whiskey at her bedside, '"
- 15 close quote.
- 16 December 31: "There were secret diaries that
- 17 Susan had kept hidden away, documenting her
- 18 infidelities, lusting for the erotic, dislike for me,
- 19 | suicidal thoughts. The decision to burn them, page
- 20 | by page, after a final reading was all mine, " close
- 21 quote.
- January 7, 2011: Quote, "In retrospect, I
- 23 think that being discovered stealing and lying, in
- 24 addition to having her poly drug abuse exposed to her
- 25 | friends, and realizing that she would have to stay in

the workforce, coupled with her self-loathing, which I was totally unaware of prior to her death, was just too much for her," close quote.

2.

2.1

In early January 2011, Sergeant Scott J. Poma, Chief Deputy Coroner of the Mendocino County Sheriff's Office, reviewed photographs of the decedent and interviewed Dr. Trent. Sergeant Poma indicated that the hands appeared swollen and bruised and that they did not appear to be caused by lividity because the hands are in two different original positions, with her right hand down on the floor by her side and her left hand on her lap and with the palms facing down on both hands.

Dr. Trent was unable to explain why the hands were this way.

Sergeant Poma asked Dr. Trent if there was another pathologist that he could send the file to so that the conditions of the hands could be examined.

Dr. Trent recommended a Michael J. Ferenc, M.D., Deputy Chief Medical Examiner in Maine, with whom he knew from working with him in a prior case.

On November (sic) 9, 2011, following receipt of a toxicological report indicating that the decedent, Susan, had in her system a number of drugs, including hydrocodone and a .16 blood alcohol concentration, Dr. Trent issued his necropsy report. It concluded

that, quote, "Based on autopsy and toxicological examination, the cause of death is determined to be acute craniocerebral trauma due to fall, due to ethanol and hydrocodone toxicity."

2.

1.5

2.1

On February 11, 2011, Peter Keegan responded to an article in the Anderson Valley Advertiser entitled "The Improbable Death of Susan Keegan" by writing to its editor stating, quote, "Can't wait to see what imaginative fun you have in store for me next week.

Things like the truth and facts have always been the AVA's weak point."

On February 4th, 2011, Kay Belschner, Senior Criminalist for the Department of Justice in Eureka, conducted an on-scene investigation. She concluded that there were two possible scenarios of events as to the cause of Susan Keegan's death. The apparent scenario was Susan Keegan drank a large amount of alcohol, went into the bathroom, and fell against the vanity, but that it was not possible to have the head contact the counter edge in the appropriate area and maintain the same direction of travel. An alternate scenario she concluded was that, quote, "another person," close quote, was involved in Susan's death.

On February 28, 2011, Peter Keegan appeared in the morning on the porch of Oni LaGioia's residence and

dropped off a copy of the AVA article, along with a note

2 | mentioning Susan's, quote, "very disturbing," close

3 quote, secret journal and stating regarding her death,

4 quote, "it's apparent it was suicide," close quote. The

5 letter began: "Greetings: Wanted to make sure you

6 didn't miss the scandalous AVA article you contributed

7 | to." The incident caused Oni to write an e-mail to the

8 sheriff saying, "I am concerned for my safety."

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

On February 28th, 2011, Sergeant Poma spoke by phone with Dr. Michael Ferenc with regard to the hands and arms injuries from the photos taken.

On March 23rd, Sergeant Poma issued a death investigation report stating cause of death to be, quote, "fall," close quote.

On April 2, 2011, Dr. Ferenc sent to Sergeant Poma his report wherein he indicated (1) that the inconsistent lividity could represent that the body had been moved from another location; (2) that "although I may not be able to definitively explain the findings in the hands and wrists, I should add that their overall appearance is not, in my experience, particularly suggestive as defense injuries or restraint injuries," close quote; and (3) that, quote, "based upon the reports and my conversation with Dr. Trent, I do not find it convincing that this lady was victim of an

assault," close quote.

2.1

2.2

Later that early April the District Attorney's Office requested a review of the case by a forensic pathologist, A. Jay Chapman, M.D., who has a current forensic pathologist contract with the sheriff-coroner of Sonoma County and whose experience included being the Chief Medical Examiner for the State of Oklahoma.

On April 12th, 2011, Chief DA Investigator
Kevin Bailey and Supervising DA Investigator Andy
Alvarado were allowed to view Susan Keegan's journals.
The journals consisted of two hardbound books and
several loose papers of notebook paper. The most recent
entries in the journals were completed in 1996. The
entries consisted of Susan Keegan documenting her daily
activities and emotional states. She documents feelings
of despair and anxiety, as well as the various highs and
lows she suffered during those times. She mentioned
doubts about her marriage and expressed thoughts of
infidelity. Susan Keegan did not document any specific
incidents of infidelity, nor did she mention struggling
with drug or alcohol addiction. The journals were
returned.

On April 20, 2011, Dr. Chapman sent to

District Attorney C. David Eyster a letter stating that

after reviewing the autopsy report, multiple

- 1 photographs, and various investigative reports, quote,
- 2 "It is my opinion that the injuries observed on the body
- 3 are assaultive in nature and were not reasonably
- 4 produced by any accidental means, " close quote.
- 5 On or about April 21, 2011, Dr. Chapman met
- 6 | with Dr. Trent, Deputy Rainwater, Sergeant Poma, and DA
- 7 Investigators Kevin DeVries and Andy Alvarado. At the
- 8 | conclusion of this meeting Dr. Trent indicated he would
- 9 be changing his opinion as to the cause of death.
- 10 On April 27, 2011, Dr. Trent submitted a
- 11 | supplemental report on necropsy indicating the
- 12 | following, quote, "Cause of death: Aspiration of
- 13 vomitus due to blunt force trauma to head," with other
- 14 | significant findings being, quote, "ethanol and
- 15 hydrocodone toxicity."
- On August 8, 2012, Sergeant Poma amended his
- 17 March 23, 2011, death investigation report to state the
- 18 cause of death as, quote, "homicide," close quote.
- On August the 27th, 2012, Peter Keegan
- 20 | telephoned Linda Puls, the sister of Susan Keegan,
- 21 expressing a desire to speak with her. Three weeks
- 22 later Linda made a pretext phone call to Peter Keegan.
- 23 In that call Peter mentioned three newspapers that had
- 24 written that Susan's death was a homicide and that he
- 25 had no idea why it was, quote, "reclassified," said that

- 1 the police had always been hostile to him, said that he
- 2 had not examined Susan's body, and said that it appeared
- 3 that Susan had fallen.
- 4 After the August 2012 reclassification of
- 5 Susan Keegan's death to homicide, Karyn Feiden and Mary
- 6 Pierce, together with other friends of Susan's, set up
- 7 and maintained a website, along with continued public
- 8 | relations efforts, to press law enforcement to
- 9 investigate Susan Keegan's death to have Peter Keegan
- 10 charged with murder.
- 11 That's my opening statement. Again, it's not
- 12 evidence.
- And do you need to take a break before we
- 14 proceed?
- 15 A JUROR: It's time for a break.
- 16 MR. STOEN: Yes, please take a break.
- 17 A JUROR: Can I ask a question first, please?
- MR. STOEN: Sure.
- 19 A JUROR: I don't know what hydrocodone is.
- 20 MR. STOEN: I'm not -- I can't comment.
- 21 A JUROR: Can I look it up?
- MR. STOEN: We'll ask -- hopefully that will
- 23 come up. That will come up.
- A JUROR: Also, what is a pretext phone call?
- MR. STOEN: Madam Foreperson, would you be

```
willing to call the jurors when they have a question?
 1
               JURY FOREPERSON: Sure. If you'll raise your
 2
     hand.
 3
                I don't know their numbers.
               Who had the first question?
 5
 6
               A JUROR: 612941.
 7
               JURY FOREPERSON: Okay.
               A JUROR:
                         [Juror 612941] And the question was
 9
     what was hydrocodone, and it could not be answered at
10
     this time.
11
               MR. STOEN: And the Sergeant of Arms has a
12
     question.
13
               A JUROR: What a pretext phone call would be.
14
                JURY FOREPERSON: You had the same question,
15
     the pretext phone call?
16
               A JUROR: Yes.
17
               JURY FOREPERSON: Okay.
18
                JURY SECRETARY: Am I supposed to be taking
19
     these notes, these questions?
20
               MR. STOEN: No.
2.1
               Did you have a question?
22
               JURY FOREPERSON: One second. Sorry.
23
               A JUROR: 610214. On page 5, I thought I
24
     heard you -- the second-to-last paragraph the date says
```

February 9th, and I thought I heard you say November

- 1 9th.
- 2 MR. STOEN: I could have made that mistake,
- 3 and I apologize.
- A JUROR: Okay. I don't know if it's that
- 5 important.
- 6 MR. STOEN: And what page is that?
- 7 A JUROR: Page 5, second paragraph from the
- 8 bottom.
- 9 MR. STOEN: Okay. February 9th, 2011 is the
- 10 | right date. I misspoke. Thank you for catching that.
- 11 Anything else?
- 12 A JUROR: 640779. I'm also interested in what
- 13 lividity means.
- MR. STOEN: I'm sorry, I missed that.
- 15 A JUROR: Lividity.
- 16 MR. STOEN: That will be explained. I'm not
- 17 allowed to make any evidentiary comments. I'm sorry.
- 18 This is only to tell you what you can expect to hear
- 19 | from somebody. And there will be an opportunity to
- 20 submit written questions if something is ambiguous.
- Okay. I guess we can take a break.
- JURY FOREPERSON: So I've been asked by the
- Judge to remind you all that we are not allowed to speak
- 24 about anything that we've heard so far once we leave the
- 25 room and to keep it all to ourselves.

```
1
                MR. STOEN: Do you want to set a time for them
     to return, like 15 minutes or so?
 2
 3
                JURY FOREPERSON: It's ten to 11:00. Fifteen
     minutes, ten minutes? Ten minutes. Let's meet back
 4
 5
     here at 11:00.
 6
                A JUROR: The restrooms, is there just one
 7
     here?
                JURY FOREPERSON: Restrooms are up the stairs
 8
 9
     to the back.
10
                MR. STOEN: We're off the record.
11
                (Recess taken.)
12
                (Roll call taken; all jurors present.)
                JURY FOREPERSON: We are now in session and
13
14
     you are reminded that you are still under oath in this
1.5
     matter.
16
                MR. STOEN: I'd like to call Jay Beristianos.
                THE REPORTER: Will you raise your right hand,
17
18
     please.
19
20
                          JAY BERISTIANOS
2.1
     Called as a witness, having been sworn, testified as
     follows:
2.2
23
24
                THE REPORTER: Will you state your name and
25
     spell it, please.
```

1 THE WITNESS: Jay Beristianos. 2 EXAMINATION 3 (BY MR. STOEN) What is your occupation, sir? Q. Α Currently fire chief. 5 6 Q. Where? 7 A North Shore Fire District. And what was your occupation on November the 9 11th, 2010? 10 My title was battalion chief with North Shore Fire District -- excuse me -- with Ukiah Valley 11 12 Fire District. Q And what were your general duties as battalion 13 14 chief? 15 A I basically ran training and operations of the 16 department. 17 MR. STOEN: Can you hear okay? 18 A JUROR: I need to move. I can't see well from here. 19 20 (Brief pause.) THE WITNESS: I was second in command, so I 2.1 22 ran operations of the department. 23 Q (BY MR. STOEN) Okay. I'd like to ask -turning to November the 11th, 2010, around 7:40 in the 24 25 morning, were you present at 120 Whitmore Lane in Ukiah? 1 A Yes.

6

- 2 Q For what purpose?
- A If my memory serves me correctly, we were dispatched to a female patient unresponsive, possibly 1144, or deceased.
 - Q Okay. Let me ask you this: Did you write a report after this visit?
- 8 A Yes, I did.
- 9 Q And do you have insufficient present
 10 recollection about all of the statements and dates in
 11 that report to be able to testify fully and accurately
 12 without looking at it?
- A No, I'd have to read what I've written down.
- Q And is that statement in writing and was it
 made when the fact that was recorded in it actually
 occurred and was fresh in your memory?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q And was that statement or that report a true
 19 statement of the facts?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q And I'm going to show it to you and ask you -22 I show you what's marked as People's Exhibit Number 5.
 23 I ask you to take a look at it and tell me if that's the
 24 report that you made that I have just asked you about.
- 25 A Yes, it is.

- 1 (Exhibit 5 was identified.)
- Q (BY MR. STOEN) Okay. Would that report also
- 3 refresh your recollection, as well as your past
- 4 recollection recorded?
- 5 A After I read it, yes.
- Okay. Now, when you arrived at that scene
- 7 | what is -- what did you first encounter?
- 8 A A gentleman guided us back -- myself and my
- 9 partner back to a bathroom where he said his wife had --
- 10 was down. We walked in the door and she displayed signs
- 11 of obvious death.
- 12 Q Okay. Did you -- who was the person that
- 13 first -- that you first encountered when you went to
- 14 that residence?
- 15 A I believe it was Mr. Keegan.
- 16 Q Do you know his first name?
- 17 A I don't recall his first name.
- 18 Q Okay. But he identified himself as
- 19 Mr. Keegan?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q Did he say anything to you at the outset?
- 22 A He kind of rambled a little bit, but our
- 23 concern was to focus in on getting to the patient.
- Q So what's the next thing that happened after
- 25 | you saw him and you entered the house?

- A We went in, saw the victim laying on the floor. We checked to see if there was any -- if the patient was alive or not, and she was not. She had showed signs of obvious death.
- Q And how did you determine that she was not alive?
 - A She was cold to the touch. She had what was called lividity, which is blood pooling, in her arms and her legs. And I felt for a pulse, and no pulse.
 - Q Was this the standard protocol you used in determining whether a person was deceased or not?
- 12 A Yes.

9

10

11

13

14

2.1

- Q And who was the person that was with you on this occasion?
- 15 A Would be Captain Jeff Adair.
- 16 Q I'm going to show you what's marked as

 17 People's Exhibit number 4, and ask you -- which I

 18 represent is a DMV photo, and ask you to take a look at

 19 it and tell me if that's the same person you saw at 120

 20 Whitmore Lane on this occasion.
 - A Yes, I believe it is.
- 22 (Exhibit 4 was identified.)
- Q (BY MR. STOEN) And that's identified as Peter Richard Keegan; is that correct?
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 Q I'm going to put that on the screen.
- 2 So is what's on the screen the same photo that
- 3 I just -- that you just identified?
- A Yes, it is.

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

- Okay. Now, after you determined that she was deceased, what did you do?
- A Made a call to the Mendocino County Sheriff's

 8 Office via radio asking for a coroner.
 - Q And after that, did you have occasion to have a conversation with Mr. Peter Keegan?
 - A It was sometime afterward. Myself and my partner went outside. Generally that's the time that we leave family to make notifications to other family members and what have you. So it was sometime afterward we did go back in the house to check on him and make sure he was doing okay. And yes, we did talk.
 - Q And did he make any comments to you about his wife?
- 19 A He did.
- Q What did he say?
- A He discussed with us -- or discussed -- he told us about his wife's drinking problem and her addiction to medications.
- Q And did he say anything about any particular type of drug to you?

- 1 A Yeah. In my report it's stated --
- 2 Q You can refresh your recollection by reading
- 3 that report.
- A Yes.
- 5 Q These are statements that he volunteered; is
- 6 | that correct?

13

7 A That's correct.

was oxycodone.

- Jameson whiskey was her -- the alcohol that she enjoyed consuming the most and her drug of choice
- 11 Q Okay. And when you -- I'm going to show you a
 12 photograph, just a -- one photograph of the victim in

this case just to see if it's the same person.

- Showing you People's Exhibit 7-A, and I'll ask

 you if you recognize that photo or who's in it and can

 you identify it.
- 17 A That does appear to be the victim, yes.
- 18 Q The same person that you've just testified 19 about?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q Did you get her name?
- 22 A It was Susan Keegan.
- 23 Q Susan Keegan.
- And is this the condition that you found her?
- 25 A Yes.

- And did you -- were you able to determine 1 Q whether -- how did you perceive the demeanor of Peter 2. Keegan when he was talking to you?
 - He seemed very unconcerned and wasn't acting normal for somebody who just lost their spouse.
- 6 Okay. And were you able to tell whether or 7 not -- anything about his physiology at that time that appeared significant? 8
 - He wasn't acting normal.

5

9

22

23

- Okay. I'm going to show you another photo. 10 Q 11 This is People's Number 8. I'm going to ask you if you 12 can identify that photo.
- 13 Α It looks like Mr. Keegan.
- 14 Is that the way he looked to you at that time? 0
- 15 It's been a long time ago, but yeah, I can say Α 16 that.
- 17 (Exhibit 8 was identified.)
- 18 (BY MR. STOEN) I'm going to put this on the 19 screen.
- 20 And what did you do after this conversation 2.1 with Mr. Keegan?
 - We had a brief discussion with the deputy when Α she arrived, and we were released. And that was pretty much it for us.
- 25 Q Okay. Is there anything in your narrative

- 1 portion there that gives more details about your
- 2 encounter with Mr. Keegan or with the victim at the
- 3 scene?
- 4 A Just that he talked about his wife's alcohol
- 5 and drug addiction.
- 6 MR. STOEN: Okay. I'm going to move that
- 7 exhibit into evidence, this is People's Number 5. And
- 8 I'm going to move People's Number 4, which is the DMV
- 9 | photo, into evidence. And I'm going to move your report
- 10 as Exhibit Number 5 into evidence. The DMV photo of
- 11 Mr. Keegan, number 4, is going to be in evidence, and
- 12 | number 8 will be into evidence, please. And number 7-A,
- 13 | which is the photo.
- 14 (Exhibits 4, 5, 7-A & 8 were received.)
- MR. STOEN: I may be referring to those later.
- 16 | So if you could put them there, that would be great.
- I want to thank you, sir, for showing up. We
- deeply appreciate it. Okay.
- 19 THE WITNESS: That's it?
- MR. STOEN: We'll call for Jacquelyn
- 21 Rainwater.
- 22 A JUROR: Do we ask questions?
- MR. STOEN: Oh, sorry. If there are some
- 24 questions, they have to be in writing.
- JURY FOREPERSON: So if you have a question,

write your juror number down. 1 JURY SECRETARY: Question number 1 is from 2. juror number 616861. 3 MR. STOEN: Get the juror's number and the question number 1 that has come in. 5 6 Now I want to read it to myself. Thank you. I will ask this question. 7 (BY MR. STOEN) This is question number 1 from Juror 616861: Did Susan Keeqan, when you saw her, show 9 signs of sexual trauma? 10 11 Α No. 12 MR. STOEN: Okay. Any other questions? This is -- do you want to write number 2 13 14 there? 15 JURY SECRETARY: How about if you give them to 16 me and then I'll write them on there. 17 JURY FOREPERSON: Yeah, just write number 3 on 18 here so you don't have to rewrite every one of them. 19 I can't understand this one, 610214. I 20 couldn't understand the question. 2.1 0 (BY MR. STOEN) The first question is a 22 generalized question: Is there a recording of the 9-1-1 2.3 call?

24 Are you aware of that, whether there is or 25 not?

```
1
                There probably is, but I've never heard it,
          A
 2.
     no.
 3
                Are you in a position to be able to know
          Q
     whether or not it's available?
 5
          Α
                No.
 6
                Okay. Based on the victim's body condition,
     what was the approximate time of death?
 7
                I'm not an expert in that field, but I would
 8
 9
     say it was an extended period of time.
10
               Extended?
          Q
11
          Α
               Yes.
12
                MR. STOEN: So this is the asked questions.
                JURY SECRETARY: Got it.
13
14
               A JUROR: I can hear you, but I can't hear the
1.5
     witness.
                MR. STOEN: Okay. What did you just say?
16
17
                THE REPORTER: Do you want me to read back his
18
     answer?
19
                MR. STOEN: Yeah, if you would be so kind.
20
                (Record read as follows:
2.1
                " A
                     I'm not an expert in that field, but
22
          I would say it was an extended period of
          time.)"
23
24
                JURY FOREPERSON: Do you need the juror number
25
     for those two questions on the recording or --
```

- 1 MR. STOEN: Yes, we do.
- JURY FOREPERSON: So that was -- the question
- 3 | was from juror 609424.
- And the next question is juror 640779.
- 5 MR. STOEN: Next question is -- I'm not going
- 6 to ask it because this witness would not have personal
- 7 knowledge of this. So that goes in that pile.
- JURY SECRETARY: Question number 4 from juror
- 9 number 610214.
- 10 Q (BY MR. STOEN) On this question: Did you
- 11 | check to see if he was okay?
- 12 A Yes, we did check in with him a couple of
- 13 times.
- Q What emotions did he display?
- 15 A Very little.
- 16 Q Next question is: Is Mrs. Keegan -- is
- 17 Mrs. Keegan propped up in the photo 7-A?
- 18 A Is she propped up?
- 19 Q Yeah, is she propped up.
- 20 A She's not propped up. She's laying in the
- 21 position that we found her in.
- MR. STOEN: Okay. Thank you.
- JURY SECRETARY: Question number 5 from juror
- 24 640779.
- MR. STOEN: This is acceptable.

1 (BY MR. STOEN) Did Mr. Keegan appear under 0 the influence at the time of your arrival? 2. 3 Α I'm not an expert in that field either. He did display some characteristics of somebody -- of 5 people that I have seen in that condition before, yes. 6 MR. STOEN: Okay. Thank you. 7 JURY SECRETARY: And question number 6 from juror 616861. 8 9 (BY MR. STOEN) This question number 6 is: Did it appear he was trying to convince you and your 10 partner about her death? 11 12 Α No. Pardon me? 13 Q 14 Α No. 1.5 MR. STOEN: Thank you. 16 JURY SECRETARY: Question number 7 from juror 17 number 632221. 18 (BY MR. STOEN) Ouestion number 7: Did it 19 appear that she fell backwards to hit her head? 20 I'm not an expert in the field of that either, 2.1 but there was blood staining on the countertop and she did have a cut on the back of her head. Put two and two 2.2 23 together, I would say it looks like she slid down.

MR. STOEN: Okay. Thank you.

again, not being an expert in that field.

1	JURY SECRETARY: That's all.
2	MR. STOEN: Any other questions?
3	Okay. You're excused, sir. Thank you very
4	much for coming.
5	And would you ask Ms. Rainwater to come in.
6	(Brief pause.)
7	MR. STOEN: Good morning. Please be sworn and
8	give your name and spelling of the name.
9	THE REPORTER: Will you raise your right hand,
10	please.
11	
12	JACQUELYN RAINWATER
13	Called as a witness, having been first duly sworn,
14	testified as follows:
15	
16	THE REPORTER: Will you state and spell your
17	name, please.
18	THE WITNESS: Jacquelyn Rainwater. First is
19	J-a-c-q-u-e-l-y-n; Rainwater, R-a-i-n-w-a-t-e-r.
20	THE REPORTER: Thank you.
21	
22	EXAMINATION
23	Q (BY MR. STOEN) Good morning.
24	A Good morning.
25	Q And what is your occupation, please?

- 1 A I'm a deputy sheriff-coroner for the Sheriff's 2 Department of Mendocino County.
 - Q And how long have you been so employed?
- A As a deputy sheriff I've been employed since 5 2003.
- Q And how many years of law enforcement experience do you have?
- A I have over 26 years of law enforcement experience.
- 10 Q Now, are you a deputy sheriff or a deputy
 11 coroner or both?
- 12 A It's both. It's all the same title, deputy
 13 sheriff-coroner.
- Q So if you are on patrol and you get a call,
 you have to act as a coroner, as well as a deputy
 sheriff; is that correct?
- 17 A Yes.

- Q Okay. Now, when you come across a deceased

 person is there a particular protocol that's supposed to

 be followed by you?
- A As far as like if we get dispatched to a coroner's case?
- 23 Q Yes.
- A Is that what you're referring to?
- Q What are you looking for when you find a body

- 1 that's deceased, generally?
- A Generally we -- you know, when you're going
 in, you're taking a look around to see if things make
 sense and what you're seeing when you're getting
 dispatched to a call.
 - Q Can you speak up so everybody can hear.
 - A Sorry.

2.1

- So when you get dispatched to a call, generally, you know, you get dispatched and the dispatcher will tell you it's a coroner's case.

 Sometimes it, you know, can be different circumstances; obviously when you get there things can change. So when you're there, you're looking to make sure that everything that you're seeing, hearing, taking in with your senses make sense.
- Q And if you come across something that doesn't make total sense, what is the protocol if it doesn't appear to be a natural sort of death?
- A If it -- if you're seeing enough -- when you get there, if you're seeing enough that concerns you, then, you know, at some point in time it can get to a point where they would make a separation between a coroner's case and what would be a criminal investigation. At some point in time that can happen and should happen if a coroner's case becomes something

- 1 else other than that.
- 2 Q I'd like to call your attention to November
- 3 | 20 -- excuse me -- November the 11th, 2011, around 8:25
- 4 or so in the morning at 120 Whitmore Lane, Ukiah. Were
- 5 you present at that time and place?
- 6 A I was.
- 7 Q For what purpose?
- A I was called there for a coroner's
- 9 investigation.
- 10 Q Okay. I prepared some Google maps, and I'd
- 11 | just like you to -- I brought a laser here. This is
- 12 Exhibit Number 22, which has two parts. They are both
- 13 Google maps.
- 14 First, I'll have you look at them and tell me
- 15 whether or not you recognize both of those.
- 16 A Yes, that would be the location of the
- 17 investigation.
- 18 (Exhibit 22 was identified.)
- 19 Q (BY MR. STOEN) Okay. Now you just talked
- 20 | about it, 120 Whitmore Lane in Ukiah; is that correct?
- 21 A Yes, correct.
- 22 Q So first I'll just show one portion, and could
- 23 you just take this laser and go up there and point out
- 24 where the -- for the jury where State Street is and some
- of the other main markers would be and where 120

- 1 Whitmore Lane would be?
- 2 A Can anybody see that?
- 3 Q Push on the end button there.
- A Okay. So that's -- that's going to be
- 5 Whitmore Lane. I can't really see that very well with
- 6 my glasses. This is going to be State Street here.
- 7 Q Okay.
- 8 A So we would have come in through State Street
- 9 and turned onto -- I think it may turn directly onto
- 10 Whitmore, but I'm not -- I'm not completely sure. But
- 11 | we did -- we did go from State Street to the Whitmore
- 12 house.
- 13 Q I'll show you the satellite map of the same
- 14 location and ask you -- it's a little bit dark on there.
- 15 A It's actually --
- 16 | O I think it's too dark to show on the machine;
- but, anyway, you can recognize that this a satellite map
- 18 of the location?
- 19 A Yes. That's typically what you would see on
- 20 Google.
- 21 MR. STOEN: Okay. I'll move both of those
- 22 Google maps into evidence, please.
- 23 (Exhibit 22 was received.)
- Q (BY MR. STOEN) Now, did any sheriff personnel
- 25 | accompany you on this -- on this visit to that address?

- 1 A Yes, Sergeant Derek Scott was my sergeant, and
- 2 he accompanied me, as well as Deputy Lockart, Daniel
- 3 Lockart.
- Q Okay. And who did you first contact at the
- 5 scene?
- 6 A It was Chief Battalion Jay -- and I have to
- 7 look at his name -- Beristianos. He's from Ukiah Valley
- 8 Fire Department.
- 9 Q Is he the gentleman that you've seen already
- 10 today in the courthouse?
- 11 A Yes.
- Q Okay. And when you met him did he -- what did
- 13 he tell you that he had done, if anything?
- 14 A He indicated that he had -- when he arrived,
- 15 he met with Peter Keegan, the decedent's husband, and
- 16 Peter Keegan had taken him -- directed him to the
- 17 bathroom where Susan Keegan, the decedent, was.
- 18 Q Okay. And what did you do after talking with
- 19 Jay Beristianos?
- 20 A After talking to him, I then spoke with Peter
- 21 Keegan.
- 22 Q Okay. And I'm going to show you a DMV photo
- 23 marked into evidence as People's Number 4, Exhibit
- Number 4.
- 25 First of all, do you recognize that person?

- 1 A Yes, that's Peter Keegan.
- 2 Q Is that the person you saw?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q Okay.
- 5 A I did see him.
- Q It's the person -- I'm, again, putting it on the Elmo machine.
- Now, did Peter Keegan have a conversation with you at this time?
- 10 A He did.
- 11 Q What did he say as to when he last saw his wife?
- A He said he saw his wife the day prior, it was about 5:00 in the afternoon, and --
- Q What time did he say he went to bed?
- 16 A He went to bed around 10 o'clock in the evening.
- 18 Q And what did he say as to where he thought his
 19 wife had gone or --
- A He believed that she had gone to visit
 friends.
- 22 Q And what did he say as to whether he heard her 23 return home?
- A He said he did not hear her return home.
- 25 Q And what did he say he first noticed when he

1 | woke up that morning?

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- 2 A That all of the lights in the house were on and he felt this was unusual.
 - Q What did he do then, did he say?
 - A He stated that he went down the hallway -because at the time they were sleeping in separate
 rooms, he went down the hallway into her room and he did
 not see her on her bed. You can -- when the door opens
 up to her room, you can see her bed right there. It's a
 smaller bedroom. And so he walked into her bathroom,
 which is going to be off to your right at the foot of
 the bed, and saw her laying there in the bathroom on her
 back.
 - Q And did you take a look at the bed that he pointed out that she had been -- that was her bed?
 - A Yes.
 - Q And could you tell whether or not it had been slept in?
 - A It didn't appear to have been slept in.
 - Q And what did he -- did he say whether or not he went into the bathroom himself?
- 22 A Yes, he -- he -- he did go in and check for signs of life.
- Q Did he say what part -- did he say whether or not he touched her body?

- 1 A It's my understanding that he -- he checked
- 2 her pulse. It felt -- she was cold to the touch -- and
- 3 he's a doctor, you know, so he is trained in those
- 4 matters -- and felt she was deceased, didn't believe she
- 5 was alive, called 9-1-1.
- 6 Q And did he say what conclusion he drew after
- 7 | that kind of -- after doing that? Did he draw a
- 8 | conclusion as to the condition of the body in the
- 9 bathroom?
- 10 A He felt she was deceased.
- 11 Q Okay. What did he say he did then?
- 12 A He called 9-1-1 and -- and waited for medical
- 13 to arrive, police, coroner.
- Q Did he indicate whether or not he moved the
- 15 defendant?
- 16 A He --
- 17 Q The decedent, excuse me.
- 18 A He and the fire battalion chief, neither
- 19 one -- they both indicated they had not moved anything
- as far as the body.
- 21 Q Did he discuss anything about the nature of
- 22 his relationship with the decedent?
- 23 A Well, they were -- they were going through a
- 24 divorce. And I think they had gone through some turmoil
- 25 | in the last three to six months, is what he explained,

- 1 and they were living or staying in separate bedrooms.
- Q Did he indicate whether or not there had been arguments?

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

- A They'd had some arguments. He indicated there was nothing physical between them.
 - Q And did he discuss anything about drugs?
- A He felt like she -- at least indicated that she had -- she takes drugs, prescription and marijuana, and drank alcohol, and he felt like she had a -- she had a problem.
- Q Did he indicate whether or not he felt that the drug use of Susan Keegan was serious?
 - A Yes. He -- he felt it was serious.
- Q And did he tell you the effect on him of this problem of the decedent using or abusing drugs?
- A He felt it was the reason for their divorce, that it affected their relationship and their sexual relationship, which he felt was the cause of the divorce.
 - Q Did he indicate any other medical problems that the decedent had?
- A She -- I know he said that she was -- she had seen Dr. Coen for depression recently and that she had hypothyroidism and took medication for that for many years.

- Q And what's the name of her doctor that Dr. Keegan told you?
 - A Lynne Coen is her name.

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

2.4

- Q All right. And did he indicate whether or not Susan Keegan had visited any other person in the medical field?
- A Oh, also Dr. Pasternak, she had recently seen him, which is her dentist. She had seen him the day prior, I believe, and then once before. I think within the previous -- that year she had seen him.
- Q Now, did Peter Keegan make any further statements to you or ask you any questions regarding the situation in front of yourself and Sergeant Scott and Deputy Lockart?
- You may refer to your notes to refresh your recollection.
- 17 A Thank you. I appreciate that.
 - He had made some -- he made some comments, which was kind of off-putting. He made some comments about he was concerned about her -- the autopsy and he was concerned about what the outcome was going to be, and he wanted to know about the results as soon as possible so that he could talk to an attorney if he needed to.
 - Q Did anybody ask him a question among the three

of you law enforcement officers as to why he wanted a copy of the autopsy?

2.1

- A He was concerned about the -- what the outcome would be and -- which is why he was talking about -- I mean he said, "I'd like to get a copy as soon as possible because I'm concerned about the outcome. I'm concerned what people are saying."
 - Q Did he say why specifically he was concerned to get the autopsy? Did he say --
 - A Because he thought -- he thought people would say that he killed her and he wanted to be able to get an attorney if he needed to.
 - Q Okay. And was that the response -- what -- what response did any of the three of you make to him about his autopsy statements?
 - A Well, we -- we asked why he would -- why he would believe that, you know, why he would have this concern, because it's very unusual for someone to ask those kind of questions at a coroner's investigation.
 - Q Okay. And what --
 - A And he -- he just said that, you know, he was -- that the spouse always gets blamed, that he's -- that that's typical, and he was concerned about being blamed for killing her and that -- and that he felt like if -- depending on the outcome, that he may want to talk

1 to an attorney.

2.1

- 2 Q Did he indicate anything about the separation 3 in the bedrooms, what had caused that?
 - A He had -- he -- he said that there was -- I think the only question that was really asked of him more specifically was that, you know, he had made a comment that people were saying that -- that -- how did he state it.
 - It had to do with room temperature, like there was this -- they had this problem and that one of the problems or one of the issues that he brought up that they had had to do with room temperature, that they had a disagreement on it, and that's why they were staying in different rooms. But he said that wasn't true.
- 15 Q All right.
- 16 A It was kind of odd, awkward.
 - Q Did you -- did Sergeant Scott ask him anything about what he thought had happened given Dr. Keegan's medical background?
 - A Let me see here. He -- Sergeant Scott asked him -- because of his medical profession, his background, he asked him what he thought -- let's see, I don't want to say the wrong thing.
- Sorry, if you can just give me a second here.

 I just want to make sure.

(Brief pause.)

2.

1.5

2.1

2.3

He said -- he asked him what he thought happened to the decedent considering his medical background. That's what he -- how he worded it. And Dr. Keegan -- or Peter Keegan stated that if he was her doctor, he wouldn't give cause of death. He wouldn't sign or give cause of death, which we also thought was an odd statement.

Q Now, based on your experience -- your special experience as a training -- your special experience or your training as a deputy coroner and deputy sheriff, how did you evaluate Peter Keegan's statements to you?

A We thought his -- his statements and his demeanor were very odd, off. This is probably the only time -- I've done many, many coroner's cases in the years that I've worked or been part of coroner's cases and investigations, and this is the only time I've ever seen people or a person there that I've contacted, a family member, that has made these kind of statements. I've -- I've never had people ask for those types of things. We found it very odd, suspicious. That made us more cautious and pay attention more to detail about what's going on around us.

Q Did you take a look at the decedent in the bathroom?

1 A Yes.

7

8

16

17

18

20

2.1

- 2 Q Could you describe her just generally.
- A She's a white female, I believe she was 55

 years old, and she -- she looked like she was about that

 age, she had shorter gray hair, brown eyes. She was

 wearing purple pajama tops and bottoms.
 - Q What was her general body position when you saw her?
- A Her general body position was that she was
 laying on her back, her head was up against the vanity,
 part of her head/shoulders were up against the vanity
 laying on her back, her head was tucked where her chin
 was like touching her chest, and her -- I guess it would
 be her left hand was on her leg/stomach area and the
 other hand was on the ground next to her.
 - Q Okay. I'm going to show you some exhibits taken of the body. Do you know who took these -- I'll show you Exhibit Number 7-A. Do you recognize that?
- 19 A Yes, I do.
 - Q Who took that picture, do you know? Was that taken in your presence?
- 22 A Yes, yes.
- 23 Q And what does it reflect?
- A It reflects a -- it's a photo of Susan Keegan,

 how she was found on the day of her death.

- 1 Q I'm going to put this on the Elmo machine.
- 2 Is that the same person that you saw at that
- 3 time?
- A Yes.
- Okay. Now, I'm going to show you -- I'm going
- 6 to show you People's Exhibit number 7-B and ask you if
- 7 you recognize that.
- 8 A I do.
- 9 Q What does that represent?
- 10 A It's also a picture of Susan Keegan at her
- 11 residence in her bathroom on the day she died.
- 12 Q And what's on the screen reflects the exhibit
- 13 that you just referenced; is that correct?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q I show you People's Exhibit Number 7-C and ask
- 16 you if you recognize that and, if so, please identify
- 17 | it.
- 18 A I do. It's a -- it's an upper-half picture of
- 19 Susan Keegan laying on the floor in her bathroom.
- 20 Q And what's on the screen, does that accurately
- 21 represent that exhibit?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q I show you People's Exhibit 7-D and ask you if
- you recognize that and, if so, if you could please
- 25 | identify it.

A It's also a -- it's an upper head shot of

Susan Keegan, the way her -- she was positioned when we

found her, her head and shoulders area up against the

vanity in the bathroom.

Q Thank you.

Is what's on the screen an accurate reproduction of what you just testified about?

A Yes.

2.1

2.2

Q Now I show you Exhibit 7-E and ask you if you recognize that and if you could please identify it for us.

A This is a picture of Susan Keegan's -- would be her left hand and --

Q Did you notice anything significant about this based on your experience as a deputy coroner?

A Yes. The interesting thing that stands out in that picture is that she shows lividity, which is a pooling of the blood that happens after death, that normally you would see -- in other words, when you die, everything stops and blood goes to -- you know, it goes down -- it goes downward. If this were a natural -- if it was accurate with where the lividity is at, her hand should be facedown.

Q Okay. And is what's on the screen an accurate representation of the exhibit I just asked you about?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q Now, did this cause you any concern as to the nature of what you were doing on that occasion?
- A Yes, because it shows that there has been a change in body position after death.
- Q Okay. And I show you People's Exhibit

 Number 7-F and ask you if you recognize that and please identify it.
 - A Yes. This is another picture of the same hand. It's a little bit closer shot.
- 11 Q Thank you.
- 12 A It shows a little bit more of --
- Q Is what's on the screen an accurate portrayal of 7-F?
- 15 A Yes.

10

2.1

22

23

24

- Q And I show you People's Exhibit 7-G and ask

 you if you recognize that and please identify it for us.
- 18 A That would be her right hand that's laying on the floor next to her.
- Q Did you find anything unusual about that?
 - A The same thing, that she had lividity on the top of the hand and she was found, again, with her hand with lividity on top as opposed to where it should be.

 According to what you're seeing, it should have been facedown, which, you know, was exactly like the left

1 hand.

7

- 2 Q Thank you.
- 3 A Uh-huh.
- I don't know if you wanted to know also, the

 other thing is the -- which is a little bit harder to

 see in the pictures, but there's swelling of the hands
 - Q Swelling?
- 9 A Swelling, yes.

in the wrist area.

- 10 Q On both those last two photos?
- A On both of them. There's swelling of the wrists and hands that was unusual.
- Q So what did the swelling indicate to you based on your experience?
- 15 A I've never seen it before. I could only

 16 theorize what I think could have happened, but it's -
 17 it's very unusual. I've never seen that in a coroner's

 18 case where -- where you have specific swelling right in

 19 the top of the hand and at the beginning of the wrist

 20 area on both hands. It was very unusual.
- 21 Q I show you People's 7-H, ask if you recognize 22 that and, if so, please identify it.
- 23 A That is a picture of Susan Keegan, and the top 24 of her head shows injury, a puncture wound.
- 25 Q And whose glove is that that's pointing to

- 1 her?
- 2 A It's one of the deputies, but I don't know
- 3 specifically.
- Q In your presence?
- 5 A Yes, yes.
- Q Is what's on the screen the same Exhibit 7-H
- 7 that you just testified about?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q I'll show you People's Exhibit 7-I, ask you if
- 10 you recognize that and, if so, please identify it.
- 11 A This is a head picture of Susan Keegan laying
- on the floor, showing blood coming from her nostril
- area, also depicts a small injury to the left part of
- 14 her mouth.
- Q Were you able to detect the nature of that
- 16 injury, that small injury?
- A No, I don't know why.
- 18 She also has blood coming from the forehead,
- 19 | from her fore -- the top of the wound from the top of
- 20 her head.
- 21 Q I'll put this on the screen.
- Is what's on the screen the same 7-I that you
- 23 just testified about?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q I show you People's 7-J, ask you if you

- 1 | recognize that and please identify it.
- 2 A This shows a picture of the side -- would be
- 3 | the right side of Susan's head and top area. It just
- 4 mostly shows her head and part of her right shoulder.
- 5 And you can -- you can see a partial injury to the back
- of her head from this picture.
- 7 Q Let me put it on the screen and then you can
- 8 comment about that partial injury.
- 9 What I'm putting on the screen is 7-J you just
- 10 testified about?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q What is it you're saying about the head?
- 13 A You can see up against the -- up where the
- door is at there on the vanity and on the door itself,
- 15 | you'll be able to see at a closer shot there's a little
- 16 | bit of blood on the door itself and -- but you can also
- 17 | see part of an injury on the back of her head.
- 18 Q Okay.
- 19 A A redness.
- 20 | Q I'll show you People's 7-K and ask you if you
- 21 | recognize that?
- 22 A Yes. That is the -- that is the large injury
- 23 to the back of Susan's head. It's about a three-inch by
- four-inch opening.
- 25 Q Same direction for each of those lacerations?

- 1 A I believe it's a -- I want to say it's a
- 2 | vertical. I mean one goes -- it's like this
- 3 (indicating).
- 4 Q Okay. Is what you see on the screen 7-K that
- 5 you just testified about?
- A Yes.
- 7 Q Is that the same one?
- A Yes.
- 9 Q This is a closer shot, 7-L. I'll ask you if
- 10 you recognize that.
- 11 A I do.
- 12 Q And what is that?
- A So that's a more close picture of the head --
- 14 | the back of Susan Keegan's head, the large injury that
- 15 | she has, along with what looks like some tissue and
- 16 blood in her hair.
- Q What's on the screen, is that what you just
- 18 testified about?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q I show you People's 7-M and ask you if you
- 21 recognize that.
- 22 A This is another close-up picture of the injury
- of Susan Keegan, the back of her head.
- Q Thank you.
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 Q Is what's on the screen an accurate 2 reproduction of what you just testified about?
- 3 A Yes.

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

- Q I show you people's 7-N and ask you if you recognize that. If so, please identify it.
 - A So this is an injury. It is going to be her right hand and thumb is what's being indicated in the picture. She has a -- a small laceration, about an inch-ish in size, on the top of her right thumb.
 - Q Does that -- is there anything about that laceration that would relate to anything you found in the house?
 - A Yes. It's -- it correlates with a -- a pill container that she has. It's one of those that cuts pills. It divides them in half. I mean it's speculation, but it's similar in size. She does cut her pills/medication that she takes. It's possible, probable that it could have come from that.
 - MR. STOEN: Well, I would ask the jury to disregard the fact that this witness knows how she cut her pills and disregard that for that purpose, please. Disregard it for anything having to do with that subject.
- Q (BY MR. STOEN) So this is what you've just testified to, 7-N?

1 A Yes.

5

6

7

9

10

1.5

16

- Q And I show you People's Exhibit 7-0 and ask you if you recognize that.
 - A So this would be the -- the edge of the vanity, the very top edge of the vanity. And the wooden part underneath it where the handle is at, you'll see some -- you could see some blood at the very edge of the vanity, a small amount, and a little bit of blood dried on the -- the front face of the vanity where the handle is at on the drawer.
- 11 Q I'll show you this on the screen.
- Is that a fair reproduction of what you just testified about?
- 14 A Yes.
 - Q Now, given the nature of the wounds on the back of the head, what was your impression with respect to the amount of blood on the floor?
- A The -- the nature of the injuries did not
 match the amount of blood that we found on the scene.

 There was -- head injuries bleed a lot, even small ones,
 and these are very large. There was a very minimal
 amount of blood that was on the scene, and so it
 didn't -- those two things did not correlate.
- Q Okay. And I show you People's Exhibit 7-P.

 Do you recognize that? Please identify it if you do.

- A I do. It's the same picture. It's back a
 little bit further, so you can see a little bit more of
 the perspective of the top of the vanity and the drawer
 and door of the vanity.
 - Q And what I'm showing on the screen is the same exhibit?
- 7 A Yes.

- 8 Q Showing you 7-Q, ask you if you recognize 9 this.
- 10 A This is going to be Susan Keegan's right -11 the top of her -- top and side of her right leg/thigh
 12 area, and you'll see some lividity there.
- 13 Q Let me put it on the screen.
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 O Is that the same exhibit?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q You can point out what it is.
- A The dark redness there appears to be lividity;

 again, out of place for how the body is laying. The leg

 is extended outward. This is on the inside, a little

 bit on the upper inside of her thigh, which wouldn't

 correlate with the way the body is laying.
- Q And I show you People's Exhibit 7-R and ask
 you whether or not you recognize that.
- 25 A So this is the top of -- this is Susan

- 1 Keegan's stomach area. And what you'll notice in that
- 2 picture is -- and she has some injury, bruising area,
- 3 some -- it looks like broken -- almost like what I would
- 4 describe as like a petechia, scraping, almost like when
- 5 you scrape the skin and it breaks, blood underneath it,
- 6 and some bruising area right in the -- where the navel
- 7 is at there below the belly.
- 8 Q And so what's on the screen is the same
- 9 exhibit you just testified about?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q I show you 7-S and ask if you recognize that.
- 12 A This is a picture of the bathroom. It's
- pretty dark, but -- so it's taken from Susan's bedroom
- 14 | looking into the bathroom, and you can see -- it's very
- dark, but you can see part of Susan's body laying on the
- 16 floor.
- 2 So what part of her body can be seen from the
- 18 | outside of the bathroom?
- 19 A You can see basically from, I would say, you
- 20 know, part of -- a little bit of her upper, a small
- 21 portion of it, to down close to her knee area.
- 22 (Exhibit 7-A through 7-S were identified.)
- Q (BY MR. STOEN) Before we take a break, I have
- one more question. Did you take a photograph of Peter
- 25 Keegan at the time?

- 1 A I did.
- Q Show you People's Exhibit Number 8, ask you if you could identify that.
 - A This is Peter Keegan on the day of the investigation.
 - Q In the morning when you came at that time?
- 7 A Yes.

1.5

2.1

- Q And what did you notice about Peter Keegan that was significant to you, if anything, at the time, about his physical appearance?
- A The significance of his physical appearance and his demeanor would be, I mean he looked like and talked like he was under the influence of something, he had been taking something. You can see this in his eyes. And the way he was talking, he was very flat in his voice and the way he was answering questions. He also had he had a lot of white pasty around his and you can if you look closely, you'll be able to say the whites around his mouth. And when he was talking, it's like somebody has cottonmouth, they're making that kind of smacking noise. All that would be consistent with being under the influence of something.

MR. STOEN: Okay. Madam Foreperson, do you think it would be a good time to have lunch? It's 12 o'clock.

1 JURY FOREPERSON: Yes. What about questions? 2. 3 MR. STOEN: We'll have to wait until afterwards. People need to eat. She's going to be on 5 the stand later. 6 JURY FOREPERSON: Okay. So before we leave, again, I am supposed to remind everybody that we are not 7 to talk to one another or take anything out of the room. 8 9 Leave everything at your spot and do not discuss 10 anything while we're at lunch. And we'll come back -we'll start at 1:15. So if you could be back, you know, 11 12 in your seat by then, that would be great. MR. STOEN: Did you give the admonition about 13 14 secrecy, too? 1.5 JURY FOREPERSON: Do you want me to actually read it? 16 17 MR. STOEN: Yes. 18 JURY FOREPERSON: So the grand jury 19 proceedings and investigations are secret. You are, 20 therefore, admonished on behalf of the San Diego 2.1 Superior Court --2.2 MR. STOEN: It would be the Mendocino County 23 Superior Court. 24 JURY FOREPERSON: Sorry.

-- Mendocino County Superior Court and the

```
criminal grand jury not to disclose your grand jury
 1
     subpoena or your grand jury appearance to anyone and not
 2.
     to reveal any person, any questions asked, or any
 3
     responses given in the grand jury or any other matters
     concerning the nature or subject of the grand jury's
 5
 6
     investigation which you are -- which you learned about
 7
     by your grand jury subpoena or during your grand jury
     appearance, except to your own legal counsel.
 9
     admonition continues until such time as the transcript
     of the grand jury proceeding is made public or until
10
11
     disclosure is otherwise authorized by the court or by
12
     operation of law. Violation of this admonition is
13
     punishable as contempt of court.
14
                MR. STOEN: Thank you.
15
                A JUROR: As Sergeant of Arms, do I have any
16
     duties between now and coming back?
17
                MR. STOEN: I'm sorry?
18
                A JUROR: As the Sergeant of Arms, do I have
19
     any duties?
20
                MR. STOEN: Just make sure nobody takes any
2.1
     paperwork out of the room.
2.2
                We're off the record.
2.3
                (Lunch recess taken.)
24
                (Roll call taken; all jurors are present.)
```

MR. STOEN: Madam Foreperson, did you take

1 complete roll? JURY FOREPERSON: Yes. 2. 3 MR. STOEN: Madam Foreperson, will you remind the witness she's still under oath, please. 4 5 JURY FOREPERSON: I'd like to remind everyone 6 they're still under oath. 7 MR. STOEN: And the witness as well. JURY FOREPERSON: And you're also still under 9 oath. 10 THE WITNESS: Yes. 11 12 EXAMINATION (Cont'd) (BY MR. STOEN) Deputy Rainwater, did you look 13 Q 14 on the nightstand of Susan Keegan as to see what might have been related to the cut on her hand? 1.5 16 Yes. There was a blue --Speak up so everybody can hear you. 17 18 Α Sorry. 19 There was a blue container on the nightstand. 20 It's a -- it's a pill-cutting container mechanism. What was in that container? 2.1 0 There was -- I have to look specifically to 22 Α 23 see what the drug was, but there was a drug also inside the container. 24 25 Q. Would your notes refresh your recollection?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q Would you please refer to them.
- 3 A Okay. There's a couple different areas here,
- 4 so I apologize.
- 5 Okay, here it is.
- 6 Q Found it?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q What was it?
- 9 A It was called Vicoprofen.
- 10 Q Do you know what kind of drug that is?
- 11 A I am --
- 12 Q If you don't know, don't --
- 13 A I don't know specifically. I could take a
- 14 guess, take a good educated guess, but no.
- 15 Q Did you also examine the bathroom vanity --
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q -- with respect to the medicine cabinet?
- 18 A In the medicine cabinet there were two bottles
- of Liothyronine, two bottles of Levothyroxine, one
- 20 bottle of Lexapro, and one bottle of Buspirone.
- 21 Q All right. Did you do further examination of
- 22 her nightstand?
- 23 A Yes.
- Q What else did you find?
- 25 A There was a couple of marijuana cigarettes

- 1 that were there. She also had a coffee cup mug on her
- 2 | nightstand that had -- was partially full, I think about
- 3 half full or less, of alcohol. Hard alcoholic beverage
- 4 is what it looked like it appeared to be.
- 5 MR. STOEN: The jury is instructed that when
- 6 | she says "she had," you have to ignore as to who had it.
- 7 It's just that it was found on a nightstand associated
- 8 with a quest -- with the guest bedroom bed.
- 9 Q (BY MR. STOEN) Did you examine the decedent's
- 10 car?
- 11 A Actually, I -- I did not.
- 12 Q Okay. Did anybody in your group do so, in
- 13 your law enforcement group?
- 14 A Yes. Either -- it was either sergeant -- the
- only other two that were there was Deputy Lockart and
- 16 Sergeant Derek Scott.
- Q Did they describe what they found?
- 18 A Yeah. They found a bag of marijuana brownies
- 19 there.
- 20 O In the car?
- 21 A In the car.
- 22 Q Thank you.
- Now, did you contact Susan Keegan's physician
- 24 after this?
- 25 A I did.

- Q And did she tell you what kind of drugs Susan was on?
- 3 A Yes. I'm going to refresh quickly.
- She was taking Ambien, the Buspirone, and was taking an antidepressant as well, also indicated she was taking the Vicoprofen for pain.
- 7 Q What did she say she was treating Susan for?
- 8 A She treated her for the hypothyroidism and 9 back pain.
- 10 Q Okay.
- A And I was looking here to see if she said if
 she was taking an antidepressant.
- Q Let me show you People's Exhibit Number 6, ask
 you if you recognize this and, if so, please identify
 it.
- 16 A I do. That's the -- I don't know specifically

 17 if it's Susan Keegan's car, but it's the car that the

 18 brownies were found in that she drives.
- 19 A JUROR: Could you speak up a little?
- 20 THE WITNESS: Sorry.
- 21 So this is the car that Susan Keegan drives.
- 22 Q (BY MR. STOEN) How do you know that?
- 23 A Peter Keegan pointed that out.
- Q Okay. He said that to you?
- 25 A Yeah. He -- he told us as a group that that

- 1 was her vehicle.
- 2 Q Okay. Thank you.
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q And so what's on the screen is what you just
- 5 | looked at, Exhibit Number 6; is that correct?
- A Yes, parked at their house.
- 7 Q And also as part of Exhibit Number 6 is
- 8 another photo which maybe you can look at on the screen.
- 9 Do you recognize that?
- 10 A I do.
- 11 Q And what is it?
- 12 A That's a picture of Susan at the -- the room
- 13 Susan Keegan was staying in.
- 14 Q And how do you know that?
- 15 A That's what Peter Keegan told us when we were
- on scene.
- Q Could you tell whether or not that bed had
- 18 been slept in?
- 19 A It didn't appear to be.
- 20 (Exhibit 6 was identified.)
- 21 Q (BY MR. STOEN) Okay. I'd like to now ask you
- 22 | this question: At any time in your encounter with Peter
- 23 Keegan on November the 11th, 2010, did he at any time
- 24 | indicate sorrow or remorse regarding the death of his
- 25 | wife?

- 1 A No.
- 2 Now, did you -- were you present at the
- 3 autopsy?
- A Yes.
- 5 Q And when was that conducted?
- 6 A The following day at around noon.
- 7 Q And where was that conducted?
- A At Eversole's Mortuary.
- 9 Q And who conducted the autopsy?
- 10 A Dr. Trent. I think it's Jason Trent.
- 11 Q Okay. And did you have a conversation with
- 12 Dr. Trent about the condition of Susan Keegan's body?
- 13 A I did.
- 14 Q And what was said?
- 15 A I shared my concerns of everything I saw at
- 16 the scene as far as the lividity in the areas that
- 17 | seemed out of place for the way the body was positioned,
- 18 | I talked to him about the swelling of her wrists and
- 19 hands and the unusualness of that and an inability to --
- 20 reason why that was there, and we talked about the cut
- 21 on her finger.
- 22 Q What was his response to your concerns?
- A He seemed to me to, I don't know, make excuses
- or make a reasoning behind those things. It was a
- 25 | little -- it was a little frustrating in talking to

1 him --

8

9

10

16

17

18

19

20

22

- 2. Q. Okay.
- Α -- about it. 3
- Was anything brought up with respect to the 5 question of -- of the victim's husband?
- Yes. Dr. Trent knew -- I don't know if he 6 Α knew Dr. Keegan personally, but he certainly knew he was 7 a doctor.
 - Did he say that -- did he use the word "doctor" with reference to Dr. Keegan?
- 11 Α Yes, yes. We did that interchangeably when we 12 discussed things.
- Okay. Did he indicate to you whether -- how 13 14 he saw the injuries to Susan, what it was consistent with? 1.5
 - He couldn't say why she had the swelling on her wrists and hands. He had no explanation for that during our discussion. He said he didn't know.
 - Did he tell you, in his opinion, at that time what he thought the cause of death was?
- A fall. 2.1 Α
 - Okay. And did you observe anything done about blood alcohol and toxicology at that time?
- Yes. He -- he took samples, including blood 24 Α 25 samples, that they send away for toxicology. I did

- 1 witness that.
- 2 Q And were autopsy photos taken at the time?
- 3 A Yes.

9

10

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

2.4

- Q Who took them?
- 5 A Sergeant Andrew Porter. And I don't know if 6 he was a sergeant at the time, but he is now.
 - Q Okay. Now, with these concerns that you voiced with Dr. Trent and many others -- any others that you related to us, did you make any attempts to have this case investigated more thoroughly?
- 11 A Yes.
- Q What did you do?
 - A I -- at the scene we contacted -- my sergeant contacted Captain Smallcomb, who was not on scene, to discuss what we had found and share our concerns. When we got back to the sheriff's office, Captain Smallcomb was there and we, again, shared our concerns of the things that we saw, Deputy Lockart, Sergeant Derek Scott, and myself. And I also had a conversation with Sergeant Poma, Sergeant Mike Dygert, and Deputy Darren Brewster at the time who was a very seasoned deputy.
 - Q What was the response you got? Who would have been responsible for authorizing further investigation of the crime scene?
- 25 A Well, that would be -- because it started out

- as a coroner's case, so the coroner himself, which was

 Sergeant Poma, is the person who takes charge of that

 investigation. So that's who we report our information

 to. He then would -- between him and Captain Smallcomb,

 minimally, would have a conversation discussing the

 information, the circumstances, everything that we

 provided to make that decision.
 - Q Did you hear any response from Captain Smallcomb himself?
 - A No. He was -- he basically was asking for the information, the facts, which we provided. The discussion on what he decided to do and what was done after that was not discussed with us.
 - Q At any time did you receive any instructions from the higher-ups in the coroner's and the sheriff's office as to conducting a further investigation?
 - A No. I -- after I did the coroner's case, because I had been receiving so many phone calls from friends within the community and concern, they did ask me to document some of that information, which was turned over to -- and Sergeant Poma took over.
 - Q Did anybody at a higher level than you ask you to go back and search for weapons?
- 24 A No.

2.1

25 Q Did anybody higher than you go back to see if

- 1 there were any drugs kept elsewhere in the house besides
- 2 on the nightstand of the person that appeared to be
- 3 | Susan Keegan?
- A No. They -- they were telling -- they told us
- 5 that we were to handle it as a coroner's case at that
- 6 time.
- 7 MR. STOEN: Okay. Those are all the questions
- 8 I have. We'll take questions from the grand jurors.
- 9 I'm going to move into evidence Exhibit 6,
- 10 both parts.
- 11 (Exhibit 6 was received.)
- 12 JURY SECRETARY: Do I start with "1" or
- 13 question 8?
- 14 MR. STOEN: It doesn't matter. Start with
- 15 | whoever the next person is. Just mark them in order as
- 16 you receive them.
- JURY SECRETARY: Okay. Question number 8 from
- 18 juror number 640779.
- MR. STOEN: Thank you.
- Q (BY MR. STOEN) This question is: How might
- 21 | you, based on your experience, explain the lacerations
- in two separate sides of her head?
- 23 A That's a great question.
- Q Was there -- was there two separate
- 25 | lacerations?

- There were two separate lacerations. 1 Α had -- she had one just past the hairline on her head 2. that was about an inch long, and then she had an 3 extremely large one in the back of her head which was, as you saw with the photos, was, you know, very open. 5 6 I -- I think it's a very difficult thing to explain, 7 which was another reason for feeling like further investigation was necessary. It -- they're on two 9 opposite sides of her head. With her position, I find it difficult to be able to explain. I wouldn't be able 10 11 to explain why she has those two.
 - Q Are you able to give any explanation as to how it might have happened if it was not a natural fall --
 - A Yes. Then --

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

22

23

- Q -- based on your special experience and training?
- A My experience and training makes me feel like that there was somebody else involved in this and that she was assaulted.
- MR. STOEN: Okay. Next question, please.
- JURY SECRETARY: Question 9 from juror 616861.
 - Q (BY MR. STOEN) Okay. If you're in a position to know this: Do you know where in Santa Rosa her friends lived that Peter Keegan told you about?
- 25 A I have their address in my report.

- Q Okay. Would that -- would that refresh your recollection?
- 3 A Yes.
- So her friends William Baty and Mary Pierce
 reside -- well, that's a good question. With our old
 computer system, it looks like it only allowed me to put
 Santa Rosa, California. So that's all I have.
- Q Okay. She'll be testifying, so we can ask her
 9 that.
- 10 What time did Peter Keegan say he woke up?
- 11 A He -- it was -- well, it was shortly before he
 12 called. He -- he woke up, found her, checked her, and
 13 called 9-1-1.
 - Q Did you put a time into your report --
- 15 A That he --
- 16 O -- that he claimed?
- A I don't believe so. I think -- I think what I stated was that he woke up with all the lights on,
 walked into her room, found her, checked her, she was,
 you know, cold and not breathing, he called 9-1-1.
- 21 So ...

- 22 Q Did he indicate whether he initiated CPR?
- A He did not.
- Q Is that what he told you, that he did not, or that he didn't tell you what he did besides what you've

1 | already testified to?

6

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

that --

- A I did not get any information that he did
 that. It was my understanding -- the information I
 received was that she -- she had -- she was dead, he was
 a doctor, and -- and that he was just asking for the
- 7 Q Did anybody ask him if he did CPR or was

coroner and the police to come.

- 9 A I would think medical may have gone that 10 route, but --
- 11 Q You don't know whether or not he initiated
 12 CPR?
 - A Nobody -- the only thing I was told was that he checked for her vitals for life and she was cold to the touch, she had rigor mortis and, as a doctor, you know, he -- he did not believe that she was alive. But I was never told he ever did CPR.
 - Q Only answer this question if you know the answer: Do you know what kind of doctor Dr. Keegan is?
 - A I think he's just a general M.D. is my understanding, just a regular doctor.
- 22 Q Do you have any special knowledge of that?
- 23 A No.
- MR. STOEN: Okay. Then I ask the jury to disregard that answer.

- Q (BY MR. STOEN) Was Dr. Keegan initially suspected by law enforcement at the scene?
- 3 Α I -- I -- it's a -- it's a fine line going between an investigation, a coroner's -- a regular 5 investigation and trying to decipher at what point 6 somebody comes a suspect. There were suspicious 7 circumstances at the scene and by Dr. Keegan that were very suspicious. And in order to be able to -- in order 8 9 to be able to come with that decision, more 10 investigation should have been done immediately.
 - Q Okay. Now, how -- if you know the answer to this based on your training and experience: How did the top of the head get injured if she fell back?
 - A That's a really good question. She had to hit some -- either -- well, only two things can happen: She had to hit something, you know, and/or -- I mean on both injuries she had to hit something or be hit with something.
- 19 Q Okay.

12

13

14

15

16

17

- 20 A But I --
- 21 Q That's enough of that question.
- 22 A Yeah.
- Q Thanks.
- MR. STOEN: Next question. Anybody else?
- JURY SECRETARY: Juror number 10 -- I mean,

- 1 sorry, question number 10, juror number 624323.
- 2 MR. STOEN: Thank you.
- Q (BY MR. STOEN) Was there evidence of blood on 4 Ms. Keegan's top and pants that she was wearing?
- A Yes. On her right sleeve there was blood -
 there was blood on there. There were some -- looks

 like -- from what I recall, there were some drops there

and maybe a little bit more blood, but on her right.

- 9 That specifically I remember; other places I don't.
- 11 Q Based on your training and experience, do you
 12 have an opinion whether the bloodstain supports or
 13 indicates someone who has fallen backwards and hit their
 14 head?
 - A No, I -- I felt that that was an unusual spot for the blood and how the blood appeared.
- 17 Q Thank you.

8

1.5

- MR. STOEN: Next question.
- JURY SECRETARY: Question number 11 from juror number 610214.
- MR. STOEN: Thank you.
- Q (BY MR. STOEN) Was Dr. Keegan's recounting of
 his relationship, his argument -- his arguing and
 fighting, volunteered or was it a response to
 questioning by Ms. Rainwater or Sergeant Scott?

- 1 A I'm sorry, could you read that one more time?
- 2 Q Yeah. Was -- the question as phrased: Was
- 3 Mr. Keegan's recounting of his relationship, arguing and
- 4 | fighting, volunteered or was it in response to
- 5 questioning by you or Sergeant Scott?
- 6 A I believe it was -- it was under questioning.
- 7 They were questioning him about, you know, their
- 8 relationship, which would be normal.
- 9 Q Okay. He wasn't in custody, was he?
- 10 A No, no, no.
- 11 Q And was his recounting of his -- of Susan
- 12 | Keegan's drug use volunteered or a response in
- 13 questioning by you or Sergeant Scott?
- 14 A No, that was -- that -- he provided that
- 15 information and elaborated.
- 16 When we ask people what kind of medications
- 17 | they're taking, things of that nature, it's part of a
- coroner's investigation. He elaborated further by
- 19 explaining "she does this, she does that," you know.
- 20 Q Okay. Did Mrs. Keegan have face wounds as
- 21 | seems apparent -- to appear in the photo?
- Then I see 7-A or 7-B, so let me show you
- 23 that.
- This is 7-A I'm going to put on the screen,
- 25 and let me read the question so we can be on the same

- 1 page: Did Ms. Keegan have face wounds as seems to
- 2 appear in photo 7-A or 7-B?
- 3 A I would say with that photo you can't really
- 4 see it, but there are other photos that would depict
- 5 that.
- 6 A JUROR: [Juror 610214] I was wrong on the
- 7 number, I'm sorry.
- 8 Q (BY MR. STOEN) Would this be more useful in
- 9 answering that question, 7-C?
- 10 A Yes. There is -- and I think there's even
- 11 another one that it looks on the left she has a --
- 12 | something on the left part of her bottom lip, and she --
- 13 | it's hard to tell on her forehead if this -- she has a
- mark here or if that's blood. I'm not 100 percent sure.
- 15 Q Here is probably one that's a little more --
- 16 do you see this? Is this more useful in answering that
- 17 question?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q And that's 7-I. I'll put that on the screen.
- 20 A Okay.
- 21 Q And then you can answer the question by
- 22 referring to it.
- 23 A So yes, if you could see the lower left part
- of her -- the bottom of her lip, there's a mark there.
- 25 There's -- there's something there, some sort of a sore.

- I don't know if it's from an injury or if it's from something else. I couldn't depict that by this.
 - Q Do you feel that both head wounds are consistent with one fall or a result of a fall at all?
- I think it's -- the only thing I can base my 5 6 information off of is what I see at the scene. 7 Everything else has to come from something else. I -- the -- where her body is laying, you can see that 9 there is evidence of blood and hair that are on the 10 front of the -- on the vanity on the front, it's very 11 minimal, and where she's laying. That's the only 12 conclusion I can make based off the investigation we made at the time, that it's -- it's possible that she 13 14 fell, but it's -- that's -- that's all it is, is
 - Q Okay. Did Mr. Keegan have any wounds or injuries?
 - A He had some marks on his hands. We took some pictures of his -- they're poor photographs, but he had some little -- a couple little nicks that you could see that looked fairly new. They are difficult to see. We did take pictures of them.
 - Q Did he comment about those?
 - A He said he -- he has a cat.
- 25 Q Okay.

speculative.

3

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

- I didn't see a cat, but he said he had a cat 1 A and that they could have been from the cat. That was 2. his comment. 3 And were any of the marijuana joints used or smoked at all that you discovered? 5 6 I think that at least one, maybe both of them, could have been smoked. They weren't brand new ones. 7 MR. STOEN: Next question. 8
- JURY SECRETARY: Question number 12 from juror616901.
- MR. STOEN: We have an active jury here.
- Oh, this question is: Can I see the photo of the dark room? I believe it was from the bedroom to the bathroom.
- 15 Yes. I can pass it around. I hereby

 16 introduce this into evidence, so I can pass it around.
- 17 THE WITNESS: I did see it.
- MR. STOEN: And I introduce all of Exhibit 7,
- 19 A through R, S, T, whatever, into evidence.
- 20 (Exhibit 7 was received.)
- JURY SECRETARY: Should we go on?
- MR. STOEN: Yes. We can ask questions while that's being passed around.
- JURY SECRETARY: Number 13 is from juror 25 641677.

- 1 MR. STOEN: Thank you.
- Q (BY MR. STOEN) This question is: Given the set of seemingly suspicious circumstances, why was the
- 4 police work not more thorough?
- 5 A That's a good question. When I get dispatched
- 6 to the call, I'm dispatched to a coroner's
- 7 investigation. A coroner's investigation is separate
- 8 from a criminal investigation, and it should be for
- 9 reasons.
- 10 Q Okay.
- 11 A There's no law against a coroner's case.
- 12 Criminal cases are a different story. They need to be
- investigated differently.
- 14 When I was there I was told -- dispatched to,
- 15 | had a sergeant there, told that I was investigating a
- 16 | coroner's case, and that's what I did. I did encourage
- 17 further investigation many times.
- 18 Q Are the blood marks on the vanity and counter
- 19 consistent with a natural fall based on your experience
- 20 and training?
- 21 A I find it -- in my experience and training, I
- 22 | find, in hitting something, that transfer isn't always
- 23 necessarily -- when you hit something hard like that,
- 24 it's not necessarily -- blood is not necessarily
- 25 transferred. So I find that interesting. It's another

- 1 very interesting part of this investigation. When you
- 2 hit something hard, if you're stepping back, if you're
- 3 | falling or whatever, it takes a moment for the body to
- 4 react. And so I find that interesting.
- 5 MR. STOEN: Any other comments? Any other
- 6 questions?
- JURY SECRETARY: Oh yeah, they keep coming.
- Number 14 from juror 620342.
- 9 Q (BY MR. STOEN) Who prescribed Susan's meds?
- 10 A Dr. Coen did.
- 11 Q How do you spell her name?
- 12 A C-o-e-n. Lynne, "L" -- I don't know if it's
- 13 | with two N's or one.
- Q Okay.
- 15 A Lynne Coen.
- And she had another prescription that Lynne
- 17 Coen's office indicated, but it said other prescriber,
- and those were the pills in the blue bottle that I
- 19 | didn't have a prescription bottle for. So I don't
- 20 know.
- MR. STOEN: Next question.
- JURY SECRETARY: Number 15 from juror 616861.
- Q (BY MR. STOEN) This question makes a
- 24 | statement which I have no knowledge: Alcohol is a basal
- 25 dilator. I guess that's it.

1 Why is there -- I guess the question is why is

2 there not more blood; is that right?

A JUROR: [Juror 616861] Yes.

MR. STOEN: Okay.

3

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

suspect.

5 THE WITNESS: Another really good question.
6 In my opinion, probably most people's opinion, when you
7 have a head injury, head injuries bleed a lot. Even
8 small ones bleed a lot. So to have those kind of
9 horrific injuries and not have a lot of blood is very

MR. STOEN: Next question. That's it?

Q (BY MR. STOEN) Could her unusual hand swelling correlate with her apparent facial swelling?

JURY SECRETARY: No. Question 16, 611538.

A I -- in my opinion, I -- I would say no. It was a very unusual area of swelling and it was very specific on both hands. It was in the same area. And, you know, her face, if you look at the positioning of her face, it's in a -- in a -- you know, it's in a -- for lack of a term, you know, it's down. It's kind of like constricted, I guess. You know, I don't know if that would affect the swelling in her face. I don't have that kind of medical experience to be able to indicate that.

Q Okay. That's fine.

But her -- that area there was a very unusual 1 Α area -- specific area of swelling. It didn't go up her 2 3 arm. It was right in these areas right here. JURY SECRETARY: Question 17 from juror 609424. 5 6 (BY MR. STOEN) With the typical massive 7 amount of blood loss from a head wound, why didn't the lack of blood at the scene prompt a housewide search? 8 9 In a coroner's case you don't do house 10 searches normally. That would not be -- that would not 11 be something normally you do. You do house searches 12 when you are looking for something criminal. 13 Q. Thank you. 14 That was -- that was --Α That's good. 1.5 Q. 16 Α Sorry. 17 JURY SECRETARY: Number 18 from juror 640779. 18 (BY MR. STOEN) It's a follow-up question: 0 19 What does Ms. Keegan's lack of blood indicate to you? 20 That it was cleaned up, that the crime scene 2.1 was -- that the crime scene was cleaned up. 22 MR. STOEN: Thank you. 23 Next question. 24 JURY SECRETARY: Number 19 from juror 616861.

(BY MR. STOEN) This question is: Were there

25

Q

- 1 edema at the site of swelling?
- 2 A JUROR: [Juror 616861] Was there bruising.
- 3 THE WITNESS: What area?
- 4 MR. STOEN: You have to use --
- 5 A JUROR: [Juror 616861] Sorry.
- 6 Q (BY MR. STOEN) Was there bruising at the site 7 of swelling?
- A Are we talking about the arms?
- 9 Q The arms.

18

19

20

2.1

- 10 A Well, she -- I think there was -- I'd have to really look at the pictures to see if I saw anything.
- 12 There wasn't anything really outstanding.
- But you also had the lividity that you're seeing in that area as well, which, again, is out of character for the position of the body.
- 16 Q Let me see if I can find a photo.
 - Did you take -- did you take a look at the autopsy photos? Would that help you to answer that question?
 - A Yes. The autopsy photos do have, I think, pictures of her hands, if you have them.
- 22 Q I'm going to show you what's marked People's
 23 Exhibit Number 9. It's quite a large collection. I'm
 24 just going to ask you to page through, and if you can
 25 find anything in there that might help you answer that

- 1 question, please do so.
- 2 First of all, do those look like pictures that
- 3 you recognize were taken at the autopsy?
- A They do. They are.
- 5 (Exhibit 9 was identified.)
- 6 THE WITNESS: So this is one photo of one
- 7 hand.
- 8 Q (BY MR. STOEN) You pointed out one photo in
- 9 this, and I guess it would be the fifth photo in this
- 10 | stack of People's Exhibit 9, which I'll put on the
- 11 screen.
- Is this what you're referring to?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q Do you see any bruising on there?
- 15 A Well, I think that it's -- there is -- there
- 16 | could be bruising where the redness is located, but I
- 17 | also -- there's also lividity there. So, you know, I'm
- 18 | not -- I'm not 100 percent sure of --
- 19 Q I'll show you another photo that is later in
- 20 | this stack. Do you see that one?
- I'll put that one on.
- Does that show anything that would be an
- 23 answer to this question?
- That's number 7 in the pile.
- 25 A Yeah. Again, it could be a mixture of the

- 1 lividity and bruising, but it's -- I think it's very
- 2 difficult to tell, to me, unless you're a doctor --
- 3 Q Okay.
- 4 A -- differentiating it.
- 5 Q That's all the answer we need. If you don't
- 6 know, you don't know. Okay?
- 7 A Right, right.
- 8 Q Anything about that picture that answers that
- 9 question?
- 10 A I think that it could be a possibility of that
- 11 being a bruising.
- 12 Q Okay.
- 13 A I don't know for certain.
- 14 Q Again, this one is number 11 in that stack.
- 15 A Yes.
- O Same answer?
- 17 A Same thing, yes.
- 18 Q So basically what you're saying, it's
- 19 inconclusive to you.
- How about this one? This is 13, is that
- 21 | correct? Anything in that?
- 22 A I think it's the same -- it's the same thing.
- Q Okay.
- A It could be. It could be a mixture of
- 25 lividity, bruising.

- 1 Q Thank you very much.
- MR. STOEN: Okay. Next.
- JURY SECRETARY: Number 19 from 636055.
- 4 MR. STOEN: Thank you.
 - Q (BY MR. STOEN) The question is: Could the reason for lividity be caused by Dr. Keegan checking her pulse by her wrist?
- 8 A No.

6

7

25

- 9 Q How long does lividity take?
- A Lividity takes -- well, I think it starts

 happening almost immediately. You know, it's a process

 of a body basically dying and, as it dies, you know,

 it -- god, I'm trying to remember. That's a really good

 question.
- Lividity is not -- it's a process of the blood going down.
- 17 Q And manifesting on the body --
- 18 A Yes.

pooling down.

- 19 Q -- in a state of some sort?
- A Yes, yes. And, you know, it's darker. It's

 not a -- it's not a bruising. It's a different type

 of -- of coloring that you'll see. And you can see that

 in the hands. You'll see the difference of what

 lividity looks like. It's a darker color, all the blood

- And that's not going to happen from somebody
 taking somebody's pulse. And taking somebody's pulse is
 like this (indicating). You know, that's how you'll see
 people take it, especially medical people.

 This guestion is that answers the remaining
 - Q This question is -- that answers the remaining part of that question, so I don't have to ask it.
- JURY SECRETARY: Number 20 from juror 610214.
- 8 Q (BY MR. STOEN) Mrs. Keegan, did she appear to 9 have cuts and wounds on her hand?
- 10 A She had the one cut on her right thumb that we talked about.
 - Q That may have been the razor blade?
 - A Could have been the razor blade.
- And I remember in a photo seeing that

 something looked like there could have been another

 wound on one of her hands, but I didn't note that.
- Q Okay.

12

13

20

2.1

- 18 A But there is something -- I couldn't identify
 19 it.
 - Q Were they in the autopsy photos or in other photos?
- 22 A In the autopsy photos.
- Q Would it help you to take another look through to see if it's in there?
- 25 A Sure.

- 1 Q And you can see at the top there are some
- 2 numbers to help identify it.
- 3 A Okay.
- 4 Q If you would be so kind.
- 5 A There's a couple of them in here that show
- 6 hands, actually.
- 7 Q Okay.
- 8 A Yeah. So you've got --
- 9 Q That's number 14?
- 10 A Number 14.
- 11 Q It's Exhibit 7, number 14 in Exhibit 7.
- 12 A You have a couple more in here.
- 13 Q I'll separate them.
- 14 A Okay.
- Q Okay. Is this what you're talking about?
- 16 That's number 14 in Exhibit 7. Is that what you're
- 17 talking about?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q Okay. And you said there were some more.
- 20 A There are a couple more in there.
- 21 Q Okay.
- 22 A That's different from that one.
- 23 Q Just go through them and just give them to me
- 24 if you see it.
- 25 A That's the thumb one, but we already know

- 1 about that one.
- There's one. This may be the same.
- Q This is number 29 within Exhibit 7, and what do you see in that photo?
- 5 A It looks like there she could have something
 6 there on the top of her hand. I want to say that's her
 7 right -- right hand --
- 8 Q Okay.
- 9 A -- there.
- 10 Q Is this another one?
- 11 A I think that's the same one.
- 12 Q Same one?
- 13 A I'm pretty sure.
- 14 Q This doesn't show up very well on the screen.
- 15 I'm going to pass these around if people want to take a look at them.
- 17 Anything else in that packet?
- A And we're just talking about her hand?
- 19 Q Yeah.
- 20 A That's it.
- Q Okay. Thank you.
- I believe I've already received these in
- evidence. If not, they are received, all of Exhibit 7.
- MR. STOEN: I'll pass these around.
- 25 Any other questions?

- JURY SECRETARY: Yes. Number 21 from juror
- 2 641677.
- 3 Q (BY MR. STOEN) What did the blood alcohol and
- 4 tox screen show, if you know?
- 5 A That she was under the influence -- I -- I
- 6 | want to say .16 is what I recall from the report on
- 7 | alcohol, and tox that she had -- she had, I think, you
- 8 know, different drugs in there, but I don't recall
- 9 specifically.
- 10 Q Okay.
- 11 A Marijuana may have been one of them. I don't
- 12 know. I don't want to miss --
- 13 Q If you don't know, you don't know.
- 14 A Yeah.
- MR. STOEN: Okay. Next guestion.
- JURY SECRETARY: Number 22 from juror 626897.
- 17 Q (BY MR. STOEN) Does lividity occur only to
- 18 the extremities or throughout the entire body?
- 19 A It goes to -- well, you'll see lividity
- 20 anywhere where you see the body out -- I can't -- I mean
- 21 | I don't know if it happens to the scalp. I imagine
- 22 | anywhere where you have blood is where you're going to
- 23 see lividity.
- MR. STOEN: Okay. Next question.
- JURY SECRETARY: Number 23 from juror 616861.

```
1
              (BY MR. STOEN) Was there any sign of a
          Q
     struggle in the house?
 2
          Α
 3
               No.
               MR. STOEN: Next question.
 4
 5
               JURY SECRETARY: That's all I have.
 6
               MR. STOEN: Okay. Well, I want to thank you
 7
     for coming today. And you're excused.
 8
               THE WITNESS: All right. Thank you.
 9
               MR. STOEN: Do we have time to start another
10
     witness or do you want to take a break?
               JURY FOREPERSON: Maybe we'll go a little bit
11
12
     longer. We're all good.
13
               MR. STOEN: Okay. I'll get the next witness.
               (Brief pause.)
14
15
               THE REPORTER: Will you raise your right hand,
16
     please.
17
18
                            LINDA PULS
19
     Called as a witness, having been sworn, testified as
20
     follows:
2.1
22
               THE REPORTER: Please state your name and
23
     spell it.
24
               THE WITNESS: Linda, L-i-n-d-a, last is Puls,
25
     P-u-l-s.
```

	11
1	THE REPORTER: Thank you.
2	
3	EXAMINATION
4	Q (BY MR. STOEN) Linda, if you would be kind
5	enough to speak up because we don't have a microphone in
6	here.
7	A Okay.
8	Q Where do you live?
9	A I live in Atlanta, Georgia.
10	Q And what is your occupation?
11	A I'm a registered nurse.
12	Q And how long have you been a registered nurse?
13	A Twelve years.
14	Q And what relationship, if any, did you have
15	with Susan Keegan?
16	A Susan's my sister.
17	Q And what kind of contacts did you have with
18	Susan over the years?
19	A We had very regular contact. I saw her very
20	frequently, both here in Ukiah and in my town.
21	Q And how would you describe your relationship?
22	A Very close, extremely close.
23	Q Is there a particular reason why it was
24	particularly close?
25	A Yeah, my relationship with my parents was

- 1 estranged and Susan served as my -- my family. She was
- 2 | my mentor; she was my touchstone; she was my basis to
- 3 all things reality.
- l Q Okay.
- 5 A So we were more than just sisters, she was --
- 6 she was the most important person in my world.
- 7 Q Did you know Susan's husband, Peter Keegan?
- A Yes, I do.
- 9 Q And what was the nature of their relationship
- 10 over the years as you observed them?
- 11 A I met Peter when I was about 13 or 14 years
- 12 old.
- 13 Q Speak up, please.
- 14 A Sorry.
- I met Peter when I was about 13 or 14 years
- 16 old. I knew his younger brother, Edward. My sister was
- on the debate team, it was a nationally ranked debate
- 18 team, and she was debate partners with Edward, the
- 19 | younger brother. So I knew of him first. And then when
- 20 | Susan went off to Radcliffe, I knew that Peter was in
- 21 Harvard.
- So I knew him since I was an adolescent.
- Q Okay. And was there a -- how would you
- describe their personalities as compared to each other?
- 25 A Polar opposites.

- 1 Q In what way?
- 2 A Susan was very -- especially as she grew older
- 3 into adulthood, Susan was very outgoing. She was very
- 4 grounded. She was very comfortable in her skin. And I
- 5 | wanted to be more like her. She was active in the
- 6 | community and all of her interests. She traveled a lot.
- 7 She was in reading groups. She was in choir. So she
- 8 was very much an extrovert and very comfortable with
- 9 people.
- 10 O And how about Peter?
- 11 A Was more of a recluse.
- 12 Q Okay.
- 13 A Peter was the kind -- is the kind of person
- 14 that you never really know.
- 15 Q Okay.
- 16 A You're convinced that he's letting you see
- 17 parts of him that he wants you to see and guards the
- 18 rest. So as many years as I knew him, I never felt like
- 19 | I really knew him.
- Q Okay. When were you informed of Susan
- 21 Keegan's death?
- 22 A On November 11th, 2010, the morning.
- 23 Q And how were you informed?
- 24 A My father called and told me.
- Q What's your father's name?

- 1 A Stan Ettinger.
- Q Was that Susan's middle name, Ettinger?
- A No. Um, she kept it. She would use -- her actual name was Susan Carol Ettinger, and then she got married and used Keegan. So, yes, I believe that she did still use it legally as Ettinger-Keegan.
- Q What did you do after you got the information about Susan's death?
 - A I went in shock immediately. This was impossible to process. My first thought was that the wrong sister had died, that this was supposed to be me.

 It was -- I was unable to even comprehend what was being
 - Q Did you take any actions even in that emotional state?
 - A Yes. I found out around 8:30, and I waited until it was about 9 o'clock here. Because I live on the east coast, there's a three-hour time difference.
 - Q So it would be 12 o'clock your time?
- 20 A It would be about 12 o'clock my time, and I called Peter.
- Q Okay. And did you have a conversation with Peter about Susan?
- 24 A Yes.

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

told.

25 Q And what did he say in that conversation that

- 1 you specifically recall?
- 2 A He started telling me that Susan had had
- 3 basically what amounted to a clandestine drug and
- 4 | alcohol problem, that she had been using and abusing,
- 5 that she was extremely mean.
- 6 He tried to basically manipulate me -- or I
- 7 | felt like I was being manipulated because he brought up
- 8 my relationship with my father and the fact that we were
- 9 estranged and volunteered the information that he had
- 10 been talking to Susan all this time and telling Susan
- 11 | that she should have been more supportive and
- 12 understanding.
- Q Okay. Let me ask you this: Did he mention
- 14 anything about his reaction to the death of Susan?
- 15 A Yeah, he did.
- 16 Q What exactly do you recall him saying?
- A He was saying that he was getting a divorce
- 18 and he had already done all his grieving.
- 19 Q Did he say anything about his role, if any, in
- 20 that situation?
- 21 A The situation?
- 22 Q Did he say anything about how things looked?
- 23 A Oh. He said, "Things look really bad for me."
- Q Those are his words?
- 25 A Those are his exact words, "I know things look

- 1 bad for me."
- 2 Q Did you attend Susan's memorial service?
- 3 A I did attend it.
- 4 Q What date was it held?
- 5 A I believe it was the 21st of November.
- 6 Q Where was it held?
- 7 A It was here in -- well, it was in Ukiah at the 8 Methodist Church.
- 9 Q Can you estimate, to your best understanding, 10 how many people attended?
- A Two hundred fifty, 300. There were a lot.
- 12 There were a lot of people.

- Q Did Peter Keegan say anything at the memorial service about his wife?
- 15 A No, he did not speak.
- 16 Q Where was he sitting in the church?
- 17 A I have to say I have no idea. I know where he

 18 wasn't sitting. I was in the family section in the

 19 front row. My daughter was to the left of me. I was

 20 actually -- if you're in the congregation facing the -
 21 the pulpit, I'm all the way to the right on the side, my

 22 daughter's to the left, I know my cousin is behind me, I

 23 know that Susan's two boys are in the pew to my right,

also in the family section, with their girlfriends.

25 Q Did you see Peter in that section?

- 1 A No. And I thought that --
- 2 Q You don't have to speculate.
- 3 A No, I did not see him there.
- Q On the following day did you have a
- 5 | conversation with Peter Keegan?
- 6 A I did.
- 7 Q And where was that?
- A That was in the screened-in porch at their home in the morning, early in the morning.
- 10 Q And did he ask you anything?
- 11 A Yes, he asked me if I wanted a portion of my
 12 sister's ashes.
- Q What was your response?
- 14 A I was shocked, but I said yes, that I did.
- It's nothing that I had thought of. At that time I didn't even know she had been cremated.
- Q Okay. Did you observe Peter do anything about that subject?
- A When I walked into the screened-in porch, I
 walked to the very end and sat down on a bench and I was
- 21 gazing out into the yard. And when he asked me that
- question, I looked up over to him. He was on the
- opposite side of the porch sitting to my right and he
- 24 was seated at a very small table, and on that table were
- 25 spread-out newspapers and on the top of the newspapers

- 1 was a small cardboard box. To the left of that box was
- 2 a small box of Glad baggies, Ziploc baggies. And as I
- 3 | watched him for a second, I saw him pick up a measuring
- 4 | cup, scoop out my sister's ashes and dump them into a
- 5 plastic bag, seal the top, set it down, and get ready to
- 6 do it again.
- 7 Q And what -- did he -- how did these actions
- 8 strike you?
- 9 A I -- once again, I'm in shock and I can't
- 10 | believe what I'm seeing. This is a solemn occasion,
- 11 this is the death of my sister, these are her earthly
- 12 | remains, and this is how she is being treated.
- 13 Q Now, what was Peter's attitude toward you
- 14 | immediately after Susan's death?
- 15 A Right after Susan's death I noticed a total
- 16 change really in his personality. We had never had a
- 17 | close relationship. He was always basically my sister's
- 18 husband. If I called to speak with my sister, which we
- 19 | did -- which I did often, he would say, "Hey Linda, how
- 20 | are you? How are your kids? Okay. Susan is in the
- 21 other room. I'll go get her."
- 22 Q Okay.
- A And my thought was always, "Okay, good to talk
- 24 to you, too."
- 25 So that was our relationship.

1 Q How did his behavior towards you change after 2 her death?

2.1

2.2

A Suddenly he was sort of all-encompassing brother type figure. He wanted to meet me at the airport. First he told me -- I asked -- I was ready to fly immediately to California that day and help in any way. I was assuming there would be visitation; I was assuming there would be a funeral.

And he said no, that he was not comfortable having guests in his home and that I was not to come, that he really at that point didn't give a damn if there was a memorial service or not and it would be up to the boys.

- Q Okay. And what -- what else did he do that struck you as different from his prior behavior towards you?
- A He came down to the airport on the day that we were allowed to come after the boys had arranged the memorial service. He drove all the way down from Ukiah and picked me up. He would alternate between being sort of really chatty and then suddenly catch himself and then just kind of be, "oh, supposed to be sad."
 - Q How did you interpret that?
- 24 A As very odd, very odd.
- 25 Again, I am -- my grief was stronger, I

- 1 believe, at this point than anyone else's. I was in
- 2 shock. I was still in shock.
- 3 Q Okay. Now, did he also send you anything?
- A He did. First he offered to reimburse me for
- 5 all the costs to fly out here for the funeral. My
- 6 daughter flew with me. He wanted to pay for airline
- 7 | tickets; I declined. I didn't understand that move at
- 8 all.
- 9 Q Okay. Did he offer you anything else that you
- 10 did accept?
- 11 A He gave me Susan's last paycheck. He called
- 12 me --
- 13 Q How much was it?
- 14 A It was approximately \$500.
- Q Who was the employer of Susan?
- 16 A It was American Cancer Society.
- 17 What he said was, "She wouldn't want me to
- 18 have it anyway."
- 19 Q Did he also offer anything else to you on your
- 20 visit here for the memorial service?
- 21 A When we were here for the memorial service,
- 22 he -- the night before the funeral was to be held he
- 23 took us all to an Italian restaurant. I was there, the
- boys were there and their girlfriends, my daughter, my
- 25 | cousin. I'm sure there were other people, but I can't

- 1 recall who else was there. Anybody that basically was
- 2 visiting from out of town. And it was a very nice
- 3 Italian restaurant that we had never been to, and he
- 4 played host in a way that I found most unusual.
- 5 Q Why?
- 6 A Oh, it was -- he was congenial and talkative
- 7 and outgoing and laughing and smiling. It was almost as
- 8 | if it was a wedding rehearsal dinner that he was hosting
- 9 or a cocktail party. I would have been really happy
- 10 | with a bologna sandwich and a banana, staying at the
- 11 house, but this is what he insisted on doing.
- 12 Q Okay. Notwithstanding all this -- okay. When
- 13 you went to the house did he offer you anything?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q What did he offer you?
- 16 A The day of the funeral, the memorial service,
- 17 he insisted that I take any jewelry or clothing of
- 18 | Susan's that I wanted because, as he put it, he was
- 19 | going to get rid of it all immediately anyway.
- Q Okay. Now, notwithstanding all of this, what
- 21 | was your opinion about Peter's relationship to Susan at
- 22 | Susan's death, were you supportive or nonsupportive of
- 23 him?
- 24 A Of Peter?
- 25 Q Yes.

- 1 A I was supportive mainly from the fact that I
- 2 | wanted to feel close to my sister and he was as close as
- 3 I could get. Neither scenario that was being explained
- 4 to me made any sense. It did not make any -- and at
- 5 | this point in time we weren't -- nobody was discussing
- 6 | with me the possibility that she could not have died by
- 7 accident.
 - Q Okay.
- 9 A But she was not a drug or an alcohol user, let
- 10 alone an abuser. So ...
- 11 Q Okay. That's based on your knowledge of her
- 12 behavior over time?
- 13 A We would call -- I would call her and she
- 14 | wouldn't know I was going to call her.
- Q Okay.
- 16 A And, yes, --
- Q We can't talk about what she said to you.
- A No, I'm not.
- 19 Q I'm just looking at what her behavior -- her
- 20 behavior was in your eyes.
- Did she appear to be a drug user?
- 22 A Absolutely not, never.
- 23 Q Had you ever seen her intoxicated?
- 24 A Never.
- 25 Q Now, were you supportive then of Peter at

- 1 first after the death of Susan or not?
- 2 A Yes.
- Q Okay. And when you came out for the memorial service where did you stay?
- 5 A I stayed at Susan and Peter's house.
- 6 Q And did Peter mention anything about Susan's
- 7 | use of -- Susan's behavior that was troubling to you?
- 8 A Yes. He kept telling me that she was an
- 9 addict and an alcoholic.
- 10 Q Did he mention any special drug?
- 11 A Opiates.
- 12 Q Okay.
- 13 A Hydrocodone.
- 14 Q What are opiates?
- 15 A They are pain-relieving medications that are
- 16 also sedatives.
- 17 Q Are they narcotics?
- 18 A Yes, they are.
- 19 Q What are they used for?
- 20 A Pain.
- 21 Q I want to go back to your nursing
- 22 qualifications now.
- A Uh-huh.
- Q What special training have you had as a nurse?
- 25 A My special training when I first came out of

- 1 | nursing school was in the emergency room, and I spent
- 2 approximately six, seven years in emergency rooms, both
- 3 in Metro Atlanta and doing travel assignments.
- Q What kind of patients did you have to deal
- 5 with in an emergency room?
- 6 A Ones that were anything from minor scrapes,
- 7 bumps, sutures, to dying to death-on-arrival patients.
- 8 It runs the gamut in the emergency room.
- 9 Q So after your emergency room sojourning, what
- 10 did you do as a nurse?
- 11 A Currently I'm doing infusion nursing.
- 12 Q Is that like oncology for cancer patients or
- 13 what?
- 14 A Some of the medications that we infuse are
- 15 chemo.
- 16 Q Okay. Has your training and your nursing
- 17 experience given you an understanding of drugs and drug
- 18 abuse?
- 19 A Yes, absolutely.
- 20 Q In addition to your training and nursing
- 21 experience as to drug abuse, have you had a personal
- 22 experience with drug abuse?
- 23 A I have. I've been in recovery since April of
- 24 2011. So I have six years.
- 25 Q For what?

1 A For opiate abuse.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

- Q And is that common within the nursing profession?
 - A Yes, and it's more common within ER nurses.
 - Q Okay. And how do opiates relate to Vicodin?
 - A Vicodin is an opiate. It's a narcotic.
 - Q Okay. Now, after you learned of Susan's death, how did that affect your employment, if at all?
 - A I took a leave of absence because I was unable to work through and concentrate. I didn't feel like I was safe for my patients. My use of opiates spiraled up due to my grief, so I stepped out of work.
 - Q Okay. Did you have to go through a program of recovery to be allowed back into the nursing profession?
 - A That I did.
 - Q What kind of a program was that?
 - A I went into rehabilitation at a place called Ridgeview. It's a hospital. So I went through my program and then I also worked in conjunction with the Georgia Board of Nursing and went under consent order, voluntary consent order, for four years, finished that program, and now I'm actually an advocate and a leader for nurses coming into recovery.
- 25 Q Okay.

- A So I've stayed clean and sober since April of
- 2 2011.
- 3 Q Now, in addition to being offered, I believe
- 4 you said, jewelry by Peter, did he offer anything else
- 5 of Susan's?
- A Jewelry, clothing, anything I wanted. I was
- 7 to go through it all.
- 8 Q And when he offered you clothing, what
- 9 response did you take to that?
- 10 A That was -- again, I'm not expecting this. My
- 11 | thought train was, okay, I'm in Atlanta, I'm really not
- 12 | going to get the opportunity to come back and -- at a
- better time, when a time would be better for me, when I
- 14 | had gotten used to the idea of Susan's death. So he led
- me into the master bedroom and he gave me her jewelry
- 16 box.
- 17 Q Okay.
- A And what I did was look for family pieces.
- 19 Q And did he offer you anything else of Susan's?
- 20 A Clothing.
- 21 Q Okay. Now, what is the -- how does your
- 22 | height compared to Susan's height?
- A Oh, I'm much shorter. I'm 5'2"; she was
- 24 5'10".
- 25 Q And, notwithstanding the difference, did you

- make any attempt to look -- what did you do in
 response?
 - A What I did was I took that opportunity to go through her things. My daughter and I went through me primarily her entire closet. I went through every pocket of every shirt, of every jacket, of every sweater, of every dress, of every skirt, of every shoebox, of every storage box, of every desk drawer, file cabinet that was encased in the room she was staying, the guess room. And what I was doing was for my own benefit, I was looking for basically something evidentiary; a missing pill bottle that she had stored, an alcohol bottle, anything that would say yes, this was true, she really had a problem. And I found absolutely nothing. There was nothing.
 - Q Now, had you ever seen anything in Peter's Facebook related to Susan's death?
 - A Yes.
 - Q And are you familiar with Peter's Facebook?
- 20 A Yes.

1.5

2.1

- Q And what have you observed -- what have you become aware of on Peter's Facebook related to Susan's death?
- A He quickly changed his Facebook profile within days to widowed and he posted pictures, serendipitously,

- of the bathroom where Susan died in the -- in the guest
- 2 bathroom.
- 3 Q Okay.
- A They were up for approximately an hour. I
- 5 | screened -- I took screenshots of them because it was
- 6 years later.
- 7 Q I'm going to show you what's marked People's
- 8 Exhibit 35. It starts with page 2 of 9 and then it goes
- 9 through all the rest of nine. I want you to take a look
- 10 at Exhibit 35 and look at the photos there and tell me
- 11 when you first observed those photos.
- 12 A These are the pictures. They posted, I
- 13 believe, in March or April of 2016.
- 14 Q In 2016 they were posted?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q Last year?
- 17 A I believe so. I immediately screenshot them.
- Q Why did you screenshot them?
- 19 A Because they were so unusual. There was no
- 20 caption except that these were directional photos.
- 21 Q And what were they photos of?
- 22 A The bathroom where Susan had died in the guest
- 23 room.
- Q I'm going to put these on the screen.
- Is this one of the photos? This is page 2 of

- 1 9 of Exhibit 35.
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q I'll show you page 3 of 9 in that exhibit. Is
- 4 that one that you took a screenshot of?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q How long did they last on your machine before 7 they went off?
- 8 A Approximately one hour. I signed onto
- 9 Facebook, and since Peter and I were friends, it showed
- 10 up on my feed.
- Q Okay.
- 12 A And I was shocked. So the first thing I did
- was just grab it, just grab pictures of it, because I
- 14 | couldn't figure out why they would be there.
- Q Okay. Have you ever had a discussion with
- 16 Peter about why he sent these?
- 17 A No.
- 18 Q I show you now page 4 of 9. Is that one of
- 19 the photos that you took a shot of?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q And page 5 of 9?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q And page 6 of 9?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q And page 7 of 9?

- 1 Yes. Α 2 And page 8 of 9? Q 3 Α Yes. And page 9 of 9? Q 5 Α Yes, those are correct. 6 Q. Now -- thank you. 7 (Exhibit 35 was identified.) MR. STOEN: I move all those into evidence, 8 9 please. 10 (Exhibit 35 was received.) (BY MR. STOEN) Did you change your opinion 11 Q 12 about Peter and his involvement, if any, in Susan's 13 death? 14 Α I did. 15 And when did you change your opinion? Q. I -- my father became ill. He had an injury. 16 Α 17 Speak up, please. 18
 - A My father became ill, he'd had an injury, and my cousin Karyn and I started e-mailing each other.

 Those conversations about my father sort of led into my sister and her -- the circumstances surrounding her death.
 - Q And you were aware that Karyn had a pretty strong opinion about this; right?
- 25 A Yes.

20

2.1

22

23

- Q And so when was it that you started changing
- 2 your opinion about Peter?
- A Karyn -- almost immediately I had my suspicions.
- Q Was this in 2012 or what year would that have been?
- A No, this would have been in two thousand
 and -- I'm bad at dates. Hold on. Yeah, it would have
 been 2012. Yes.
- 10 Q Okay. What part of the year would it have 11 been?
- 12 A It would have been in the spring.
- 13 Q In the spring?
- 14 A Uh-huh.
- 15 Q Now, did you ever contact any newspapers about 16 your revised opinion?
- 17 A They contacted me.
- 18 Q Who contacted you?
- 19 A Glenda Anderson.
- 20 O From the Santa Rosa Press Democrat?
- 21 A Correct.
- Q Have you ever contributed anything to any website about your revised opinion?
- A Yes, through -- through Glenda. She asked me for statements.

- Q Okay. Have you made public statements about
- 2 your revised opinion?

6

7

13

- A To friends and family, folks that are in my social circle.
 - Q Now, after you started revising your opinion, did you have any contact with any law enforcement people or the DA's office?
- A Yes. Because of the letters and the e-mail
 exchanges that Karyn and I were having, she made the
 suggestion that I contact the DA's office, which I did.
 I contacted Kevin Bailey, who was the investigator on
 Susan's case.
 - O And what --
- A We spoke for about 45 minutes or an hour on our initial conversation.
 - Q Did you have continuing discussions with him?
- 17 A Yes.
- Q And, as a result, did that -- did that
 undermine or did that strengthen your revised opinion
 about Peter?
- 21 A Strengthened.
- Q Now, did anything happen around August 27, 23 2012, between you and Peter Keegan?
- A He called me, I hadn't spoken to him in maybe three or four months, and he left a message on my voice

- 1 mail.
- 2 Q Okay. And before this had Peter discussed
- 3 Susan's behavior before her death --
- A Never.
- 5 Q -- with you?
- A No.
- 7 Q Huh?
- A No.
- 9 Q Had he told you anything about -- did he
- 10 mention -- did he mention his opinion about her having a
- 11 drug addiction?
- 12 A No. But it was well known that I had a
- 13 substance abuse problem and I would be a good resource.
- Q Okay. I'd like to turn to this August 27,
- 15 2012 event. He called you?
- 16 A He did.
- 17 Q And did you answer the call or was it a voice
- 18 | mail?
- 19 A It was voice mail.
- Q What did the voice mail say?
- 21 A That he wanted to talk to me and asked me to
- 22 return his call.
- 23 Q Now, were you aware at that time as to whether
- 24 | there had been any change in the Mendocino County
- 25 | Sheriff's opinion about Susan Keegan's death?

- A Yes, the death certificate had been changed and finalized.
- 3 Q To what?
- A And it was finalized.
- 5 Q To what was it changed to?
- 6 A The determination of death was now homicide.
- 7 Q And was -- so what effect did that voice mail
- 8 have on you?
- 9 A I did not want to call him back, and I did not 10 call him back.
- 11 Q Okay. Now, did you eventually call Peter
 12 Keegan back?
- 13 A I did, but not before calling Kevin Bailey.
- 14 Q And did he suggest that you call him back?
- 15 A Yes, he did.
- 16 Q And did he tell you -- what did he tell you he
 17 wanted you to do in the call back?
- A He asked me if I would be willing to get Peter
 on tape, that Peter had not as of yet spoken to anybody
 in his office, he couldn't --
- Q Okay. You don't have to go there.
- 22 Did he suggest you do anything?
- 23 A Tape the conversation.
- Q Okay. Do you know what kind of a call that
- 25 is, that's called?

- 1 A A pretext conversation.
- 2 Q Okay. Did you eventually -- did he give you
- 3 some topics to discuss with Peter in this call?
- A He did, and I wrote them down and had them
- 5 with me.
- 6 Q Okay. And did you record that phone call?
- 7 A I did.
- B Q How did you record it?
- 9 A I used a digital recorder.
- 10 Q And what did you do with the recorder after
- 11 you made this phone call?
- 12 A As soon as the conversation was done, packed
- it up and overnighted it to Kevin here in Ukiah.
- Q And what was the date of the phone call?
- 15 A It should have been September 17th.
- 16 0 2012?
- 17 A 2012.
- 18 Q Have you seen a transcript made by the
- 19 district attorney's office of that phone call?
- 20 A I have.
- 21 Q And have portions of that transcript been
- 22 taken out?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q And does that remaining transcript accurately
- 25 | show what was said in that phone call?

- 1 A Yes.
- MR. STOEN: Madam Foreperson, it might be good
- 3 to take a break now because we're going to listen to a
- 4 | phone call. And we have a transcript.
- 5 You might give the admonition, please.
- JURY FOREPERSON: Before we leave, you are
- 7 advised under the Fifth Amendment to -- the Constitution
- 8 of the United States and also under Article I of the
- 9 California Constitution that you have a privilege
- 10 against self-incrimination, that is to say you do not
- 11 have to answer -- oh, am I reading the right thing?
- MR. STOEN: Pardon me?
- JURY SECRETARY: I don't think so.
- JURY FOREPERSON: Sorry. Back up. Scratch
- 15 | that. Let me go further back.
- 16 MR. STOEN: The admonition could be simple,
- 17 | that you're not to discuss this or form any opinions
- 18 until this case is submitted to you for deliberation and
- 19 not discuss this with anybody else.
- A JUROR: What he said.
- JURY FOREPERSON: Yes. Please don't discuss
- 22 | the case and keep all of our opinions to ourselves and
- 23 enjoy your break.
- 24 A JUROR: What time?
- 25 JURY FOREPERSON: So it's 2:35. How about

```
1
     2:50.
 2.
                A JUROR: Ten minutes.
 3
                MR. STOEN: Madam Foreperson, do you have a
     time that you wanted to --
 4
                JURY FOREPERSON: 2:45 let's return, ten
 5
 6
     minutes.
 7
                MR. STOEN: What time did you want to recess
     for the day?
 8
 9
                JURY FOREPERSON: We talked about 4:30
10
     recessing.
11
                MR. STOEN: That's fine.
12
                JURY FOREPERSON: So 2:45 we'll be back.
13
                (Recess taken.)
14
                (Roll call taken; all jurors present.)
15
                JURY FOREPERSON: I would like to remind you
16
     all that you are still under oath in this matter.
17
                MR. STOEN: Thank you.
18
19
                        EXAMINATION (Cont'd)
20
                (BY MR. STOEN) Before we do the tape, Linda,
     I'm going to show you People's Exhibit Number 19 or,
2.1
22
     excuse me, People's Exhibit Number 34, and ask you to
23
     take a look at this, it's four pages long, and tell me
     if you recognize it and, if so, please identify it.
24
```

I do.

Α

- 1 Q What is it?
- 2 A This is a copy of an envelope, a handwritten
- 3 | note from Peter to me, and a copy of certificate of
- 4 death -- I'm looking for the date on this one -- dated
- 5 11/15/2010.
- 6 Q Read that aloud, please, again.
- 7 A I'm sorry?
- Q Loud.
- 9 A Sorry. This is a copy of an envelope, --
- Q Uh-huh.
- 11 A -- a handwritten note, and a copy of a death
- 12 | certificate. It looks like March 29th, 2011, is
- 13 actually the date. Sorry.
- 14 Q Are there two death certificates there?
- 15 A This is an amended. So the amended copy is
- 16 here, yes.
- 17 Q Okay.
- 18 (Exhibit 34 was identified.)
- 19 Q (BY MR. STOEN) Now, can you see on the
- 20 envelope what the postmark date is?
- 21 A The 1st of June, 2011.
- 22 Q And is that the approximate date that you,
- 23 | shortly after that, received it?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q So were you still -- you had not revised your

- opinion as of that date, is that correct, about Peter?
- 2 A Correct.
- Q Okay. So was this -- how did you react when you got this from Peter?
- A We had a phone call either just before or

 shortly after where he explained the death certificate

 now contained multiple substances, and he was giddy

 about it.
- 9 Q Was that your understanding as to the 10 relationship of that letter, because of that phone call?
- 11 A Yes. It was supposed to be private. It was
 12 for my eyes only, as he wrote.
- Q We'll put it on the screen.
- This is the cover of People's Exhibit 34. Is that what you were identifying?
- 16 A Yes, that's a copy of the letter.
- Q And that's written to you at your Woodstock,

 Georgia, address?
- 19 A It's Metro Atlanta, yes.
- Q Could you read that into the record.
- 21 If you can see it okay. That's the letter.
- 22 That's the second page on that exhibit.
- 23 A So it is just after. It says:
- "Linda, thanks for calling. I'm really
- glad you're doing well. Keep this private.

- 1 Your eyes only. Love Peter."
- Q Okay. And then the next two pages are the --
- 3 A The death certificate, yes.
- Q -- Certification of Vital Record, which is the death certificate; is that correct?
- 6 A Correct.

13

- Q How did you interpret him sending this to you?
- A To him he was -- he was excited because it

 showed substance -- substances on the toxicology report

 which had been returned. We were -- the first doesn't

 have anything, the second one has substances on it, and

 he basically felt like the whole thing exonerated him,
- 14 Q Now, when you say that -- I instruct the jury
 15 to disregard that if it's speculation.
- Did he say specifically that?

that now everybody would know the truth.

- A No, not that word.
- 18 Q Okay.
- 19 A Not that word.
- MR. STOEN: I'll ask the jury to disregard that.
- Q (BY MR. STOEN) Now, you had this phone call.
- We're going to play it. This is Exhibit Number 19.
- 24 This is a tape recording, Exhibit 19. It's on a CD
- 25 that's in this tape recorder. And I'm going to have the

- 1 foreperson and others pass out the transcript to each of
- 2 you.
- 3 I've asked the stenographic reporter not to
- 4 record this. It's off the record. We're not going to
- 5 be recording the phone call because we have a
- 6 transcript. So she doesn't have to rewrite it.
- JURY FOREPERSON: Excuse me, does one need to
- 8 | go into evidence?
- 9 MR. STOEN: Yeah, there will be one going into
- 10 evidence that I will have an original sticker on. I'll
- 11 make sure that you get it.
- Does anybody have an original sticker on
- 13 theirs?
- 14 The transcript is Exhibit 19-A. I want it
- 15 received.
- 16 (Exhibits 19 & 19-A identified & received.)
- 17 Q (BY MR. STOEN) So the way we're going to
- 18 | handle this is: I'm going to ask you some questions
- 19 about certain portions, and you can use this to refresh
- 20 your recollection as to exact wording on the areas that
- 21 I point you to.
- 22 A Okay.
- 23 Q And then after that, we'll play the whole tape
- 24 and the jury can follow it with the transcript that's
- 25 been distributed.

1 Okay. Did -- turning to around page 10, did Peter say anything about how he was doing -- it may be a 2. little bit different because of a redaction, so it may 3 be a little earlier than that -- when he called? 5 Yes, he said he's kind of hanging in there. 6 You know, the police are trying to -- every three or 7 four months that goes by --Okay. Just read -- say it as loud as you can. 8 9 What else did he say about any -- any other 10 kinds of influences on his life? 11 Α That the newspaper had ran a new story. It 12 says: 13 "They sent out a press release because three different newspapers ran, you know, 14 1.5 Susan's death as a homicide on the front page above the fold." 16 17 And did you ask him why it was reclassified? 18 Α I did. I did ask him that. 19 Q. And what was his response? 20 The original was page 11. 2.1 Α It's on page 11. 22 On line 9 I ask him: "Why do you think they 23 reclassified it? I mean, I don't understand." And Peter says: "I have, I have no idea." 24 25 Q. Did he give an explanation why he thought the

1 police were interested?

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

13

20

22

- 2 A On line 17 he said:
 - "The police have always had, had a high level of hostility to me and I know it's, you know, in February more than a year ago that they, you know, uh, they figured it a homicide and shouldn't he be a suspect. And they told me that in February of 2011."
 - Q Okay. Before you go on, did he say why he thought the police had animosity towards him?
 - A Yes. Now I need to find it.
- 12 Q Pardon me?
 - A Yes, and I need to find it.
- 14 Q The original pages were pages 11 and 12.
- A Beginning on 11, line 26, Peter says:
- "And then uh, so, I think there's just a high level of animosity, you know, that, you know, I deserve by me being antagonistic to them over the years around cannabis issues
- 21 MR. STOEN: The grand jury is instructed that

and, and corruption issues."

- 23 solely for the purpose of aiding in a determination of
- 24 Mr. Keegan's state of mind and may not be considered for

this evidence regarding the police is being admitted

any other purpose.

- Q (BY MR. STOEN) Did he make any reference
 about anybody calling him or did you make any reference
- 3 about anybody calling him?
 - A Yes.
- 5 Q What was that?
- A I said that I had been in contact with my cousin.
- 8 I'm looking for this.
 - Q Turn to page 14 on line 18. Do you see that?
- 10 A Uh-huh, yes. Line 18.
- 11 Q And what does it say in the first two lines?
- 12 A "Karyn has been just absolutely burning my
- phones up."

- Q Now, who is Karyn?
- 15 A That's my cousin.
- Q And you're the one that's talking; right?
- 17 A Yes, correct.
- 18 Q And did Mr. Keegan ask you anything about your
- 19 contacts with law enforcement?
- 20 A He did.
- 21 Q And so did he -- what did he ask you?
- A He asked me on line 12 of page number 15:
- "So, so the police did not actually reach out to you?"
- Q And your answer was?
- 25 A "No."

- 1 Q And was your answer truthful?
- 2 A It was. I had spoken -- the police -- the
- 3 | sheriff's office never contacted me. I had spoken and I
- 4 had initiated a call to the DA's office and spoken with
- 5 Kevin.
- 6 Q So you didn't interpret that as police?
- 7 A No.
- But it was law enforcement?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q So it was a pretext phone call you were
- 11 making; is that correct?
- 12 A Yes, it was.
- Q What did he say about what the district attorney's office had done?
- 15 A Do you have a page number, please?
- Q Did he make any comment about the DA's office
- 17 having done anything to his house?
- 18 A Yes, that they had searched it.
- 19 Q And did you bring up anything about -- that
- 20 you -- from Karyn about the bathroom? What did you
- 21 bring up?
- 22 A The -- the way that I introduced the
- conversation to Peter was I started out with just
- general chitchat. I had a list of topics that I had
- been asked to discuss, and so I had to lead into them.

- 1 I needed a reason for asking those questions that
- 2 | wouldn't arise his suspicion.
- 3 Q Okay.
- A So the person that I used sort of as the bad
- 5 guy or the fall guy was my cousin Karyn because Karyn
- 6 | had been very outspoken in terms of her position. So
- 7 that would, I had hoped, open him up to offer my answers
- 8 to the questions that I was asking.
- 9 So what I said was that Karyn had been burning
- 10 up my phones asking for information and answers and
- 11 | these -- and I'm left questioning, so can you answer
- 12 questions that she has brought up.
- Q Okay. And what did you bring up about what
- 14 Karyn had said about the bathroom?
- 15 A Do you have a page reference?
- 16 Q Around page 10 or so -- or page 17 or so.
- 17 A On page 16 and line 19 I say:
- "Well, let me tell you what Karyn's been
- 19 saying and then you can, I guess, answer her
- 20 accusations maybe. I don't know."
- 21 Q So that's what you did say on that phone call?
- 22 A That's how I opened up to him.
- 23 Q Okay.
- 24 A And I said:
- 25 "This is what I've understood um, and

this is of course coming from her side. 1 when did they go through the house in June I 2. quess it was, um, and did their, their studies 3 or whatever they did while they were in, in there. Um, apparently they use luminol in the 5 6 bathroom, which is that substance that makes um, non-visual blood appear and they found 7 blood on the walls and from Karyn anyway, 9 apparently I don't know who her source or 10 where she's getting the information from, but 11 it looks like it had been cleaned up before 12 the police even arrived. So did you clean up 13 something that, that the police are now 14 misunderstanding? I mean, if you, if you did, 1.5 I'd kind of understand that Susan would, I

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

Q And so going back down to the line 15, what was Peter's response?

mean that it would be kind of gross to have to

A Peter said:

look at."

"No, I didn't clean up anything. When I, when I found Susan in the bathroom, I uh, I uh, just could see that she was dead. So anyway, I touched her foot to ascertain that she was cool. I definitely did not go into

the, the bathroom. I thought about things for a moment or two and realized, you know,

3 there's nothing for me to do except call, you

know, call the police. You know, I'm in

trouble here so don't do anything except

6 notify authorities."

2.1

Q Okay. Now, based on your medical training and your medical experience, is there a protocol that people in the medical profession should follow when they come across a body that has apparent signs of no life?

- A Absolutely.
- Q What is that protocol?

A Registered nurses and physicians are all taught ABCs; that's airway, breathing, circulation. We are not taught to touch for temperature, we are not taught to look for color because some people can stop breathing, their body temperatures can cool, such as somebody that's in the water or somebody that's on a cold floor, and that does not mean that they're not revivable. We are taught to look for pulses and to check for breathing, make sure the airway is open so that they can breathe on their own, start CPR, if not.

Q So based on your medical training, how did it strike you when he said, "I touched her foot to ascertain that she was cool"?

- 1 A That he had not rendered any aid and that, as 2 a nurse and he's a physician, we're held to a much
- 3 higher level of accountability because of our knowledge
- 4 and that that was inappropriate.
- Q Okay. And was there any discussion about blood on the counter?
- 7 A Yes.
- Q And what did -- how did that discussion come

 about? What -- did he give an opinion about what caused

 blood on the counter?
- 11 A He did. Can you give me a page, please?
- 12 Q Must be around 18 or 19.
- A We talk about blood both on the wall and on the counter. He says on line --
- 15 Q That's on page 18, line 21 or so.
- 16 A Line 21, okay.
- "So that, so that's your information. So
 they, they said that there's splattered blood
 on the wall that was what? You know, that
 was, that was wiped up. And that could, that
 could be the case because I did go in there
 and clean, clean, clean up."
- Q Okay. Did he tell you when he did it?
- A No. He had denied cleaning up before.
- 25 Q Okay. Did he indicate that maybe somebody had

- 1 advised him in authority that he could clean it up?
- 2 A Yes. Several days later he expected -- I'm
- 3 looking for the page -- he expected another visit
- 4 possibly and they said no, you have the go-ahead to
- 5 clean, but it was days later.
- 6 Q And what did he say was his opinion as to what
- 7 | caused the blood on the counter? And this is around --
- 8 okay, probably page -- the end of page 20, beginning of
- 9 the next page.
- Did he explain what his speculation was as to
- 11 how Susan died?
- 12 A Yes. On line 27 he says:
- "So, so, and you will, you will step from
- the bedroom into the bathroom if you turn
- right they're going to see that there's a
- 16 counter area over there and a, a mirror and
- she was propped kind of in the corner where
- 18 the counter joins the wall. And on the
- 19 counter there was, was blood as, as, as if she
- 20 had fallen. And this is my, total speculation
- 21 on my part."
- 22 Q Okay.
- A And then next he continues on line 6 and he
- 24 says:
- 25 "As if she had fallen, hit, hit the edge

of the counter and, and then, you know, you know, fallen down and perhaps, you know, was

not unconscious and had propped herself, prop,

4 propped herself kind of up in the corner. So

5 she was kind of half-sitting, half-slouching,

6 leaning against the counter and, and, the

corner of the counter and, and, and the wall."

Q Okay. Did he also talk about how -- how he was able to discover her body, what steps he had taken to discover her body?

A Yes. On the same page, line 23, he says:

"So in the morning time, when I got up, normally the house would be dark and the doors would be closed. All the lights were on, the doors were open. I thought, oh, Susan just fell asleep before she turned things off. But I was going to be in the kitchen, so I went over to close the door. And when I closed the door, I could see that her foot was on the floor of, of the, through the bathroom door, that, that, that was open."

And I said:

"So you saw the lights were on from the kitchen?"

And he says:

25

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

"The lights were on in the, in the family 1 room, in the bedroom, and the doors were open. 2. There's two doors. You have to go through one 3 door from the kitchen into the kind of family room and then there's another door immediately 5 6 around it that, that goes into the guest bedroom where Susan was staying." 7 He continues on line 10 and says: 9 "And uh, and the doors were open and the 10 lights were on. So my thought was, I'm just 11 going to close the doors so Susan doesn't get 12 pissed at me if I'm making noise. I'm in the kitchen. And uh, um, I could hear." 13 14 Now, were you familiar with the configuration 1.5 of the house? 16 Α Extremely. 17 On what basis? 18 I had visited there upwards of 15, 20 times 19 over the years.

Q Okay. Was there anything in what Peter said about the way he discovered the body that was consistent or inconsistent with your understanding of the configuration of the house?

A Yes.

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

Q What was that?

- A He should have -- the lights that are on would have been visible from his bedroom. And when standing at the doorway to the guest room, the line of sight doesn't go into the bathroom, it goes up against -- and I can -- I have that picture for you -- but it goes up against a doorway, but not into the bathroom.
 - Q Okay.

9

10

11

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

- A So it would have been very difficult for things to have happened the way he's explaining them.
- Q Did you, at my request yesterday, prepare a map as to your understanding of the configuration --
- 12 A I did.
- 13 Q -- of the inside of the Keegan residence?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q And you made two pages. I'm going to ask you

 16 just to look at these two pages, which are Exhibit

 17 Number 33.
 - First I'll give you page 1 of that, and I'd ask you to tell me if you recognize this and what it is.
 - A Yes, this is the drawing that I made of their home.
 - Q Okay. I'm going to put it on the screen and I'm going to ask you to move up to the screen and just point out, maybe with this laser, as to -- just press this little button here and point out what it is that's

- 1 inconsistent with Peter's statement to you.
- 2 A Can you make this out okay?
- 3 Q Speak up for the reporter.

- A This is the front entry to the home. This is
 the master bedroom over here. To get to the master
 bedroom --
 - Q You know what you can do, you have to kind of describe "I'm describing like the lower left corner" so when it's in the record, people can follow it.
 - A Okay. If you go through the front door and turn to the left, you are going to be in the kitchen.

 To the right would be the dining area. Ahead is a small hallway. This master bedroom was basically made from an old garage. They converted it. So that's why it's sort of off by itself. This is a screened-in porch right here and this is a window. This window overlooks this guest room, which I'll take you to in -- in just a second. It is visible from the master and through the hallway. So if this is where --
 - Q Now, just tell us what you're pointing at --
- 21 A Okay, master bedroom.
- 22 Q -- and then follow the directions verbally.
 - A Hallway, dining area, the hallway makes a sharp turn to the left, this is the doorway that would go into the guest room.

- 1 Q Now, what you're doing is you're moving the
- 2 laser pointer to the left.
- 3 A Correct.
- And then this would be the bathroom.
- 5 Q And just describe where you have the laser.
- A It's off center to the left-hand side.
- 7 There's not a direct sight line. It's actually to the
- 8 | left. So if you look in, it's not a straightforward,
- 9 it's off to your left, and there's a closet further to
- 10 the left.
- 11 Q Now, did you also make another drawing?
- 12 A I did.
- 13 Q And I'm going to put that on the screen, if I
- can get ahold of it.
- And what is this -- tell us what this is and
- 16 | why you did it.
- 17 A This is the guest bedroom to further clarify
- 18 what I was trying to explain. Sort of a blowup of the
- 19 quest bedroom.
- So this is the doorway. The door opens in to
- 21 | the left. This is a queen-size bed. This is the window
- 22 | that I say light should have been shining out of and had
- been visible over here in the master through the
- 24 screened-in porch.
- 25 This is the bathroom. And, again, if you are

- 1 standing in the doorway and even if you look towards the
- 2 bathroom, to the right you're going to be hard pressed
- 3 to look in. You're going to see right there. You're
- 4 | not going to be looking into the bathroom area. You're
- 5 going to be seeing the door that's open.
- There's a linen closet here, and these doors,
- 7 | bad design, they hit up against each other. So usually
- 8 that door is closed. This is the sink. This is the
- 9 toilet. This is a shower stall. This is a walk-in
- 10 closet.
- 11 Q As they've been labeled on there; is that
- 12 | correct?
- 13 A As I labeled them, yes.
- Q Okay. Thank you.
- 15 (Exhibit 33 was identified.)
- 16 Q (BY MR. STOEN) Okay. I think we're ready to
- 17 | go ahead -- well, let me ask you some follow-up
- 18 questions.
- 19 Did he mention anything about a computer or
- 20 | did you bring up anything that he responded to regarding
- 21 a computer?
- 22 A Yes.
- Q Where is that?
- 24 A On page 23.
- 25 Q Okay.

A He's describing the computers that they have on line 3. He says: "Yeah. So I don't know what that would be" -- hold on a second. Let me back up.

Page 22, let's start there. It makes more sense. We're still talking. And I said:

1.5

2.1

"Um" -- like I was trying to sort of recall my memory -- "What else did Karyn say? Something about the, the uh, I guess it was, I guess they use the word forensic when they start messing with this stuff, but when they took Susan's computer in June, apparently they did a forensic computer exam and Karyn really wasn't specific and didn't have any details that she was willing to share, uh, but apparently they found information in the computer system. I, I can't tell you any more than that, just that it revealed something that, that the police were, were questioning. Anyway, so I don't know."

Peter answers:

"Yeah. So I don't know what that could be. We both used, well, the three, three computers. Uh, um, there's kind of a portable computer. There was a PC computer and there was a Macintosh computer and we both kind of

used them all, so." 1 2. Peter answers again: "Um, I have no idea what, what, you know 3 what they're, what they're referring to, so." We kind of keep going. 5 6 Peter says: "I mean, it could be anything, you know. 7 It could be, uh, I mean, I can't even begin to 9 speculate what." 10 Okay. Did he mention anything about anybody 11 manipulating any data on the computer? 12 On page 24 -- I remember him telling me this, 13 so I questioned him separately. I said: 14 "Didn't you take like, it was shortly 15 after Susan died, didn't you take the computer 16 in and try to get them to, or try to get, 17 they're all computer geeks, whoever they are, 18 to, to be able to get through the password 19 protection and they couldn't?" 20 And Peter answers: "And uh, and, and the -- said it looked 2.1 22 like all the stuff on the computer had been 23 erased like uh, on, on a certain day in early November. So a week before Susan died that 24

her stuff had all been erased. A lot of stuff

- 1 had been erased off, off the computer."
- 2 Q And then did he answer -- did he say who was responsible in his mind?
- Tesponorsie in mis mina.
- A No. It was just that's -- that's just --
- Q Who did he say made that conclusion, that somebody had erased it in November?
- 7 A The computer specialist that he took the
- 8 computer to.
- 9 Q Okay.
- A He wanted to get through the password protection, so he told me.
- Q So did he mention anything about being in fear of anything?
- 14 A Page --
- 15 Q Anything was about to happen to him?
- 16 A Yes, he lived in fear of being arrested.
- Q Okay. Now, did you make a response to that by saying anything on page 25, line 6?
- 19 A Yeah. I said:
- 20 "Makes it kind of hard to sleep at night,
- doesn't it? All that shit rolling around."
- Q Okay. Now, read what his response was.
- 23 A This word is wrong, I believe, in the
- 24 transcript. It says on line 8: "Linda, I think it's
- 25 really good."

- I think what he says is, "I think" -- or "I sleep really good" is actually what I believe he actually said.
 - Q Okay. Thank you for correcting that.
 - A And I said: "Do you?"
 - And he said:

6

20

2.1

22

"I take 30 milligrams of Temazepam, 10 7 milligrams of Zolpidem, and 100 milligrams of 9 Trazodone when I go to bed. And, and you know what? I, I praise God, magic of his medicine, 10 11 because I fall asleep quickly. I wake up 12 seven or eight hours later, I'm not sedated, I'm wide awake and I'm literally just, you 13 14 know, feeling, oh, thank you for letting me 15 sleep. Because I did that sleep disturbance 16 stuff but, you know, I'm on uh, you know, I 17 have to, I have, and it works just fine. I 18 don't know where I'd be if I wasn't taking medicine." 19

- Q Okay. Now, as a nurse, do you have an opinion as to the effect of those three drugs and the amount of dosage that he's saying that he's taking?
- 23 A Yes.
- Q What is your opinion?
- 25 A That is a tremendous amount of medication.

- 1 The Restoril or Temazepam is -- at 30 milligrams, the
- 2 dose is correct. It's a tranquilizer. It's used for
- 3 anxiety. It's in the Diazepam family, so it's a Valium,
- 4 | a Xanax, and Ativan relative. It's highly addictive.
- 5 On the Trazodone, Trazodone, again, the 100
- 6 milligrams is a usual dose. It's high, but it's usual.
- 7 And that's used primarily for anti-anxiety,
- 8 | anti-depression, and sleep induction, to fall asleep.
- 9 The third one, he calls it Zolpidem, which is
- 10 | fine, that's the generic word for Ambien. Ambien is a
- 11 | sleep medication, also used to induce sleep. One of its
- 12 primary side effects is amnesia.
- Q Okay. Now, when you -- when you heard him say
- 14 this, did you have a reaction --
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 0 -- to the amount --
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q -- I mean the type of drug and the amount of
- 19 drug?
- 20 A My first thought literally was that's enough
- 21 | to put a horse out and you would have to have one heck
- 22 of a high level tolerance to drugs to be able to get up
- 23 the next morning, let alone breathe through the night.
- 24 That is a tremendous amount of medication.
- Q Okay. Thank you.

MR. STOEN: Now, later he talks about his life 1 afterwards and he -- and I'm going to instruct the grand 2 jury that on this tape that you're going to hear, and 3 also in this transcript you're going to see a reference 5 to a girlfriend, and I am instructing the grand jury 6 that all references to a girlfriend are to be considered 7 only for the purpose of aiding in determination of Mr. Keegan's state of mind and may not be considered for 8 9 any other purpose. 10 And, with that, I'm going to play the tape, 11 and I'll try to adjust the volume so everybody can hear. 12 And I'm asking the stenographic reporter not to have to record it. 13 14 (Recording played.) 15 MR. STOEN: Back on the record. 16 The jury is instructed to totally disregard 17 the reference to, quote, "my attorney," close quote, and 18 not to consider it for any purpose whatsoever.

Now, are there any questions for this witness?

JURY SECRETARY: Yes. Question number 24 from

juror 609424.

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

Q (BY MR. STOEN) You said that your sister didn't do drugs and wasn't an alcoholic. How do you explain the multiple drugs and alcohol in her system at the time of her death?

- 1 A I have my theory. Do you want my theory? Is
- 2 | that a way I can answer this?
- Well, do you have an explanation, based on
- 4 your knowledge of your sister, how she would have a .16
- 5 blood alcohol and multiple drugs?
- 6 A I do.
- 7 Q Okay. What's that?
- 8 A I have a theory. My theory is that, given
- 9 Peter's medical knowledge and his access to medical
- 10 | supplies, that he injected it into her system and that's
- 11 how it got there.
- MR. STOEN: Okay. Next question.
- JURY SECRETARY: Number 25 from juror 616861.
- 14 Q (BY MR. STOEN) Answer this only if you feel
- 15 | you -- let me ask you this before I preface this
- 16 question.
- 17 You have -- have you had any training in
- 18 psychological disorders?
- 19 A No.
- 20 Q Okay. The first part of that question cannot
- 21 be answered.
- To your knowledge, amongst his peers -- no,
- 23 that's an inadmissible question, too, under the law.
- 24 A Let me qualify that first question on
- 25 psychological training.

- 1 Q Yes, you may.
- 2 A We do take courses in nursing school and we do
- 3 take psychology courses; but in terms of being a
- 4 specialized mental health practitioner, I am not.
- 5 Q I can't allow you to answer the question then.
- To your knowledge, did Peter Keegan ever
- 7 | grieve about his wife's death?
- 8 A To my knowledge, no.
- 9 Q In your opinion, did he use his intellect to
- 10 keep Mrs. Keegan suppressed?
- 11 A No. Susan was smarter than he was.
- 12 Q Okay.
- A She was independent; he was dependent.
- 14 Q Okay. The next question involves hearsay.
- 15 It's inadmissible.
- Next question: Did he, meaning Peter Keegan,
- 17 | ever come in to you -- come on to you while staying at
- 18 their house?
- 19 A No.
- 20 Q To your knowledge, did Dr. Keegan use
- 21 marijuana?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q On what basis do you know that?
- A He grew it and I saw him smoke it.
- 25 Q Next question: Did the windows have curtains

- 1 or blinds on them?
- 2 A Most of them did not, no.
- 3 Q Okay.
- A The ones I referred to when I talked about -they did not, no.
- 6 MR. STOEN: Okay. Any other questions?
- JURY SECRETARY: Yes. Number 26, juror
- 8 number 624323.
- 9 Q (BY MR. STOEN) Did you play any part or have
 10 any prior knowledge of the newspaper articles that came
- 11 out?
- I believe you testified you did; right?
- A The only thing I did was answer questions for Glenda Anderson, but that was -- she called me.
- Q So you were part of whatever they did print, through her anyway?
- 17 A Yes, yes. In that aspect, yes.
- Q Did you initiate that call?
- 19 A No, she called me.
- JURY SECRETARY: Question number 27, juror
- 21 636055.
- Q (BY MR. STOEN) This juror has asked to see
 the dark picture of the bathroom and saying it does
 appear you can see her legs in the doorway and you said
- you couldn't see her from the doorway.

1 What is your response to that?

A What I say is it's not a straight line of vision. I have not seen the picture with my sister in it. I know when you're standing in the doorway into the guest room, it's not a straight-on view. Like looking right down this hallway right here I can see those two chairs straight on. It's not like that. It's angled off to the left. So your view is occluded or blocked somewhat.

Q Okay.

2.1

A And what you're going to look at is the door, basically, if it's open or the door if it's closed. But that's where you're going to see. It's not a straight view.

MR. STOEN: Next question.

JURY SECRETARY: Number 28 from juror 610214.

MR. STOEN: I don't understand this.

Oh, I do.

Q (BY MR. STOEN) Did you save the original voice mail from Mr. Keegan?

A I did.

Q You did?

A The original -- oh, voice mail?

Q Yeah.

A I thought we meant the recording.

- 1 Q Okay.
- 2 A No, no. It was just very casual, "Hey, Linda,
- 3 haven't talked to you in awhile. Give me a call when
- 4 you can."
- 5 Q Next question is inadmissible.
- 6 The third question: Who is Karyn?
- 7 A Karyn Feiden is my cousin, was also Susan's
- 8 cousin.
- 9 MR. STOEN: Next question.
- JURY SECRETARY: Question 29 from juror
- 11 641677.
- 12 Q (BY MR. STOEN) Answer this only if you know
- 13 the answer: Is it your impression that Peter gave
- 14 | Susan's diaries to the police or that he burned them?
- 15 A He told me he burned them, that he destroyed
- 16 | them. It wasn't until that phone call that you all just
- 17 | heard that I found out that they were even still in
- 18 existence.
- 19 Q Okay. Thank you.
- MR. STOEN: Next question.
- 21 JURY SECRETARY: Question 30 from juror
- 22 624323.
- Q (BY MR. STOEN) What is the time frame between
- 24 | you going through your sister's belongings and the
- 25 | recorded phone call that we just heard?

- 1 A When I went through Susan's belongings, it was
- 2 when I was there for her memorial service. So that
- 3 | would have been in November of 2010.
- Q Okay.
- 5 A And then the recording here is September 17th,
- 6 2012. So approximately two years, just a little under.
- 7 MR. STOEN: Thank you.
- 8 JURY SECRETARY: Question 31 from juror
- 9 626897.
- 10 Q (BY MR. STOEN) Did the toxicology report
- 11 | identify -- did you read the toxicology report?
- 12 A No, I was not given a copy.
- 13 Q It's an inadmissible question.
- 14 A Can I -- how I even commented on it is that
- 15 it's on the death certificate. That's how I knew what
- 16 | substances were listed.
- 17 Q Let me look at the question again.
- Did the toxicology report you saw identify
- 19 lethal doses of drugs?
- 20 A No, it's just that they were in her system.
- 21 It doesn't give doses or strengths or amounts, just that
- 22 they are present.
- 23 Q A follow-up question: How could he inject
- 24 | marijuana? Wasn't that in her system?
- 25 A She did occasionally smoke herself.

- 1 Q Yeah.
- What about brownies in Susan's car, did she
- 3 use brownies?
 - A Yes.
- 5 Q Or eat brownies?
- A Eat brownies, yes. Yes, but never to the point of being obtunded or, you know, unable to perform.
- 8 You know, it was a recreational thing.
- 9 MR. STOEN: Next question.
- JURY SECRETARY: Number 32 from juror 616861.
- Q (BY MR. STOEN) Do you have knowledge as to whether Dr. Keegan has copies or was able to see the police photos? Do you have such knowledge?
- 14 A No. He never mentioned it to me --
- 15 Q Okay.
- 16 A -- either way, no. I do not believe he's seen
 17 them. It would have been something he would have told
 18 me in that conversation.
- MR. STOEN: Anything else?
- JURY SECRETARY: Question number 33 from juror 610214.
- Q (BY MR. STOEN) Would you be willing to show
 where your sister's body was on the floor plan that you
 did? Are you in a position to answer that question?
- 25 A Only by Peter's description do I have any

1 knowledge of it.

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

24

25

- Q Okay. That's the answer to the question.
- A I have not seen any pictures. I only have his description.
- 5 MR. STOEN: Next question.
- JURY SECRETARY: That's it.
- MR. STOEN: Well, we've reached our 4:30

 limit. You are really an interactive group of people,

 and I'm sorry that you had to go in all this heat. But

 I think, Madam Foreperson, if you would like to excuse

 everybody with the admonition, we can then resume
- A JUROR: What do we do with our stuff, leave it here in the seats?

tomorrow at whatever time you deem appropriate.

- MR. STOEN: We'll have to have it taken into somebody's custody. I don't know if we have a place.
- JURY FOREPERSON: I was told that the evidence would go into a locked cabinet, and I'll have to turn my phone on to see if Julie got an answer, and that our stuff would be locked in and we could keep it under our seats.
- MR. STOEN: I'm going to give you the final admonishment when you leave in the evening.
 - JURY SECRETARY: We can write on any of this stuff that we have; correct?

MR. STOEN: You can. It belongs to you, but 1 you can't ever take it out of the courtroom. 2 3 The grand jurors are admonished that they are not to form or express any opinion about this case or 5 discuss it among themselves until the grand jury receives the case for deliberation. 6 In addition, no investigation or inspection of 7 any evidence should be conducted without the permission 9 of the foreperson and on advice of the deputy district attorney. A violation of this could result in a charge 10 11 of contempt against a grand juror who investigates or 12 reviews any matter with regard to this case without the entire body of the grand jury and in violation of this 13 14 admonition. 1.5 We are in recess until what time, Madam 16 Foreperson? 17 JURY FOREPERSON: Until 8:30 or 9:30 in the 18 morning? 19 JURY SECRETARY: 9:30. I have to work for an 20 hour. JURY FOREPERSON: 9:30. Please try to be here 2.1 22 by 9:20, like the Judge requested us to do, so we can 2.3 start on time.

25 – – –

(Proceedings adjourned.)

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the above transcript of proceedings was taken down, as stated in the caption, and that the foregoing 177 pages represent a complete, true and correct transcript of the proceedings had thereon.

Dated: August 17, 2017

Anne Ramirez, C.S.R. 6186 Court Reporter